

#### THE

# Bengali Ramayanas

Being Lectures delivered to the Calcutta University in 1910, as Ramtanu Lahiri Research Fellow in the History of Bengali Language and Literature.

#### By

### Rai Saheb Dineshchandra Sen, B.A.

Fellow Calcutta University and Author of History of Bengah Language and Literature - Expical Selections from Old Bengah Literature - Chairtanya and his Companions - Mistory of Medicaval Varsnaya - Fiterature - Folk Literature of Bengal - Banga Bhasa o Sahitya - and other works



Published by the UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA

1920

PRINTED BY ATULCHANDRA BHATTACHARYYA,
CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY PRESS, SENATE HOUSE, CALCUTTA.

#### DEDICATED

to

The Hon'ble Sir ASUTOSH MOOKERJEE,

Kt., C.S.I., M.A., D.L., D.Sc, Ph.D.,

Chief Justice of Bengal,

as a tribute of gratitude for
his whole-hearted efforts for the advancement
of the cause of our Mother-Tongue,
and for his generous encouragement and
constant incentive to which
the author of these pages, for long
accustomed to writing Bengali books only,
is indebted for

his literary ventures in English,

the History of

Bengali Language and Literature

#### PREFACE

There is a controversy still going on, and it is doubtful whether a solution will be unanimously accepted in future, as to whether the Epic of Válmíki or the Játaka-literature belongs to an earlier period of composition. It is now unanimously held by the orientalists that the metrical portions of the Jataka-stories are older than their prose-matter. We find that many passages of the birth-stories, written in verse, show a close and unmistakable affinity with those of the Epic, and on an examination and analysis of both these monuments of Indian Literature, the Jatakas and the Epic, I have arrived at the conclusion that the Epic belongs to a later age. But I cannot expect that my conclusion on this point will be accepted by all,

One of the evidences in support of my theory, upon which I have laid much stress, is that the Epic of Valmiki is replete with stories that are materially similar to a large number of birth-tales even in detail. Such for example are the Sama Jātaka which closely resembles the story of the Andhamuni in the Rāmayaṇa and the Sambula

Jataka where the goblin appears exactly in the same light as does Rāvanā in the Açoka-garden before the heroic and chaste wife of Rāma. the Vessantara Jātaka the speeches of Vessantara and Maddi on the eve of the former's banishment are so akin to the speeches of Rama and Sita on a similar occasion that here the difference of names seems to be the only point of divergence. Again, in the same Jätaka the prince's mother Phusati mourns over the banishment of her son in a strain that at once reminds the reader of the lamentations of Kausalva and Bharata after the exile of Rama. The story of Risya Cringa again offers a close parallel to that of the Nalinikā Jātaka, and one of the passages in which the monkey-king Vali admonished Rāma is exactly like the one in the Mahā Sutasoma Jātaka in which the ogre is consured by the Great Being. Such instances may be easily multiplied, so that the Dacaratha Jātaka is but one of the numerous birth-stories where we find fables and legends akin to and sometimes almost the same as we find in the Rāmāyana. Regarding the marriage of Sitā with her elder brother Rāma as narrated in the Dagaratha Jātaka, we find that the custom of marrying one's sister was current among many tribes and especially so with the Cakyas, amongst whom it was so extensively prevalent that at one time when their enemies the Koliyas

ridiculed them for it, instead of feeling ashamed, they boasted of such connection (vide Kunāla-Jātaka, translated by H. T. Francis, the Jātaka No. 536, p. 219). All these have led me to believe that these and similar other stories had been extensively current in this country before the advent of Valmiki who treated these scattered episodes as materials for his immortal Epic changing them in such a manner as to suit the new ideal of domestic purity set up by him. A comparative literary estimate of the crude and archaic birth-tales and the grand and artistic Epic has also strengthened my conviction that the former belongs to an earlier period than the latter. To say that Vālmīki was indebted to these birth-stories for his materials is not in the least to detract from the great merit and worth of the Epic-master. Shakespeare is not a whit less admired because of the fact that he freely used Holinshed's Chronicles and many previous literary works extant on the continent for dramatic treatment.

Another theory that I have put forward in these lectures is that originally the legends of Rāma and Rāvaṇa were prevalent in this country as distinctly different tales, independent of each other. These were subsequently mixed up somehow or other, and Vālmīki for the first time gave the united story the consistency and

homogeneity that mark the great national Epic. I have also shewn that the worship of Hanuman is not an isolated phase in Indian religious system, but that it is only a survival of a primitive custom of Ape-worship that universally obtained among the various nations of the ancient world.

I have indicated in these lectures that Vālmīki's Epic placed before the Hindu people a supreme ideal of domestic life as a protest against the prevailing asceticism of the time. Even if it be proved that Valmiki is anterior to Buddha, my suggestions will not lose their force and significance on that ground, as there are various evidences to show that asceticism had made a marked progress in country even before the advent of the Buddha. though the organisation of monastic life as a religious institution was founded by him. Thus, related to a particular epoch in the history of our country, the Epic of Vālmīki is an eloquent but unconscious vindication for all time, of society against solitude, of domestic duties and responsibilities against monastic propaganda.

These pages may, I am afraid, give offence to some members of our orthodox community. In fact one of the audience told me plainly that my theory upsetting the whole story of Sītā's abduction by Rāvaṇa as not founded on facts, will give a rude shock to many who have an

implicit faith in Rāma as an Incarnation of Visņu. But I can assure the orthodox members of my community that I yield to none in my admiration of the poet, having read his Epic times without number. But I shall always hold that historical research and the truths to which it leads do not interfere with faith any more than the sight of a skeleton stands in the way of one's appreciating the beauty of a living person, though there is certainly a consciousness in every mind that beneath flesh and lovely exterior all is ugly skeleton

I shall deem my humble labour in this field amply rewarded if I have only succeeded in awakening an interest for the subject, and if young and earnest scholars who have "the discovery of truth" as the guiding object of their lives are attracted to take it up for further research and investigation.

One important reason, that strikes me now, for supposing Rajā Gonesh to be the patron of Krittivāsa is the latter's declaration that during his time the country was under the sway of the Brahmins. The line ''দেশ যে সমস্ত তালাণের স্থিকার' has a historical significance which goes a good way to solve this much vexed question. During the long days of Mahomedan rule, the whole country only for a brief period was under the sway of the Hindus, and that was when Gonesh killed Samsuddin II and ascended the

throne of Gour. And it is but natural that the Brahmin poet should allude to it with pride.

Mv thanks are due to Mr. A. C. Ghatak, B.A., Superintendent of the Calcutta University Press, for promptly seeing the work through the Press.

DINESH CHANDRA SEN.

Behala, Near Calcutta, The 9th September, 1920.

#### **OPINIONS**

" HISTORY OF THE BENGALL LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE "
(IN ENGLISH).

BY BAI SAHIR DINESH CHANDRA SEN, B.A., PUBLISHED BY THE CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY.

Price-Rs. 12. Demy 8vo. pp. 1030, with illustrations.

His Excellency Lord Hardinge of Penshurst in his Convocation Address, dated the 16th March, 1912, as Chancellor of the Calcutta University:—

"During the last four years also the University has, from time to time, appointed Readers on special subjects to foster investigation of important branches of learning amongst our advanced students. One of these Readers, Mr. Sen, has embodied his lectures on the History of Bengali Language and Literature from the carliest times to the middle of the 19th century in a volume of considerable merit, which he is about to supplement by another original contribution to the history of one of the most important vernaculars in this country. May 1 express the hope that this example will be followed elsewhere, and that critical schools may be established for the vernacular languages of India which have not as yet received the attention that they deserve."

His Excellency Lord Carmichael, Governor of Bengal, in his address on the occasion of his laying the Foundation Stone of the Romesh Chandra Saraswat Bhawan, dated the 20th November, 1916 ...

"For long Romesh Chandra Dutt's History of the Literature of Bengal was the only work of its kind available to the general reader. The results of further study in this field have been made available to us by the publication of the loarned and luminous lectures of Rai Sahib Dineschandra Sen.

In the direction of the History of the Language and the Literature, Rai Sahib Dinesh Chandra Sen has created the necessary interest by his Typical Selections. It remains for the members of the Parishad to follow this lead and to carry on the work in the same apirit of patient accurate research."

Sir Asutosh Mookerjee, in his Convocation Address, dated the 13th March, 1909, as Vice-Chancellor of the Calcutta University:—

"We have had a long series of luminous lectures from one of our own graduates, Babu Dinesh Chandra Sen, on the fascinating subject of the History of the Bengali Lunguage and Literature. These lectures take a comprehensive view of the development of our vernacular, and their publication will unquestionably facilitate the historical investigation of the origin of the vernacular literature of this country, the study of which is avowedly one of the foremost objects of the New Regulations to promote."

Sylvain Levi (Paris)—"I cannot give you praises enough—your work is a Chintamani—a Ratnakara. No book about India would I compare with yours......Never did I find such a realistic sense of literature .....Pundit and Peasant, Yogi and Raja, mix together in a Shakespearian way on the stage you have built up."

Extract from a review by the same scholar in the "Revue Critique," Jan., 1915;—(translated for the "Bengalee.")

"One cannot praise too highly the work of Mr. Sen, A profound and original crudition has been associated with vivid imagination. The historian though relying on his documents has the temperament of an epic poet. He has likewise inherited the lyric genius of his race."

Barth (Paris)—"I can approach your book as a learner, not as a judge."

O. H. Tawney—" Your work shows vast research and much general culture."

Vincent Smith -" A work of profound learning and high value,"

- F. W. Thomas—" Characterised by extensive erudition and independent research."
- E. J. Rapson—"I looked through it with great interest and great admiration for the knowledge and research to which it bears witness."
- F. H. Skrine—" Monumental work—I have been revelling in the book which taught me much of which I was ignorant."
- E. B. Havell—"Most valuable brok which every Anglo-Indian should read. I congratulate you most heartily on your very admirable English and perfect lucidity of style."
- D. C. Phillot—" I can well understand the enthusiasm with which the work was received by scholars, for even to men unacquainted with your language, it cannot fail to be a source of great interest and profit,"
- L. D. Barnett—"I congratulate you on having accomplished such an admirable work."
- G. Hultzuh—"Mr. Sen's valuable work on Bengali literature, a subject hitherto unfamiliar to me, which I am now reading with great interest."

- J. F. Blumhardt-"An extremely well-written and scholarly production, exhaustive in its wealth of materials and of immense value."
- T. W. Rhys Davids-" It is a most interesting and important work and reflects great credit on your industry and research."

Jules Bloch (Paris)—"Your book I find an admirable one and which is the only one of its kind in the whole of India."

William Rothenstein—"I found the book surprisingly full of suggestive imformation. It held me bound from beginning to end, in spite of my absolute ignorance of the language of which you write with obviously profound scholarship."

Emile Senart (Paris) -" I have gone through your book with lively interest and it appears to me to do the highest credit to your learning and method of working."

Henry Van Dyke—(U. S. A.)—"Your instructive pages which are full of new suggestions in regard to the richness and interest of the Bengali Language and Literature."

C. T. Winchester—(U. S. A.)—"A work of profound learning on a theme which demands the attention of all Western scholars."

From a long roview in the Times Literary Supplement, London, June 20, 1912—"In this narration, as becomes one who is the soul of scholarly candour, he tells those, who can read him with sympathy and imagination more about the Hindu mind and its attitude towards life than we can gather from 50 volumes of impressions of travel by Enropeans. Loti's picturesque account of the rites practised in Travancore temples, and even M. Chevrillon's synthesis of much browsing in Hindu Scriptures, seem faint records by the side of this unassuming tale of Hindu literature—Mr. Sen may well be proud of the lasting monument he has erected to the literature of his native Bengal."

From a long review in the Athenæum, March, 16, 1912—" Mr. Sen may justly congratulate himself on the fact that in the middle age he has done more for the history of his national language and literature than any other writer of his own or indeed any time."

From a long review in the Spectcator, June, 12, 1912—"A book of extraordinary interest to those who would make an impartial study of the Bengali mentality and character—a work which reflects the utmost credit on the candour, industry and learning of its author. In its kind his book is a masterpiece—modest, learned, thorough and sympathetic. Perhaps no other man living has the learning and happy industry for the task he has successfully accomplished."

From a review by Mr. H. Beveridge in the Royal Asiatic Society's Journal, Jan. 1912—It is a very full and interesting account of the

development of the Bengali Literature. He has a power of picturesque writing his descriptions are often eloquent."

From a long review by S. K. Ratcliffe in "India," London, March 15, 1912—"There is no more competent authority on the subject than Mr Dinesh Chandra Sen. The great value of the book is in its full and fresh treatment of the pre-English era and for this it would be difficult to give its author too high praise,"

From a long review by H. Kern in the Bijdragen of the Royal Institute for Taul (translated by Dr. Kern himself)—"Fruit of investigation carried through many years ..highly interesting book the reviewer has all to admire in the pages of the work, nothing to criticise, for his whole knowledge is derived from it."

From a review by Dr Oldenburg in the Frankforter Zistung, December 3, 1911 (Translated by the late Dr. Thibaut). "It is an important supplementation of the history of modern Sankrit Literature. The account of Chaltanya's influence on the poetical literature of Bengal contributes one of the most brilliant sections of the work"

From a !review in the Deutsche Rundschan, April 1912—"The picture which this learned Bengali has painted for us with loving care of the literature of his native land deserves to be received with attentive and grateful respect."

From a review in the Luzac's Oriental List, London, May-June 1912—A work of inestimable value, full of interesting information, containing complete account of the writings of Bengali authors from the earliest time. It will undoubtedly find a place in every Oriental library as being the most complete and reliable standard work on the Bengali language and Literature."

From a review in the Indian Magazine, London, August, 1912—
"For Mr. Sen's erudition, his sturdy patriotism, his instructive perception of the finer qualities in Bengali life and literature, the reader of his book must have a profound respect if he is to understand what modern Bengal is."

From a long review in the Madras Mail, May 9, 1912, "A survey of the evolution of the Bengali letters by a student so competent, so exceptionally learned, can hardly fail to be an important event in the world of criticism."

From a long review in the Pioneer, May 5, 1912—" Mr. Sen is a typical student such as was common in mediaval Europe—a lover of learning for learning's sake...He must be a poor judge of characters who can rise from a perusal of Mr. Sen's pages without a real respect and liking for the writer, for his sincerity, his industry, his enthusiasm in the cause of learning."

From a review in the Englishman, April 23, 1912 - Only one who has completely identified himself with the subject could have mastered it so well as the author of this imposing book."

From a review in the Empire, August 31, 1918—"As a book of reference Mr. Sen's work will be found invaluable and he is to be congratulated on the result of his labours. It may well be said that he has proved what an English enthusiast once said that Bengali unites the mellifluousness of Italian with the power possessed by German for rendering complex ideas"

From a review in the Indian Antiquary, December, 1912, by F. G. Pargiter:—"This book is the outcome of great research and study, on which the author deserves the warmest praise. He has explained the literature and the subjects treated in it with such fulness and in such detail as to make the whole plain to any reader. The folk-literature, the structure and style of the language, metre and rhyme, and many miscellaneous points are discussed in valuable notes. The tone is calm and the judgments appear to be generally fair."

Extract from a long review by Sylvain Levi (Paris) in the "Revue Critique" Jan., 1915;—(translated for the Bengalee)

"One cannot praise too highly the work of Mr. Sen A profound and original crudition has been associated with a vivid imgination. The works which he analyses are brought back to life with the consciousness of the original authors, with the movement of the multitudes who patronised them and with the landscape which encircled them. The historian, though relying on his documents, has the temperament of an epic poet. He has likewise inherited the lyrical genius of his race. His enthusiastic sympathy vibrates through all his descriptions. Convinced as every Hindu is of the superiority of the Brahmanic civilization, he exalts its glories and palhates its shortcomings, if he does not approve of them he would excuse them. He trees to be just to Buddhism and Islam; in the main he is grateful to them for their contribution to the making of India. He praises with eloquent ardour the early English missionaries of Christanity.

The appreciation of life so rare in our book-knowledge, runs throughout the work; one reads these thousand pages with a sustained interest, and one loses sight of the enormous labour which it presupposes; one easily slips into the treasure of information which it presupposes; one individual extracts quoted at the bottom of the pages offers a unique anthology of Bengali. The linguistic remarks scattered in the extracts abound in new and precious materials. Mr. Sen has given to his country a model which it would be difficult to surpass; we only wish that it may provoke in other parts of India emulations to follow it."

#### BANGA BAHITYA PARICHAYA.

OH

#### Typical Selections From old Bengali Literature

BY

RAI SAHIB DINESCHANDBA SEN. B.A.

2 vols. pp. 1914, Royal 8vo., with an Introduction in English running over 99 pages, published by the University of Calcutta.

(With 14 coloured illustrations-Price Rs. 12).

Sir Goorge Grierson—"Invaluable work.....That I have yet read through its 1900 pages I do not pretend, but what I have read has filled me with admiration for the industry and learning displayed. It is a worthy sequel to your monumental History of Bengali literature, and of it we may safely say "finis coronat opus." How I wish that a similar work could be compiled for other Indian languages, specially for Hindi."

- E. B. Havell—"Two monumental volumes from old Bengali Literature. As I am not a Bengali scholar, it is impossible for me to appreciate at their full value the splendid results of your scholarship and research, bu. I have enjoyed reading your luminous and most instructive introduction which gives a clear insight into the subject. I was also very much interested in the illustrations, the reproduction of which from original paintings is very successful and creditable to Swadeshi work."
- H. Beveridge—"Two magnificent volumes of the Banga Sahitya Parichaya......I have read with interest Rusa Sundari's autobiography in your extracts."
- F. H. Shrine—"The two splendid volumes of Banga Sahitya Parichaya I am reading with pleasure and profit. They are a credit to your profound learning and to the University which has given them to the world."

From a long review in the Times Literary Supplement, London, November 4, 1915—"In June, 1912, in commenting on Mr. Sen's History of Bengali Language and Literature, we suggested that that work might usefully be supplemented by an anthology of Bengali prose and poetry. Mr. Sen has for many years been occupied with the sid of other patriotic students of the medieval literature of Bengal in collecting manuscripts of forgotten or half-forgotten poems. In addition to these more or less valuable monuments of Bengali poetic art, the chief popular presses have published great masses of literary

matter, chiefly religious verse. It can hardly be said that these piles of written and printed matter have ever been subjected to a critical or philological scrutiny. Their very existence was barely known to the Europeans, even to those who have studied the Bengali Language on the spot. Educated Bengalis themselves, until quite recent times, have been too busy with the arts and sciences of Europe to spare much time for indigenous treasures. That was the reason why we suggested the compiling of a critical threstomathy for the benefit not only of European but of native scholars. The University of Calcutta. prompted by the eminent scholar Sir Asutosh Mookerjee, then Vice-Chancellor, had already anticipated this need it seems. It had shrunk (rightly, we think) from the enormous and expensive task of printing the MSS, recovered by the diligence and generosity of Mr. Sen and other inquirers and employed Mr. Sen to prepare the two bulky volumes now before us. The Calcutta Senate is to be congratulated on its enterprise and generosity."

From a review in The Athenseum January 16, 1915. "We have already reviewed Mr. Sen's History of Bengali Language and Laterature and have rendered some account of his previous work in Bengali entitled Bhanga Bhasa O Sahityo. Mr. Sen now supplies the means of checking his historical and critical conclusions in a copious collection of Bengali verse. Here are the materials carefully arranged and annotated with a skill and learning such as probably no one clee living can command."

From a review by Mr. F G. Parguer in the Royal Asistic Society's Journal -" These two portly volumes of some 2,100 pages are an anthology of Bengali poetry and prose from the 8th to the 19th century and are auxiliary to the same author's History of Bengali Language and Literature which was reviewed by Mr. Baveridge in this Journal for 1912 . The Vice-Chancellor of the Calcutta University who was consulted, decided that the best preluminary measure would be to make and publish typical selections. The University then entrusted that duty to Babu Dinesh Chandra Sen; this work is the outcome of his researches. There can be no question that Binesh Babu was the person most competent to undertake the task and in these two volumes we have witnout doubt a good presentment of typical specimens of old Bengali-literature. The style of the big book is excellent, its printing is fine, and it is embellished with well-executed reproductions in colour of some old painting. It has also a copious index.

## VAISNAVA LITERATURE OF MEDIÆVAL BENGAI

[Being lectures delivered as Reader to the University of Calcutta.]

HY

# RAI SAHIB DINESH CHANDRA SEN, B.A.

CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY

Demy 8vo. 257 pages

WITH A PREFACE BY

J. D. ANDERSON, Esq., I.C.S., (Retired.)

Price Re. 1 only.

Sir George Grierson -- Very valuable book . I am reading it with the greatest interest and am learning much from it.

William Rothenstein -I was delighted with your book, I cannot tell you how touched I am to be reminded of that side of your beloved country which appeals to me most -a side of which I was able to perceive something auring my own too short visit to India. In the faces of the best of your countrymen I was able to see that spirit of which you write so charmingly in your book. I am able to recall these faces and figures as if they were before me. I hear the tinkle of the temple-bells along the ghats of Benarcs, the voices of the women as they sing their sacred songs crossing the noble river in the boats at sunset and I sit once more with the austere Sanyasin friends I shall never, I fear, see more. But though I shall not look upon the face of ludis again, the vision I had of it will fill my eyes through life, and the love I feel for your country will remain to enrich my own vision of life, so long as I am capable of using it. Though I can only read you in English, the spirit in which you write is to me so true an Indian spirit, that it shines through our own idiom, and carries me, I said before, straight to the banks of your sacred rivers, to the bathing tanks and white shrines and temples of your well remembered villages and tanks. So once more I send you my thanks for the magic carpet you sent me, upon which my soul can return to your dear land. May the songs of which you write remain to till this land with their fragrance; you will have need of them, in the years before you, as we have need of all that is best in the songs of our own seers in the dark waters through which we are steering.

FROM A LONG REVIEW IN THE TIMES LITERARY SUPPLEMENT, 2ND AUGUST, 1917.

The Vaisnava Literature of Medzeval Bengal By Rai Sahib Dineschandra Sen. (Calcutta -The University.)

Though the generalisation that all Hindus not belonging to modern reform movements are Saivas or Vaisnavas is much too wide, there are the two main divisions in the bewildering mass of sects which make up the 217,000,000 of Hindus, and at many points they overlap each other. The attempts made in the 1901 Census to collect information regarding sects led to such unsatisfactory and partial results that they were not repeated in the last decennial enumeration. But it is unquestionable that the Vaisnavas - the worshippers of Krishna are dominant in Bengal, owing to the great success of the reformed cult established by Chaitanya, a contemporary of Martin Lather doctrine of Bhakti or religious devotion, which he taught still flourishes in Bengal, and the four lectures of the Reader to the University of Calcutta here reproduced provide an instructive guide to its expression in the literature of the country during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. The first part of the book is devoted to the early period of Vaisnava literature, dating from the eleventh century.

The Rai Sahib is filled with a most parriotic love of his nut on and its literature, and has done more than any contemporary country man to widen our knowledge of them. His bulky volume recording the history of Bengali Language and Laterature from the earliest times to the middle of the nineteenth century is accepted by Orientalists as the most complete and authoritative work on the subject

There is refreshing ingenuousness in his claim, "My industry has been great," and the "forbearing indulgence" for which he asks if he has failed from any lack of powers, will readily be granted in view of the enthusiasm for his subject which somewhat narrows the strictly critical value of his estimates, but does not impair the sustained human interest of the book.

Chaitanya clearly taught, as these pages show, that the Krishna of the Mahabharata, the great chieftain and ally of the Pandava brothers. was not the Krishna of Brindahan. The latter, said the reformer, to Rupa, the author of those masterpieces of Sanskrit drama, the Vidagdha Madhava and the Lalita Madhava, was 'ove's very self and an embodiment of sweetness, and the more material glories of Mathura should not be confused with the spiritual conquests of Brindahan. The amours of Krishna with Radha and the milkmaids of Brindahan are staple themes of the literature associated with the worship of the God.

of the seductive flute. But Mr Sen repeatedly insists that the love discussed in the literature he has so closely studied is spiritual and mystic, although usually presented in sensuous garb. Chaitanya who had frequent ecstasies of spiritual joy; Rupa, who classified the emotions of love in 360 groups and the other authors whose careers are here traced, were hermits of unspotted lite and religious devotion. The old passionate desire for union which they taught is still dominant in modern Bengali literature not directly Vassnava in import. As Mr. J. D. Anderson points out in his preface, the influence of Chaitanya's teaching may be detected in the mystical verses of Tagore.

J. D. Anderson, Esq., retired I. C. S., Professor, Cambridge University: I have read more than half of it. I propose to send with it, if circumstances leave me the courage to write it, a short Preface (which I hope you will read with pleasure even it you do not think it worth publication) explaining why, in the judgment of a very old student of all your works, your book should be read not only in Calcutta, but in London, and Paris, and Oxford and Cambridge. I have read it and am reading it with great delight and profit and very real sympathy. Think how great must be the chairm of your topic and your treatment when in this awful year of anxiety and seriow, the reading of your delightful MS, has given me test and refreshment in a time when every post, every knock at the door may bring as sorrow.

I write this in a frantic hurry—the mail goes to-day—in order—to go back to your most interesting and fascinating pages,

#### CHAITANYA AND HIS COMPANIONS

From a long review in the Times Literary Supplement, 25th April, 1918 :---

"This delightful and interesting little book is the outcome of a series of lectures supplementing the learned discourses which Mr. Sen made the material of his "Vaisuava Literature of Mediaval Bengal" reviewed by us on August 2, 1917.

It is an authentic record of the religious emotion and thought of that wonderful province of Bengal which few of its Western rulers, we suspect, have rightly comprehended, not from lack of friendly sympathy but simply for want of precisely what Mr. Sen, better than any one living, better than Sir Rabindrannth Tagore himself can supply.

It is indeed no easy matter for a Western Protestant to comprehead, save by friend-ship and sympathy with just such a pious Hindu as Mr. Sen, what is the doctrine of an istaderata, a "favourite deaty" of Hindu pious adoration. In his native tougue Mr Son has written charming little books, based on aucient legends, which bring us very near the heart of this simple mystery, akin, we suppose, to the cult of particular saints in Catholic countries. Such for metance, is his charming tale of "Sati," the Arvan spouse of the rough Himalayan ascette god Siva. The tale is dedicated, in words of delightfully candid respect and affection, to the devoted and loving wives of Bengal, whose virtues as wives and mothers are the admiration of all who know their country. Your pions Vansnava can, without any hositation or difficulty transfer his thoughts from the symbolical amorism of Rrison to that other strange creation-legend of Him of the Blue Throat who, to save God's creatures availowed the posson cast up at the Churning of the Ocean, and bears the invitic stigms to this day we have our traditions, legends, mysteries, and as Mosa Underhill and others tell us, our own costatic invistics, who find such meffable joy in loving tied as, our Hindu friends tell us the divine Ridha experienced in her sweet surrender to the inspired wooing of Krisin. The important thing for us, as students of life and literature, is to note how these old communal beliefs influence and develop that wonderful record of human thought and emotion wrought for us by the imaginative writers of verse and prose, the patient artists of the pan

When all is said, there remains the odd indefinable charm which attach is to all that Dinesh Chandra Sen writes, whether in English or his native Bengali. In his book breathe a native candon, and piety which somehow remind us of the classical writers familiar to our boyhood. In truth, he is a belated contemporary of, say, Plutarch, and attacks his biographical task in much the same spirit. We hope his latest book will be widely (and sympathetically) read."

The Folk-Literature of Bengal, by Rai Sahib Dinesh Chandra Sen, B.A., published by the Calcutta University. Demy 8vo pp. 362. With a foreward by W. R. Gourlay, M. V. I.C.S., C.I.E. From a long review in the Times Literary Supplement, May 13, 1920.

'Those who are acquainted (we hope there are many) with Mr. Sen's other works, the outcome of lectures delivered to Calcutta University undergraduates in the author's function as Ramtann Lahiri Research Fellow in the History of the Bengali Language and Literature, will know exactly what to expect of his present delightful excugation into Bengali Folk-lore. Mr Sen thinks in Bengali, he thinks Bengali thoughts, he remains a pions Hindu, though his Hindu ideas are touched and stirred by contact with many kindly and admiring English friends. He is the better fitted to explain Bengal to the outer world. For he

loves his native province with all his heart. He has no doubts as to the venerable origins, the sound philosophy, the artistic powers, the suggestive beauty, all the many charms of the Bengali Saraswuti, the sweet and smiling, goddess, muse and deity alike, the inspirer and patron of a long line of men of literature and learning too little known to the self-satisfied west.

A llindu he remains thinking Hindu thoughts, retaining proud and happy memories of his Hindu childhood and of the kind old men and women who fed his childish imagination with old-world rhymes, with the quaintly primitive Bengali versions of the stately epics of Sanskrit Scripture, with tales even more primitive handed down by word of mouth by pious mothers, relies, perhaps of a culture which preceded the advent of Hinduism in Bengal. What makes Mr. Sen's books so delightful to us in Europe is precisely this indefinable Hindu quality specifically Bongali rather than Indian, something that fits itself with exquisite aptness to what we know of the scenery and climate of the Caugetic delta, where Mr Sen was born, and where he has spent the whole of his busy life as a student of his native literature. He began life as a achool-master in Eastern Bengal, a land of wide shining mires and huge slow moving rivers, where the boatman sings ancient legends as he lazily plies the our, and the cowherd lads on the low grassy banks of Moghua and Dhaleswari chant plaintive rhymes that Warren Hastings may have heard as he "proceeded up country" in his spacious " budgerow."

All these pleasant old rhymes and tales Mr. Sen loves with more than patriotic emotion and admiration, and this sentiment he contrives to impart to his readers, even through the difficult and laborious medium of a foreign language.

Accession No. 14200

call No. 89121/R165'S 2

Title ... Bengalic Ramagiana

Author

Date of Return Date of Return

891761 RICK 6

# STATE CENTRAL LIBRARY WEST BENGAL

Books are issued for fourteen days only.

Books lost defaced or injured in any way shall have to be replaced by the borrower.

P. S. (1) -5000

## INDEX

## A

			Page.
Abolokinī			30
Achyuta Dāsa		•••	231, 232, 234
Acyutānanda		••	231, 455
Açoka			15, 88, 92, 169
Açoka-grove			21
Adarshint			. 30
Adikāņļa	55,	91, 62, 63,	80, 81, 84, 120, 235
Ådikāvya	•••	•••	57
Advutāchārya		•••	219
Advut Rāmāyaņa	• • •		35, 59, 227
Agasta			177
Agasta Dosa			165
Agniveçya Rāmāyaņ	12	***	. 5
Ahalyā		•••	63
Abī Rāvaņa		••	181
Ahmedabad		• • •	27
Air-god			217
Airāvata	••	• • •	. 90, 219.
Ajātçatru		•••	15
Akbar	•••	•••	232
Aknā Mabesh	•••		91
Akşovya		•••	30
Alam busa	• • •	•••	62
Alanaskar	•••	•••	182
Alekha Lilā	•••	•••	240

286

			PAGE.
Amarāvatī		•••	227
Amazonions		•••	251
Ambatta Sutta	•••		92
Ambikā Kalna			242
Amburisa			63, 244
Anala stambhini			30
Ananda	•••	• • •	13
Anaranya		•••	211
Andhamuni	• • •		80, 89
Angada		•••	96, 181, 212, 214
Angada Raivāra			214, 215, 216, 217
Angus		•••	70
Animā		•••	30
Afijanā			54, 110, 192
Annadā Mangal		• • •	123
Annaprāsana	• • •	•••	247
Anupama	•••	•••	113
Anuştupa			3
Ape-god		2, 42, 45	, 46, 50, 51, 52, 53,
			59, 137, <b>2</b> 55
Ape-worship	•••	•••	43, 54
Aranya	• • •	•••	75
Aranya Kanda	• • •	•••	35, 113, 168
Aristotle	•••	•••	124
Arjuna	***	••	57, 68, 151
Aryan		•••	3, 26, 36, 54, 68, 75
Asiatic Society of		• • •	100, 134
Asoka Garden of	Kavaņa	•••	81
Atikāya	•••	•••	102, 103, 107, 169
Aurangzeb	•••		232, 233, 238
Ayodhya	••	ι / , <del>1</del> 0, 35, ξ	57, 62, 75, 78, 79, 94.
Andher Paul		10 OF 64	179, 197
Ayodhyā Kāṇḍa	•••	10, 30, 36	3, 68, 70, 71, 73, 77,
			94, 285.

		В			Page.
Babrubāhana	•••				68
Babubilāsa				•••	225
Babylonians					14, 43
•					50
Baçakarini	•••				157
Bara Ganga	••	•••		•••	303
Bādsār Çāsana	•••	•••		•••	41
Balarāma	•••	•••		••	
Balarama Bandyo	padh	y <b>ay</b>		•••	118, 223 231
Balarāma Dāsa			•	••	
Balor					68, 252
Bançı Dāsa	• • •			186, 187	, 191, <b>1</b> 94
Bangabasi edition				••	94
Bangabasi Press				••	108
Banga-Vā-ā-O-Sā	hitya				503
Banik caste					292
Bankura District					241, 251
Baruna		•		***	216
Bathūries					230
Battala edition					122, 216
Battala Presses of	Calc	eutta			187
Baudhāyana's Rā	mūya	ņ <b>a</b>		•••	5
Behar			•	••	288
Behar		• •	•	••	233 91
Beherd <b>š</b>	••	••		••	193, 208
Behulā	••	••	•	•••	242
Bekatya	• • •		119		9, 133, 15 <b>6</b>
Benares	•••			, 19, 11, 1 67, 74, 7	7, 81, 84,
Bengal	• •				4, 96, 98,
				15, 118,	
		133, 1	35,	136, I37,	145, 146,
		151, 1			
Bengali Brahmin	٠		• • •	•••	88, 89, 91

			•	PAGE.
Bengali culture		•••		132
Bengali Language		•••	***	152
Bengali Literature		•••	• ••	153
Bengali Rāmāyaṇa		, 26, 39, 51, 52		
Tougan Intillayana	pa.7 _1	71, 82, 83, 84		
		98, 101, 106,		
Bhabāni charaņa				211
Bhādra		•••		96
Bhagavata		100, 109, 189,	214, 215, 2	26, 243
Bhagavati		• • • • •	•••	255
Bhagawāna	•••	••		155
Bhairava		•••	15+, 18	56, 236
Bhakti-cult		••	•	92
Bharanga				86
Bharadvānja		···		, 90, <del>9</del> 1
Bharata	8	), 11, 12, 13, 18		72, 79.
<b>731</b>		81, 90, 91, 12	•	
Bhāratachandra	•	•••	123, 1	42, 511
Bharut	•••	•	• • •	. 6
Bhāskara Dhamadad	••	•	•••	155
Bhasmalochana Bhasani	• •	•••	•••	252
	•••	•••	•••	213 208
Bhayavati Bhik-u	••	•••		25, 123
Bhilapantha		•••		100
Bhima Bhoi	•••	•••	•••	240
Bhitipradarcial	•••	•••	•••	30
Bhrigu		•••	•••	87
Bhujangini	•••	•••	•••	30
Bhibhākara			•••	1.6
Bibhi <b>ça</b> y <b>a</b>	72	. 107, 120, 121,	209, 210, 2	11, 263,
•			264, 9	267 <b>, 26</b> 8
Biç <b>āla</b>	•••	• •••	•••	. 62
Bichāra	•••	•	•••	203

 Buddhism
 ...
 23, 24, 37, 46

 Buddhist
 5, 7, 8, 15, 16, 23, 24, 26, 28, 32, 34, 37, 48,

 Buddhistie
 19, 53, 67, 122, 123, 124, 126

 Buddhist-Cult
 ...
 48

 Buddhist-jatākas
 ...
 ...
 6

 Buddhist-Tantriks
 ...
 ...
 151

 Burdwan
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

C

Çaçiçekhara	•••	•••	•••	111
Caer		•••	•••	70
Çaila	•••	•••	•••	150
Çaiva	•••	•••		114
Çaktas	•••	53, 1	12, 114,	115, 118
Cakta-Cults	•••	• •		<b>46,</b> 50

Bijāpur

Bijayapur

Bikrampur

Birbhum

Risāk hā

Brahmā

Brahmin

Brested

Buddha

Brindavana

Bijayrama Bandyo

Brahminic legend

British Mythology

Buddha-temples

Brahmin Renaissance

				Page.
Çāk yas		•••	14	1, 15, 31
Calcutta Sāhitya Pa	risat	•••	•••	185
Calient		•••	•••	45
Çamuka	•••	•••		91
Ç <b>a</b> nāi		•	•••	· 86
Canarese		•••	•••	38
Çankara		•••	100, \$	213, 214
Çān ta			•••	250
Çāntimā lhava	•••	•••		155
Carsio	•••		•••	200
Cavyā				96
Caltic	•••	•••	•••	150
Central America				48
Ceylon		•••	{	5, 49, 54
Chaitanya		52, 99,	112, 113,	139, 229
Chaitanya Bhagava	a	•••	•••	149
Chandra-Kanta			•••	59
Chandāl		•••	•••	129
Chand Sadagara		•••	•••	50
Chandt	•••	50, 51, 67,		
				148, 149
Chandidāsa	• • •	•••	•••	148, 152
Chandi Mangal	•••	•••	•••	128
Chandi Pūja	•••	•••	•••	115
Chandra Gupta	•••	•••	•••	15
Chandrakalā	• • •	•••		211, 212
Chandrakumar De	•••			186, 204
Chandranakhā	•••	•••	•••	29
Chandra's Ramayar	-			204
Chandravati 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 189, 190,				
(N m 1 )		192,	193, 194.	•
Chātaka Chaturdolās	•••	•••	•••	142 225
	•••	·•	•••	1 <b>5</b> 5
Chaturbhuja	• · •	• • •	•	149

•				Page.
Chaucer	•••	• • •		152
Chaybana	•••		<b>.:</b> .	125, 157
Chinsurah				223
Chinta			•••	201
_	•••			129
Chitra Gupta	•••	•••	•••	-
Chitrakuta	•••	• •		74
Chitralekhā	•••	•••	245,	246, 247
Chittagong				555
Choranandi				100
Christian		•••	• • •	58, 134
Christianity		•••		24
Chulla Vagga				5
Çiço			•••	161
Çirisa		•••	•••	71
Çiva	17	, 51, 6 <b>3,</b> 87, 1	121, 122,	
•				195, 196
Çiva Gitā	••	•••		153
Çiva-songs	•••		 o :0 117	120, 122
Çivaites	•••		8, 39, 07, 	, 120, 122 249
Çivachandra Sen	•••	•••	•••	17, 121
Çiva Ramer Yuddha		•••		68
Conleach	•••	•••	•••	43
Court Pandit	•••	•••		157, 158
Crabbe		•••		152
Crate	•••			67
Crete Cridāma		•••		111, 208
Çridhara	•••		••	155
Çrimati	• • •		•••	248, 244
Çringavera	•••	•••		79 aa
<b>O</b> rinjaya	• • •	•••	•••	62 156
Gripancham!	•••	•••		158, 201
Črivales C. N. ankari	•••	•••		151
<b>Çubbanka</b> ri	• • • •	•••		

		•		Page.
Çuddhodana	•••	•••	•••	9, 13
Çudra caste		•••		238
Çuka		•••	•••	32
Çumbha-Niçumbha	•••	•••	•••	228
Ćunpya purana		•••	48,	284, 285
Çunya Samhita		•••	•••	231, 232
Čuttack	•••			

# D

Daçaratha		9, 11, 18, 14, 1	5, 45, 54,	72, 80,
			89, 98, 2	57, 265
Daçarātha Jātaka		5, 6, 8,	9, 16, 23,	36, 235
Dacca		•••		222
Dacca Review		•••	1	60, 161
Dāka		•••	•••	89
Dānavas		•••		259
Dandaka			1	72, 208
Dandi		•••		69
Dante				66
Danuja Ray		• • •		162
Dāru Brahma			231, 232, 2	
Dāsya			••••	250
Deccan			27, 31, 36	
Delhi		÷	• • • •	127
Desdemona		•••	•••	200
Devanam Piya Piyat	issa	•••	•••	5
Devivara		•••	•••	162
Devaratha		•••	•••	68
Devas		•••	•••	62
Dewan Badha		•••	•••	204
Dhamma		••	•••	48
Dhanapati Sadāgara		***		- 50

293

			PAGE.
Dharma	• • •	•••	123
Dharma Kirtti		•••	33, 37
Dharma Mangal		• • •	69
Dhekur Vijaya	•••	•••	253
Dhruvanandana Miçi	<b>'a</b>	•••	162, 168
Dinanath Dhar			223
Dinardwip :			221
Dinarātribidhāyinī			30
n: : 1			10
Dionysus			40
District of Dacca	•••	•••	331
District of Hazaribag	 . ls		206
Dr. A. B. Keith			7
Drāvida	••		246
Drayya Sinha, II		•••	٧33, 234
Dravidan		••	36, 38, 47, 59, 180
Dravidian legend			3, 15, 27, 28
Dravidian fradition			12
Druds		•••	50
Durgā			112
Durmukha			200
Dvija Bançī		• • •	188
Dviji Madhukantha		•••	185, 158, 184
Dvija Madhu Kantha	's Rāi	ngi.aúa	185
		E	
Eastern Bengal	•••		154, 163, 185, 221
Eastern Mymensingh			186
Egypt	•••	••	39
Egyptians	•••	•••	14, 59, 43
Egyptian Monumente		•••	95
Pounties temples			40

				PAGE
Ekūdaçī		•••	•••	244
Emperor of Gaur	•••			156
•	•••	•••	•••	
English literature	•••	•••		184
Epic of Valmiki	•••	•••	1, 8, 2	
European Evans	•••	•••	•	, 183
Evans	•••	•••	•••	67
		F		
Falgun		•••	•••	165
Fakiruddin Attar	•••	•••	•••	128
Folk-literature of B	engal	•••	•••	253
Fuleçwarı	•••	•••	187, 195,	
Fulia	•••	153,	154, 158, 160,	168
		G		
Gaelic legends	•••	•••		68
Gaelie mythology		•••	68,	181
Ganapati	•••	•••	•••	156
Gand hamādana	•••	•••		254
Gangadasa Sen	•••	•••	184, 221,	222
Gandhara	•••	•••	•••	19
Gandarva Rāy	•••	•••	•••	158
Gaudiya Order	•••	•••	•••	99
Ganesh	•••	•••		161
George	•••	•••	163,	
Gengananda	•••	•••	- 1	162
Gangulis	. • • •	•••		185
Gangura	•••	•••		198
Garbbeçavara	•••	•••	*-*	154
Gärhasthha äçrama	•••	•••	•••	23

				Page.
a lucanosi				153
Garimangal	•••	70	150 144	
Garuda	•••	10,	159, 166,	•
Gaul	•••	•••	•••	30
Gaurgobinda	• • •	•••		230
Gazi	•••	•••	•••	163
Ghanaçyama	•••		•••	91
Ghosa	•••	•••	•••	241
Ghosaputra	•••	•••	• • •	241
Gitapadma	•••	•••	•••	208
Godayarna	• • •	•••	•••	69
Godāvarī ·	•••	•••	•••	174, 175 104
God Visnu	•••	•••	•••	214
Gop <b>āla S</b> inha	•••	• • •	•••	110
Gopis	•••	•••	•••	151
Gorakşanātha	•••	• • • •		, 213, 230
Govinda	•••	••		4()
Greek God Dionysu	8	•••	•••	133
Grierson	• • •	•••		253
Grimm Brothers	•••	•••	•••	188
Growse	•••	•••	•••	36
Guhakachandāla	•••	•••	•••	22
Gunarāj	•••	•••	•••	100
Guzret	•••		-	
		H		
Hafiz Persian			•••	66
Halabhrit	•••		•••	41 67
Hall	•••	•••		
Hanumina	2, 8,	12, 44, 45, 4	8, 47, 48,	01, 172, UT 4 118 101
		67, 82, 9	U, 113, 11	6, 118, 121 51
Hanuman Charit	•••	•••	•••	<b>#9</b>
Hanuman Worship	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	•••	, 55

				PAGE.
Haricharana		•••		185
Harishchandra	•••	•••		244
Hārisīddhā			•••	151, 240
Hemchandra Achārya	8, 2°	7, 36, 38, 42, 62,	<b>205,</b>	206, 242
Hanumana-cult				46
Hesperides		•••		69
Himālayas			10,	15, 37, 84
Hināyaņa		•••		15
Hindi Rāmāyaņa		•••		133, <b>137</b>
Hinduism				24
Hindu mythology		•••		46
Hindu Rājā Ganeça	•••			164
Hindusthan	•••	•••		133, 134
Hirā		••		212
History of Bengali I	Langu	age and Literature		153, 160
•			203	, 221, 223
Hittees	•••	•••		67
Homer				39, 66
Hughli		•••		163
		I		
Iago		•••		197, 209
Ikṣāku	•••	•••		62, 262
Iliad	•••	•••		74
India	••	27, 40, 43, 46,		
Indo Aryan	•••	•••	•••	
Indra	••	31, 92,		
Indrajita	•••	60, 91, 176, 177,	180	
Indrani	•••	•••	•••	207
Indumati	•••	•••	•••	245, 247
Iranian	•••	•••	•••	34
Irish God	•••	•••	•••	68

		J			
		•		1	PAGE.
Jacobi	•••	•••			7
Jādavānanda	•••	•••			187
Jadunandan Dasa		•••			113
Jagadānandana		•••		158,	221
Jagata Ram	• • •	•••	• • •		59
Jagāi ·		• •		L00,	148
Jagannātha		•••	104,	232,	283
Jainas	•••	•••	\$	26, 31	, 37
Jaina Rāmāyaņa		31, 38, 12,53,	205,	120,	206
Jaina Scholar		•••	•••		27
Jaliā Hāor		••			192
Jāma		•••			94
Jambhuvāna		•••	268,	262,	260
Jānaka	• •	•	68	3, 87,	111
Jananinātha		•••	• • •		214
Japan		•••			43
Jasomati Mālikā	•••		• • •		231
Jataka	•••	5, 6, 7, 8, 15, 17,	18,	22, 37	, 46
Jatayu		•••			80
Java		***			58
Jaya Āditya		•••	• • •		156
Jaya Chandra		•••	194,	195,	196
Jay Sahu		• • •			240
Jhinardi		•••		221,	225
Jnāna	•••	•••	•••		140
Jones, Sir William		•••	•••		40
Josephine		••	•••		203
Juthi	•••	•••			90
		_			
		K			
Kaçidãsa		•••	•••	129,	
Kaçinath Trambak		<b>g</b>	·		89
Kadcha of Govinda	Dina	•••	•••		229

				Page.
Kaikasā	•••		•••	29
Kaikeyī	• •		8, 71, 72,	129 197
Kakutetha	•••		• • •	62
Kalanemi			•••	181, 182
Kālāpāhā; a				230, 233
Kālı	114,	118, 228,	236, 274,	275, 280
Kali Dāsa	•••	•••		245, 259
Kālikā-Purāņa	• • •		•••	115
Kalikapur				251
Kalikṛṣna Dās				59
Kaliyuga		•	•••	237, 238
Kälnemir Lanka Bi	īta			181
Kalpatarn				123
Kārikā		•••		162
Kasivilasa Bandopā	klhy <b>āya</b>	•••		251
Kālu			•••	84
Kalu Dom				258
Kātwā	••	• • •		223
Kalyānmālika		•••		208
Kumārasambhava				136
Kananārāyana	•••			161
Kandarpa Çarmā	•••	•••		184
Kanişka	•••	••	•••	88, 122
Kans	•	•••	•••	161
Kapila	• • •	•••	•••	23
Kapidhawaj	••	• • •	• •	51
Karna	•••	• •	•••	150
Karnanda	•	•••		113
Kauçalya	•••	•••		18, 45, 54
Kavichandra	•••	•••		7, 99, 101
Kavikankan Kedāra Khān	•••	•••	•••	50
Kedāra Rāy	•••	•••	•••	158, 159 158
Kenārama	•••	•••	 100	
V-60WLFIDE	•••	•••	190	, 190, 191

Page   Name   Page   Name   Name		-		
Krittivāsa 47, 71, 78, 85  Kuça 68  Kukuā 183, 197, 199, 200, 202  Kumbhakarņa 28, 29, 30, 107  Kuṇda 161  Kuntibhoja 240  Kuvera 31, 120  Lakḥualasi 242  Lakṣmana 9, 10, 11, 45, 54  Lakṣmi 175, 187, 236  Lāma 28, 35, 148, 169  Lankā 28, 35, 148, 169  Lankā 32, 37, 124  Lausen 253  Lava 68  Leggo 100  Luano 214  Mādhāi 100,148  Mādhurya 250  Madhusūdana 153  Māgha 156,158,164,165  Mahāvīra 207  Mahāyana Creed 240  Mahī Rāvana 69,169,180,252,256  Makarākṣa 102  Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya 3616  Mālādhara Khān 162,164,165				Page,
Kuça	Khanā			89
Kuça	Krittivāsa	•••	•••	47, 71, 78, 85
Kukuā 183, 197, 199, 200, 202 Kumbhakarņa 28, 29, 30, 107 Kunda 161 Kuntibhoja 240 Kuvera 31, 120  Lakhuabasi 242 Lakṣmana 9, 10, 11, 45, 54 Lakṣmi 175, 187, 236 Lāma 126 Laukā 28, 35, 148, 169 Laukā 28, 35, 148, 169 Lankāvatāra Sūtra 32, 37, 124 Lausen 253 Lava 68 Leggo 100 Luano 214  Mādhāi 100,148 Mādhurya 250 Madhusūdana 153 Māgha 156,158,164,165 Mahāvīra 207 Mahāyana Creed 240 Mahi Rāvana 69,169,180,252,256 Makarākṣa 102 Mākhanīāī Bandyopādhyāya 216 Mālādhara Khān 162,164,165	Kuca	.,		.44
Kumbhakarņa       28, 29, 30, 107         Kuņda       161         Kuntibhoja       240         Kuvera       31, 120         L       10         Lakņuabasi       242         Lakņmana       9, 10, 11, 45, 54         Lakņmi       175, 187, 236         Lāma       28, 35, 148, 169         Laukā       28, 35, 148, 169         Laukāvatāra Sūtra       32, 37, 124         Lausen       253         Lava       68         Leggo       100         Luano       214         M       Mādhāi       100,148         Mādhurya       250         Madhusūdana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165	•			
Kunda 161 Kuntibhoja 240 Kuvera 31, 120  Lakhuabasi 242 Lakṣmana 9, 10, 11, 45, 54 Lakṣmi 175, 187, 236 Lāma 126 Lankā 28, 85, 148, 169 Lankāvatāra Sūtra 258, 85, 148, 169 Lankāvatāra Sūtra 32, 37, 124 Lausen 258 Lava 68 Leggo 100 Luano 214  Mādhāi 100,148 Mādhurya 250 Madhusūdana 153 Māgha 156,158,164,165 Mahāvīra 207 Mahāvīra 207 Mahāvīra 207 Mahāvīra 207 Mahāvīra 207 Mahāvana 69,169,180,252,256 Makarākṣa 102 Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya 216 Mālādhara Khān 162,164,165				
Kuntibhoja       240         Kuvera       31, 120         L       1.00         Lakhuabasi       242         Lakṣmana       9, 10, 11, 45, 54         Lakṣmi       175, 187, 236         Lāma       126         Laukā       28, 35, 148, 169         Laukāvatāra Sūtra       32, 37, 124         Lausen       253         Lava       68         Leggo       100         Luano       214         M       100,148         Mādhāi       100,148         Mādhuya       250         Madhusūdana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāyana Creed       240         Mahāyana Creed       240         Makarākṣa       69,169,180,252,256         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165	•			
L Lakhuabasi	=			\$ 10
L Lakhuahasi 242 Lakṣmana 9, 10, 11, 45, 54 Lakṣmi 175, 187, 236 Lāma 126 Laukā 28, 35, 148, 169 Lankāvatāra Sūtra 32, 37, 124 Lausen 253 Lava 68 Leggo 100 Luano 214  Mādhāi 100,148 Mādhurya 250 Madhusūdana 153 Māgha 156,158,164,165 Mahāvīra 207 Mahāyana Creed 240 Mahi Rāvana 69,169,180,252,256 Makarākṣa 102 Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya 216 Mālādhara Khān 162,164,165	••			31, 120
Lakhuabasi 242  Lakṣmana 9, 10, 11, 15, 54  Lakṣmi 175, 187, 236  Lāma 126  Lankā 28, 35, 148, 169  Lankāvatāra Sūtra 32, 37, 124  Lausen 253  Lava 68  Leggo 100  Luano 214  Mādhāi 100,148  Mādhuya 250  Madhusūdana 153  Māgha 156,158,164,165  Mahāvīra 207  Mahāyana Creed 240  Mahi Rāvana 69,169,180,252,256  Makarākṣa 102  Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya 162,164,165				•
Lakşmi       9, 10, 11, 45, 54         Lakşmi       175, 187, 236         Lāma       (26         Laukā       28, 35, 148, 169         Laukāvatāra Sūtra       32, 37, 124         Lausen       258         Lava       68         Leggo       100         Luano       214         Mādhāi       100,148         Mādhuya       250         Madhuyādana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāyana Creed       240         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165		L	•	
Lakşmi       9, 10, 11, 45, 54         Lakşmi       175, 187, 236         Lāma       (26         Laukā       28, 35, 148, 169         Laukāvatāra Sūtra       32, 37, 124         Lausen       258         Lava       68         Leggo       100         Luano       214         Mādhāi       100,148         Mādhuya       250         Madhuyādana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāyana Creed       240         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165	<b>*</b>			343
Lakşmi 175, 187, 236  Lāma 126  Laukā 28, 35, 143, 169  Lankāvatāra Sūtra 32, 37, 124  Lausen 253  Lava 68  Leggo 100  Luano 214  Mādhāi 100,148  Mādhuya 250  Madhuyādana 153  Māgha 156,158,164,165  Mahāvīra 207  Mahāvīra 207  Mahāvana Creed 240  Mahi Rāvana 69,169,180,252,256  Makarākṣa 102  Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya 216  Mālādhara Khān 162,164,165			••	
Lāma       126         Lankā       28, 35, 148, 169         Lankāvatāra Sūtra       32, 37, 124         Lausen       253         Lava       68         Leggo       100         Luano       214         Mādhāi       100,148         Mādhurya       250         Madhusūdana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāvīra       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165		••	•	·
Lankā       28, 35, 148, 169         Lankāvatāra Sūtra       32, 37, 124         Lausen       258         Lava       68         Leggo       100         Luano       214         Mādhāi       100,148         Mādhurya       250         Madhusūdana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165	-	• •	•••	
Lankāvatāra Sūtra       32, 37, 124         Lausen       258         Lava       68         Leggo       100         Luano       214         M         Mādhāi       100,148         Mādhuya       250         Madhusūdana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāvana Creed       240         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165		•	• • •	
Lausen       258         Lava       68         Leggo       100         Luano       214         M         Mādhāi       100,148         Mādhuya       250         Madhusūdana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāyana Creed       240         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165		•	• •	-
Lava		•	• • •	.374
Leggo		••		
M         Mädhäi       100,148         Mädhurya       250         Madhusüdana       153         Mägha       156,158,164,165         Mahävira       207         Mahävana Creed       240         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165		•••	•••	
Mādhāi       100,148         Mādhuya       250         Madhusūdana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāyana Creed       240         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165	•	•	•••	21.4
Mādhāi       100,148         Mādhurya       250         Madhusūdana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāyana Creed       240         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165	Luano		•	314
Mādhurya       250         Madhusūdana       153         Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāyana Creed       240         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165	Madhe:		•	100 145
Madhusüdana       153         Mägha       156,158,164,165         Mahävira       207         Mahäyana Creed       240         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165			•	
Māgha       156,158,164,165         Mahāvīra       207         Mahāyana Creed       240         Mahi Rāvana       69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa       102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya       216         Mālādhara Khān       162,164,165	-			••
Mahāvīra        207         Mahāyana Creed        240         Mahi Rāvana        69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa        102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya        216         Mālādhara Khān        162,164,165			•••	
Mahäyana Creed	O .			- ·
Mahi Rāvana        69,169,180,252,256         Makarākṣa        102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya        216         Mālādhara Khān        162,164,165				340
Makarākṣa         102         Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya        216         Mālādhara Khān        162,164,165	•	•••		•••
Mākhanlāl Bandyopādhyāya          216           Mālādhara Khān          162,164,165		•		
Mālādhara Khān 162,164,165	<u>•</u>			,
	• •			
Mandodari 35		•••	•••	35

Manoramā       200         Mantharā       197,203         Māricha       177         Maynāmati       69,244         Michael Madhusūdana Datta       25         Minanātha       15         Minanātha       163         Miney, Mr.       163         M. Sonneral       4         Mukunda       158         Mukunda Deva       280         Mukunda Pāra       280         Mukunda Pāra       214         Murāri       153         Nami Rām Chakravarti       214         Murāri       154         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,262         Napolean       203         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,162         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       O         Othellc       200         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pala Devakumar       27					PAGR
Manthară       197,203         Măricha       173         Maynămati       69,244         Michael Madhusūdana Datta       25         Minanătha       15         Minanătha       163         Miney, Mr.       163         M. Sonneral       4         Mukunda       156         Mukunda Deva       236         Mukundarăma       153         Muni Răm Chakravarti       214         Murări       154         Namarai       23         Nakșatra Doșa       165         Nala       90,262         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,162         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       O         Othellc       200         Padma purāna       194         Padma Devakumar       27	Mangalchandt	•••			67
Māricha       17.         Maynāmati       69,244         Michael Madhusūdana Datta       25.         Mīnanātha       15.         Miney, Mr.       163         M. Sonneral       4.         Mukunda       156         Mukunda Deva       286         Mukundarāma       153         Muni Rām Chakravarti       214         Murāri       154         Nagārjuna       29.         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,262         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,163         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       Othellc         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pala Devakumar       27	Manoramā	•••	•••	•••	208
Maynāmati       69,244         Michael Madhusūdana Datta       25         Mīnanātha       15         Miney, Mr.       163         M. Sonneral       4         Mukunda       156         Mukunda Deva       236         Mukunda Tāma       155         Muni Rām Chakravarti       214         Murāri       154         Nagārjuna       23         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,262         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,163         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       Othellc       200         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pala Devakumar       27	Mantharā	•••	•••		197,203
Michael Madhusūdana Datta       25         Minanātha       15         M ney, Mr.       163         M. Sonneral       4         Mukunda       156         Mukunda Deva       286         Mukundarāma       153         Muni Rām Chakravarti       214         Murāri       154         Nagārjuna       231         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,262         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,163         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       Othellc       200         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pāla Devakumar       27	Maricha		•••		173
Minanātha 155 Miney, Mr. 166 M. Sonneral 44 Mukunda 156 Mukunda Deva 236 Mukundarāma 156 Muni Rām Chakravarti 214 Murāri 154 N Nāgārjuna 231 Nakṣatra Doṣa 165 Nala 90,266 Napolean 203 Narada 56,125,243,244 Narasinha Ojha 153,154,162,168 Nawab Ekrama Khān 242 Nityānanda 101 Nizamuddin Aulia 127, 128 O Othellc 200 Othellc 200  P Padma 156,157 Padma purāna 194 Pāla Devakumar 27	Maynamati			•••	69,240
M ney, Mr.       163         M. Sonneral       4         Mukunda       158         Mukunda Deva       286         Mukundarāma       153         Munī Rām Chakravarti       214         Murāri       154         Nagārjuna       231         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,262         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,163         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       Othellc       200         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pāla Devakumar       27	Michael Madhusūds	na Datta		•••	251
M. Sonneral       44         Mukunda       158         Mukunda Deva       286         Mukundarāma       155         Muni Rām Chakravarti       214         Murāri       154         Nagārjuna       231         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,268         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,162         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       Othellc         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pāla Devakumar       27	Mīnanātha	•••			İ51
Mukunda       158         Mukunda Deva       280         Mukundarāma       156         Muni Rām Chakravarti       214         Murāri       154         Nāgārjuna       231         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,268         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,168         Nawab Ekrama Khān       248         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       O         Othellc       200         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pāla Devakumar       27	Money, Mr.		•••	•••	163
Mukunda Deva       286         Mukundarāma       156         Muni Rām Chakravarti       214         Murāri       154         Nala       231         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,262         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,163         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       Othellc         Othellc       200         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pāla Devakumar       27	M. Sonneral	•••			41
Mukundarāma       153         Muni Rām Chakravarti       214         Murāri       154         N       154         Nāgārjuna       231         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,268         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,168         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       O         Othellc       200         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pāla Devakumar       27	Mukunda		•••		158
Mukundarāma       155         Muni Rām Chakravarti       214         Murāri       154         Nala       231         Nala       90,268         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,163         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       O         Othellc       200         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pāla Devakumar       27	Mukunda Deva				230
Nurări       N         N       Năgărjuna       231         Nakşatra Doşa       165         Nala       90,268         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,163         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       O         Othellc       200         Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pāla Devakumar       27	Mukundarāma	•••			152
N Nāgārjuna	Muni Rām Chakray	arti	•••		214
Nāgārjuna       231         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,262         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,163         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       O         Othellc       200         P       Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pāla Devakumar       27	Murari			•••	154
Nāgārjuna       231         Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,262         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,163         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       O         Othellc       200         P       Padma       156,157         Padma purāna       194         Pāla Devakumar       27		•	-		
Nakṣatra Doṣa       165         Nala       90,262         Napolean       203         Narada       56,125,243,244         Narasinha Ojha       153,154,162,163         Nawab Ekrama Khān       242         Nityānanda       101         Nizamuddin Aulia       127, 128         O       O         Othellc       200         P       Padma         Padma purāna       156,157         Pala Devakumar       27		7	ı		
Nala	•	•••	••.	, ···	231
Napolean 203 Narada 56,125,243,244 Narasinha Ojha 153,154,162,163 Nawab Ekrama Khān 242 Nityānanda 101 Nizamuddin Aulia 127, 128  O Othellc 200  P Padma 156,157 Padma purāna 194 Pāla Devakumar 27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••	•••		165
Narada        56,125,248,244         Narasinha Ojha        153,154,162,168         Nawab Ekrama Khān        242         Nityānanda        101         Nizamuddin Aulia        127, 128         O       O         Othellc        200         P       Padma        156,157         Padma purāna        194         Pāla Devakumar        27		•••			90,262
Narasinha Ojha        153,154,162,168         Nawab Ekrama Khān        242         Nityānanda        101         Nizamuddin Aulia        127, 128         O       O         Othellc        200         P       Padma        156,157         Padma purāna        194         Pāla Devakumar        27	•	• • •	•••		203
Nawab Ekrama Khān		• •	•••	56,12	5,2 <b>48</b> ,244
Nityānanda	•		•••	153,15	4,162,163
Nizamuddin Aulia         127, 128         O         200         Padma          156,157         Padma purāna          194         Pāla Devakumar          27		āD	•••		242
O Othellc		•••	•••	• • •	101
P       Padma        Padma purāna        Pāla Devakumar        200           156,157       Padma purāna            27	Nizamuddin Aulia	•••	• • •	•••	127, 128
P Padma 156,157 Padma purāna 194 Pāla Devakumar 27		C	)		
P Padma 156,157 Padma purāna 194 Pāla Devakumar 27	Othellc		•••	•••	200
Padma        156,157         Padma purāna         194         Pāla Devakumar         27					
Padma purāna          194           Pāla Devakumar          27	D. Jan.	F			
Pala Devakumar 27		•••	•••	•••	
Danaha and	•	•••	•••	•••	. 194
Panchavati 176		•••	•••	. • • •	27
	Languayati	•••	•••	•••	176

	INDEX		•	301
				PAGE.
•			•••	230
Panc'as	•••			87
Paraçu Rama	•••		•••	5
Paramāthadipikā	•••		51,120,1	22,136
Parvati	•••			5
Pataliputra	•••	•••		16,266
Payana	·••	•••		25
Phakirram Kabibhus	hana	•••	•••	18
Phusati	•••	••	-	16
Piliyakkha		•	• •	98
Pingala		•	•••	124
Plato			•••	75
Pompāi		•	• •	225
Pramatha Çarmā		•••		151
Pramila				230
Prataprudia		•••	••	8
Prof. Bühler		••		152
Prof. E. B. Cowell		•	,.,	• •
Puskara	••	••	55,	,227 ,228
		R		
				108,236
Rādbā	•••		•••	123
Raghu	•••			,111,144
Raghunandan Gost	yamı	•	90	,124,175
Rahu	••			123
Rājā Harsa	••	• • •		123
Raja Krisnachand	ra	•••	• •	230
Raja-Mukunda De	va	••	•••	118
Rājā Vīrahāmvīra	••			7,147,169
Raksasas		•••		118
Rama Chandra Ka	virāja	•••	•••	. 48,:40
Ramai Pundit	•••	••		242
Rāma Kānāi Hāz	r <b>ä</b>			241
Rama Kumara Di	atta	•••	•••	~**

•				
				Page.
Ramamohana	•••		47,137	,153,223
Rāmānanda			5,234	,239 <b>,242</b>
Ramāpati				224
Rāma Prasāda		••	•••	243
Rama Sundara Chand	lra	•••	•••	242
Rambhāvati	• •			68
Rameses II,				39,40
Ratinibhā			••	208
Ratnākar Dasyu			.,	127,128
Rāvana	•••	8	8,18,21, 29	,147,169
Ray, Prof. Jogeshcha	ndra			,166,167
Rhys Davids, Prof.			•••	6
Rudra Avatūra				47
Rudra Ojha				157
Rupa				113
Rupavati		•••	•••	208
•				
	1	8		
Sakhya			•••	250
Sagara		•••	• • •	63,90
Sāgardia				218
Sahadeva				62
Sahitya-Parisat of Calcutta			48	,122,204
Sakkate	•••			14
Sama	•••	•••		16,17
Samana Purnananda	•••	••	•••	9
Samanta Pasādikā	•••	•••	•••	5
Sambula jätaka			•••	21
Sanchi Stupas	••		•••	6
Sāraija		•••		32
Sarasvati	•••	•••	•••	157,159
Sarayū		•••	•••	198

				Page.
Sasthi vara		•••	19	84,221
Satānanda	• •	•••	•••	162
Satya-Pirer kathā	••		•••	50
•	••	•	, ,	60
Schiller	• * •		•••	66
Shakespeare	ehaha	••	•••	168
Shamsuddin Siruz,			•	239
Shivājī	•••	••	•••	89
Sindhu	•••	•••	•••	18
Stephens	•••	•••		160
Stapleton, H. E.			 o 10 1	8,27,35
Sitā	•••	•••	v, (v, i	62 62
Soma Datta				38
Southern Dravadia	n legend	•••	•••	207
Srīranganath	• • •	•••	• • •	62
Suchandra		• •	•	155
Suçila	•••	••	 0.44.130	• • •
Sugrīva	•••	•••	8,44,120,178,276	
Sulochanā	•	••	•	188,250 81
Sumitrā		•	•	•
Sunanda	•••	•••	•••	158
Surpanakhā	•••	•••	•	220
Svayambara	•••		• •	92,245
		T		
Tamasā			•••	56
Tantrasāra			•••	51,122
Tantrik		••		,234,258
Taranisens	•••		101,103,105,158	
Tārakā	•••		•••	40
Targish Gazi, Za		•••	•••	163
Tazkiratul-Aulia				128
	•••			68
Teutonic	•••	•••		168
Thomas	•••			

				Page.
Tibetans		•••	•••	48
Trithankaras		•••	9	206,207
Trojan cyele		•••		39
Tuiroun		•••		60
Tulst Dāsa	•••	183,184,	139,147,	181, <b>22</b> 8
		σ		
Upanisadas	•••	•••	•••	23
Upper India		•••	•••	26
Urvaçi	•••	•••		69
Utsāha		•••		161,162
Uttarākāņda	•••	31,35,122,184,202,22		202,227
		v		
Vāchaspatī Miçra		•••	•••	161
Vacistha		***	•••	62
Vaignavas	•••	44, 53, 67, 9	9, 102,	143, 236
Vaisnava Padas	•••	•••	•••	111
Vaisuava Paūcha	tattva	•••	• • •	250
Vālī	•••	•••	31	, 92, 120
Vālakaņda		•••	•••	136
Vallabha		•••	•••	113
Vallala Sen		•••	•••	161
Vālmīki I	, 22, 3	7, 44, 56, 61, 70	, 80, 94,	106, 108
Vālmīki's epic	•••	•••	36, 60	, 98, 147
Vālmīki's Ramāy	aņa	•••	7, 8, 2	3, 35, 5 <b>8</b>
Vāmana	•••	•••	•••	63
Vanamālā	•••	•••	•••	207, 208
Vanamāli		•••	•••	155
V <b>a</b> ņī	•••	•••	•••	256
Väramukhi	•••	•••	•••	100
Varuņa	•••	•••	•••	63

Vasmalochana				Pagk.	
		•••	•	68	
Vasu-Nagendranāt	a,				
Vedānuja	•••	• • •	•••	158	
Vessantara jätaka	•••	•••	•••	18, 19	
Viçalyā	•••	•••	•••	208.	
Viçwāmitra	•••	•••	•••	62, 179	
Viçwarūpa	•••	• •	•	236	
Vinyās	• • •	••		30	
Vidyapati	• • •			156	
Vimalabodha Baud	lh <mark>āyaņa R</mark>	āmāyaņa		5	
Vīrab <b>ā</b> hu		102,	103, 181,	254, 258	
Virgil	•••	•••		66	
Virasinha	•••			217	
Visvakosha				51	
Viṣṇu	61, 63,	102, 114,	130, 181,	243, 262	
Vişņupur	•••	•••	•••	113	
Viswakarmā	•••		•••	262	
Vretra.	••	•••		78	
Vrihaspati	•••			217	
Vyšsa		•••	•••	155	
$\mathbf{w}$					
Weber, Prof.,	••	•••		33, 41,	
•	3	¥			
Yakşas	•••	•		23, 29	
Yakşa Prince	••		•••	80	
Yama.	•••		•••	218	
Yoga-Concentration	on			29	
Yugadyā			•••	51	

#### CONTENTS

#### CHAPTER 1.

(a) The early Rāma legends, the materials of Vālmiki's epic, pp. 1-14.

The Bengali Rāmāyaṇas not merely translations, pp. 1-2; Materials used by Valmīki, p. 3; Bengali Rāmāyaṇas contain legends older than Valmīki's epič, p. 4.

(b) The Daçaratha Jātaka its relation to the Rāmāyaṇa, pp. 5-15.

The earlier legends, p. 5; the probable date of the Daçaratha Jātaka, pp. 5-7; the Daçaratha Jātaka probably earlier than the epic, pp. 7-9; the story of the Jātaka, pp. 9-15.

(c) The Sama, Vessantara and other Jātakas, pp. 15-23.

Sama Jataka and the tale of the Andha Muni, pp. 17-18; the Vessantara Jataka, pp. 18-21; the Sambula Jataka, p. 21; the priority of the Jataka-stories, pp. 22-23.

- (d) Home and Monastery, pp. 23-26.
- The Epic vindicates domestic life, pp. 25-26.
- (e) The Southern Legend the Jaina Rāmā-yaṇa, pp. 26-41.

Hem Chandra Acharya, 27-28; the elevated notion about the Ruksasas and monkeys in the Southern legends,

p. 28; Rāvaṇa's character depicted as noble and grand, pp. 28-31; the Lankāvatar Sūtra, p. 32; the Rāvaṇa Legend without any connection with Rāma, and the evidence of Dnarma Kīrtti, pp. 33-34; the patch work about Sītā's birth and ancestry, pp. 34-35; the simple nature of the epic up to the Ayodhya and Dravidian imaginativeness in the later cantos, p. 36; two distinct legends combined into one story, pp. 37-39.

#### CHAPTER II.

(a) The Ape-god Hanuman, pp. 42-54.

Hanumān as a Çivaite, pp. 47-48; as a Buddhist god, pp. 48-50; as a Çakta, p. 50; as a musician and astrologer, pp. 51-52; as a Vassnava enquirer, p. 52; as a Sea god, pp. 53-51.

b) The supplementary cantos of the Rāmā-yaṇa, pp. 55-61.

The characteristic style of the Purāṇas in the first and the last cantos, pp. 55-56; the two indexes, pp. 56-57; the concluding lines of the Laṇkā Kaṇda, p. 57; virtues exaggerated in the last canto, pp. 59-61; the promulgation of the divinity of Rāma and of the superiority of the Brahmins, pp. 61-63; the anomalies, pp. 63-64.

## CHAPTER III.

(a) A striking affinity of some Bengali Legends with those of Medieval Europe, p. 65.

The indigenous nature of the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas, pp. 65-68; the story of Vasmalochana and other legends, pp. 68-70.

(b) A comparative review of the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas and Vālmīki's epic, pp. 70-98.

Where the Bengali writers deviated from Vālmtki, pp. 70-73; the great poetry of the original missed in Bengali, pp. 74-76; the pathos, pp. 77-80; the vivid pictures, pp. 81-82; what we have got from our own poets, not found in the original, pp. 82-83; the Bengali life vividly represented in the Bengali recensions, pp. 84-98.

(c) The influence of the local religious cults, pp. 98-107.

The Vaisnava element in Bengali poems, p. 98.

#### CHAPTER IV.

- (a) The Vaisnava influence, pp. 108-114.
- (b) The Çākta influence, pp. 114-119.

Rāma's superiority over Chaedi, p. 115; Chaṇdi puja by Rāma, p. 115; Mahi Rāvaṇa, a worshipper of Chaṇdi, p. 118.

- (c) The influence of the Çaivas, pp. 119-123.
- (d) Those of the Buddhists and of the Brāhminic school, pp. 123-132.

The Kalpataru, p. 123; compassion for the suffering humanity, p. 124; the influence of the Brahminic school, p. 125; Batnākara Dasyu and Nizam Dacoit, p. 127; superstitions about the Brāhmins, p. 129; Rāma an incarnation of Viṣṇu, p. 138.

## CHAPTER V.

(a) The influence of TulsIdasa, pp. 133-145.

High appreciation in which Tulst is held, p. 134; his indebtedness to Vālmiki, p. 145; Tulst Dās and

Krittivāsa, p. 136; Hanumān, p. 137; a great devo ion for Rāma, p. 139; the loftiness of his moral and spiritual preachings, p. 140; imitations, p. 141; their subject is a woman, p. 143.

## CHAPTER VI.

- (a) The struggle of the Rākṣasas—an index to the spiritual struggle of the soul, pp. 146-149.
- (\*) All up-to-date information about Krittivāsa;—passages showing his originality, pp. 149-183.

The classical element, p. 150; the dissemination of Sanskrit culture, p. 151; Pioneer in the field, p. 152; his life, p. 153; passages showing his originality, p. 171; Rāma bewailing the loss of Sītā, p. 171; Lakṣmana's wonderful austerities, p. 176; he did not look at a woman's face, p. 178; did not sleep all this time, p. 178; had no food, p. 178; account of seven days, p. 180; Kālanemi's division of Lankā, p. 181.

## CHAPTER VII.

- (a) Dvija Madhukantha, pp. 184-185.
- (b) Chandravati's popularity amongst the rural folk of Mymensingh, pp. 185-186.

She is not known to scholars, p. 185.

- (c) Her life—disappointment in love, pp. 186-190.
- (") The story of Kenarāma, the robber, pp. 191-195.
  - (e) Her death, pp. 195-196.

- (f) Her Rāmāyan, pp. 197-201.
- (g) The influence of the Jaina Rāmāyaṇa on Bengali poems, pp. 204-212.

Bibhişana reproved, p. 210; Bharata in great sorrow, p. 210; Lakşmana's love-making, p. 211.

## CHAPTER Xt.

(a) The Rāmāyaņa by Kavichandra, pp. 213-221.

The difficulty of getting a historical clue to Kavichandra, p. 113; Angader Rāyvāra, p. 217; Angada's approach, p. 218; Rāvaṇa's speech, p. 219; Angada's reply, 220;

- (b) Sașthivara and Gangā Dāsa, pp. 221-223.
- (c) Rāmamohan Bandopadhyāya's Rām**āya**ņa, pp. 223-229.

The influence of Vaispavism, p. 225; Rāmamohana's indebtedness to the Advūta Rāmāyaņa, p. 227.

(d) Rāmā Līlā by Rāmānanda who subscribes himself as an incarnation of the Buddha, pp. 229-248.

The Buddhists groan under oppression and seek refuge in a prophesy of the Buddha's advent again, p. 230; Aurangzeb's oppression, p. 233; the Buddhists were firm believers in the Rāma-legend, p. 234: Rāmānanda's emphatic announcement that he was the Buddha himself, pp. 235; a history of the MS. of Rāmalilā, p. 241; the story of Amburiça's daughter, p. 243; Imitation of Kālidasa, p. 245; the poet describes the Bengali life, his religious fervour, p. 247.

# (e) Jagatrama's Rāmāyana, pp. 249-251.

The theological discourse of Rāvaṇa, p. 250; Sulochanā, wife of Indrajit, p. 250; Rāmayana in 8 cantos, p. 251.

# (f) Mahirāvaner pālā, p. 252-283.

The various influences, p. 242; Rāvaṇa's laments and Mahī Rāvaṇa's visit to Lanka, p. 254; Bibhīṣaṇa's enquiry and advice to the army, p. 260; Mahī carries off Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa, p. 263.

Hanuman goes to the nether-world, p. 268; Hanu meets Rama and Lak, mana and submits his plan, p. 272; The happy end, p. 278.

#### LECTURE XIII

## SOME HINTS ON THE RIGHT METHOD OF INVESTIGATION

A brief statement of the conclusions arrived at in previous lectures, pp. 235-237. How Behari and Assamese are related to Bengali; Huen Tsian's account of Assamese; Assam for sometime under the political influence of Bengal; agreement of Assamese with the provincial dialect of Rangpur; agreement of Assamese with Oriya; the script of Assam, pp. 237-239. Account of Oriya, and its connection with Bengali; Oriya was once a Vibhāṣā; the statement of Beams, pp. 239-140. The language of Assament of Beams, pp. 239-140. The language of Assament of Beams, pp. 239-140. The language of Assament of Beams, pp. 245-245. Foreign influences upon Bengali are reconsidered, pp. 245-et seq. The Dravidian sources, pp. 245-248. Other Foreign influences, pp. 249-251. Some Aryan words in disguise, pp. 251-258. Concluding remarks, p. 258. pp. 235-258.

#### LECTURE XIV

# BENGALI AS DISTINGUISHED FROM ITS ATLIED VERNACULARS

How to pursue investigation, pp. 259-260. Pronominal forms—a comparative study, pp. 260-264. Case declension—Nominative forming suffixes, p. 261. The sign for accusative, p. 265. The suffix 对, p. 266. The suffixes (季 and (考, p. 267. Instrumental case—the suffixes ④, frai and 575, pp. 267-269. Dative, pp. 269-270. Ablative—\$75 and (%75, pp. 270-271. Possessive—the suffix 素, pp. 271-273. Idiomatic use of ₹ and ④5,

pp. 273-274.  $\blacksquare$  and  $\blacksquare$  and  $\blacksquare$  p. 274. Locative, pp. 274 275. Verbs—The present system, pp. 275-276. The present progressive, pp. 276-277. Present perfect an past, pp. 277-278. Some special participle forms, pp. 278 279. Special past form, p. 279. The Imperative mood pp. 279-280. The future system, pp. 281-284. Auxiliary verbs, pp. 284-287. Voice, pp. 287-288. Some particles and indeclinables, pp. 288-294. Some secondary suffixes p. 294. Feminine forming suffixes, pp. 294-296. Some other suffixes, pp. 296-298.

## CHAPTER XI.

- (a) Ramayana by Kavichandra.
- (b) Rāmāyana by Sasthivara and Ganga Dasa.
- (c) Rāmāyaņa by Itāmamohana Bandyopādhyāya—influence of Vaisņaviem on his work and his indebtedness to the Advūta Rāmāyaņa.
- (d) Ramalila by Ramananda who subscribes himself as the Buddha.
- (e) Ramayana by Jagat Rama.
- (f) Mahl Ravaner Pala.

# (a) The Rāmāyaņa by Kavichandra

Chandravati's command over tender expressions particularly over those which create pathos is only matched by the power of Kavichandra in delineating homely and humorous situations lit up with frequent flashes of true poetry. The name of Kavichandra was probably Çankara. In the colophon attached to an episode of the Rāmāyana written by Kavichandra we find that his grandfather was one Govinda, a Kulīn

Brāhmin of Bandyopādhyāya family. They were the residents of the village Sāgardia. The poet's father was Bijayrāma Bandyo; they were five brothers in all and the poet's immediate elder brother was Bhavāni. Kavichandra was the title

and Cankara his name. In one place the poet pays his respect to one Janakinatha. If Janakinatha (lit, husband of Sita) is not here put for Rāma himself, he must be the religious preceptor of the poet. But there are so many poets bearing the title of Kavichandra to be met with in the old Bengali literature that it is difficult to say with any degree of certainty whether the poet who wrote the witty dialogue of Angada in the Angada Rāivāra is the same person who attained celebrity by translating the Bhagabata. We find a quite different pedigree given of this Kavichandra, the translator of the Bhagavata, a fact which clearly shows that the poet of the Angada Rāivāra is a different person. "Kavichandra, the Brāhmin, writes the poem offering his devotion to Ramāpati (Kriṣṇa). He is a native of Luauo to the south of Lego." Then again "Muni Rāma Chakravarti is the abode of all virtues, his son Kavichandra sings." In another colophon of the Bhagavata we have the following statement: "By the order of the King Gopāla Sinha, Kavichandra briefly narrates the story of the Bharata." This Gopala Sinha was probably a Rājā of Burdwan.

Many copies of the Bhāgavata written by Kavichandra are dated about the middle of the 17th century. These dates are, of course, not of composition but of the copies. The Rāmāyanic episodes bearing the authorship of Kavichandra to be found in old manuscripts are, however, not

so old. In fact the dates of copies of numerous MSS, of Kavichandra's Rāmāyanic stories (now incorporated with Krittivāsa's edition published in Calcutta) that have come to our hands, belong to the latter part of the 18th century. Three such MSS, in the Library of the University are dated 1789, 1790 and 1794 A.D. respectively.

From the racy style of Kavichandra-the poet of the Rāmāyana, -- from his wit stained with indecent taste and the light speed of his verses enriched by metaphorical expressions, -- the unmistakable characteristics of the style of our 18th century poets, we are inclined to suppose that he belonged to the latter school rather than the 16th century in which another poet bearing the title of Kavichandra, the translator of the Bhagavata, flourished. We had hitherto included both of them in the list of our 16th century poets, but in the face of the evidences referred to above, we find that position to be untenable. Of course our views about his date and identification must be changed if any copy of the Angada Raivāra or some other Rāmāyaņic poems by Kavichandra, is found out bearing an earlier The MSS. of Kavichandra's poems, relating to the Bhagavata or the Mahabharata, as I have already stated, show the middle of the 17th century to be the date of their copy, and none of the copies of the Raivara. that we have come across, is dated earlier than the 18th.

The Bhagavata by Kavichandra had a wide celebrity in Bengal, but it is difficult to find a complete MS. in which the author's whole account is given. We are informed that one Makhanlal Bandvopādhvāva, who claims descent from the poet through one of his daughters, has brought together all the poems of Kavichandra and is going to publish them. There will be the risk of his including works by all different Kavichandras in his collection as if they were the writing of the same author. In the absence of any positive proofs the critic will not be in a position to identify the poet of the Ramayana with the poet of the Bhagavata, on the basis of the similarity of the title alone, In the colophon we find two distinct pedigrees and this point, if not any thing else, cannot be ignored.

The great poetical merits of Angada Raivāra will surely be admitted by all critics. And numerous old MSS. have been found in which Kavichandra is distinctly mentioned to be the author of Angada Raivāra, and all old MSS. of Krittivasa's Rāmāyaṇa give that episode in a quite different way and in a much less poetical form. Why should the editors of Krittivāsa's Rāmāyaṇa yield to the weakness of incorporating that poem in the Rāmāyaṇa by Krittivāsa? The rural people of Bengal for nearly a century have found Kavichandra's poem incorporated into Krittivasa's work by the help of Battalā

publications and hence our editors now are afraid of omitting a celebrated passage from the popular work lest its sale should be affected by the omission. The best course will be to put Kavichandra's name in the colophon so that the poem may still continue to form a part of Krittivāsa's work and at the same time remain true to its author.

I shall here quote some extracts from the celebrated poem of Kavichandra—the Angader Raivāra—or the embassy of Angada. The coarser portions of the poem have flashes of wit and poetry, but we shall leave them out of consideration here.

"The whole world trembles at Ravana's power. The gods are ready to serve the will of the Rāksasa-monarch of Lankā. The Moon-god holds the royal umbrella over his head. Brahmā (from whose mouth the sacred Vedas sprang) has the charge of education of the young children of Lanka. The god Indra weaves garlands of flowers for the dreaded chief. The god of the wind and water (Pavana and Baruna) have the charge of dusting and watering the palace. The Sun-god is the gate-keeper and Vrihaspati. the great sage of Indra's heaven, recites the Vedic hymns before Rāvaņa. The heavenly nymph Urvaci pleases the court by her charming dance and the Kinnaris sing in their celestial voice. The Air-god has also the charge of fanning the monarch. The full-moon holds the lamp

and lights the fair city of Lanka every night, and Yama, the king of Death, himself does not sleep for fear of Rāvaṇa. So great is his power that at his bidding even Fire becomes cool.

"But the king of the Rākṣasas does not care now for all these glories. His mind is filled with Rāma's image. When he sleeps his enemy appears to him in his dreams. While idly sitting on his throne he draws the portrait of Rāma quite unconsciously. When speaking of other things the name of Rāma comes to his lips all on a sudden, and when he shuts his eyes, the dreaded image becomes the more vivid before his mind's eyes, and Rāvana, greatly alarmed, savs to his courtiers "Alas what has become of me! It seems that there is no escape from Rāma this time. My death is near at hand. Monkeys and men have bridged the sea with stone and wood. The stone floats on water against all laws of nature. What never took place in the world has happened here."

This passage will naturally recall the fears of Kansa created by Krisna. The influence of the Bhagavata is apparent here.

# Angada's Approach.

"Enormous was the bulk of his body. In slow majesty he walked up to the court. It seemed as if the god of the Day suddenly rose in the East. His eyes shone above like flame and his head touched the sky. The gatekeepers

were alarmed at the sight and precipitously retreated just as frogs fly at the sight of a princely elephant. He kicked at the door which broke and then entered the hall unopposed. His body shone like the Sumeru mountain. The Rāksasas whispered in alarm, 'Oh 'lord, who is this mighty fellow that has entered our city?' The king was there with his ministers. They all became silent in fear as they saw the mighty hero. Ravana had taken his seat on a high throne. Angada for a little while considered something within himself and having paid his respects to Rama in his mind increased the length of his tail till it became very large indeed. He curled his huge tail so as to form an elevated seat and then sat upon it facing Rāvana. He now looked like the god Indra seated on his Airāvata.

## Rāraņa's Speech.

"What do you say, oh monkey! Rāma will shortly enter my city! I do not know what will befall us then. Perhaps we shall not be able to live in our native land any more! He, the friend of the vile Guhaka of low caste, has thought within himself that, helped by monkeys, he will recover Sītā! We have heard enough of his power. His younger brother has occupied the throne sending him to the forest; such is his power! He was for a time the guard of his wife in the forest! Whatever it be, go and

tell him that as he has cut the nose and ears of my sister Surpanakhā there is no escape this time. I consider my life vain if there be no proper retaliation for this. I have accordingly brought his wife Sītā by force. Tell that fool of an ascetic that he may try his very best. If mount Sumeru breaks at the blow of a dwarf's fist. if the property of Garuda the lord of birds he forcibly taken by a crow and if the sun falls down from the sky because the glow-worm shines, yet Rāma will not be able to gain victory. Listen to me, oh monkey, I shall give a sound piece of advice; this you will do well to communicate to your Lord. Let Rama with his own hands destroy the bridge he has built over the sea. Let my brother Bibhīsana who has espoused his cause return and seek forgiveness from me. Let that devil of a monkey who burnt my fair city be bound hand and foot and delivered to me in that condition. Let Rama throw aside his bow and arrows and take an oath of amity. Then may I be pleased to forgive him.

# Angada's Reply.

Angada said, "Very well, oh monarch, Rāma sent me here and I have received your message. Discussion on this point is fruitless. I am going now to leave your city. I must tell Rāma all that you have advised. The breaking of the bridge is a question of 5 or 6 days. We shall repair and rebuild those portions of your fair

city that have been burnt. But tell me, oh monarch, how can we repair your sister's nose and ears that have been cut?"

The charm of this poem lies in its racy style and exquisite metre. These cannot be reproduced in translation.

## (b) Sașthivara and tiangā Dāsa.

In Eastern Bengal Chandravati was not the only writer of Ramayanic tales. In Perg. Maheswardi in the District of Dacca two poets Sasthivara and Ganga Dasa, father and son, wrote on the subject of the great epic, more than 300 years ago. We have several MSS. of their poems dated the 17th century. They were inhabitants of Dinardwip. This is evidently the earlier form of the modern name Jhinardi. These poets not only wrote on the subject of the Rāmāyana, but on many of the Pauranic subjects, fashionable at the time, such as on Manasa Devi and on the episodes of the Mahābhārata. Sasthivara and Ganga Dasa were voluminous writers; the title of the former was 'Gunaraj' and he tells us in several colophons that he was favoured and patronised by one Jagadananda to whom he dedicates the result of his literary labours. Jagadānanda might have been his religious preceptor. I have mentioned in some detail some of the works of these two poets in my History of the Bengali Language and

Literature, pp. 185-187. Sastivara's father's name was Kulapati and the family probably belonged to the Suvarnabanik caste. In the colophon of a poem on Manasa Devi by Ganga Dasa Sen the poet states that he belonged to the Banik caste. I had in my former works on the history of our Literature made a statement that these poets were probably Vaidyas. This view I change now in the face of the evidence that has recently come to light. The village Jhinardi has many residents of the Suvarnabanik caste even now, and it does a great credit to that community that two of the popular early poets of Eastern Bengal belonged to their caste. The admiration and favour in which they were held in the olden times appears strikingly from the fact that in the districts of Tipperah, Noakhali, Chittagong and Dacca, I scarcely found any collection of old Bengali MSS, that did not contain at least some of the poems of either of these two poets. Unfortunately there has not been any endeavour on the part of the Sahitva Parisat or any other literary bodies of Bengal to publish these once popular poems. Year by year large numbers of old Bengali MSS, are being destroyed by worms, fire and unfavourable climatic conditions. When in some happier future our sense of duty will be roused in regard to the preservation of this national heritage, it may not unlikely he found too late for the purpose. gives opportunities but does not stop its course

of destruction if these opportunities are not uitilised. The Suvarnabanik community is noted for their wealth and I applied to Babu Dinanath Dhar of Chinsurah for moving in the matter and rescuing the great poets of his caste from passing into oblivion. He promised to do something but has done nothing up to now. Gangādāsa Sen's verses are elegant and sweet and I remember that a European friend of mine, distinguished for rare literary gifts, expressed a high appreciation of some passages from Gangā Dasa's Rāmāyana, explained by me. For specimens of his writings 1 beg to refer you to p. 186 of my History of Bengali Language and Literature. Ganga Dasa frequently mentions the names of his father and grand-father in the colophon. "My grandfather is Kulapati and my father is Sasthivara whose praise is proclaimed by all in the country." These lines occur so often in the bhanita, that they have become quite familiar to those who have studied his works.

## (c) Rāmamohana Bandopadhyāya's Rāmāyaṇa.

Rāmamohana was born at the village of Materi in the district of Nadiā. The village is only four miles from the sub-divisional town of Kātwa, and is situated on the eastern bank of the Ganges. Balarāma Bandyopādhyaya, the father of our poet, had ordered him to establish an image of Rāma at his home. So the poet founded

a temple and dedicated it to the god. It was evidently with the object of glorifying this deity, that the poet composed his Ramayana in the year 1838. The image of Rama in the temple at Materi became a centre of great religious devotion. The poet says, "There is an immense gathering of worshippers before the gate of this temple of Rāma day and night, so that it becomes difficult for a devotee to enter the temple and catch a glimpse of the god, fighting his way through the crowd. People fall prostrate or dance with joy singing songs in praise of the deity." Our poet next goes on saying how Hanuman, the monkey-chief, once appeared to him in a dream and commanded him to compose a Rāmāyaņa.

In fact our author seems to have cherished a greater faith in Hanuman than in Rama himself. He devotes many pages to hymns in praise of the Ape-god, and in one place already referred to by me, he asserts that worshipping Hanuman should be considered as an act of merit equal to worshipping Rama himself. He further tries to prove that the Ape-god was an incarnation of Çiva. He addresses a curious hymn to Hanuman on the lines of Markandeya Chandi praying him to grant him a lucky wife. I quoted the whole text in a foregoing lecture.

<sup>&</sup>quot;সে রামের বারেতে সভত হড়াহড়ি। কেই নাচে কেই গার বার গড়াগড়ি।"

The prayer, though crude, and materialistic was eertainly a sincere one. The poet invests the Ape-god with all learning and wisdom. He is said to have acquired all the wisdom of the 14 regions, being taught by the Sun-god himself.

As in all vernacular recensions the Bengali elements of course predominate in this Ramavana also. Though the poet shows much scholarship in Sanskrit, the homes of Bengal and the devotional fervour that he constantly beheld there supplied him with inspiration more than all the classic lore at his command. The procession of Rāma's marriage as described in his poem gives the vivid picture of a Bengali bridegroom's party, all of aristocratic families, making a superb show in the streets. On stately couches carried on the shoulders of men the nymphs of heaven are made to dance. This recalls vividly sketches. found in the Babubilāsa by Pramatha Çarmā written early in the 19th century, of marriageprocessions in which public women dancing on Chaturdolas formed a part of the show. The soldiers march with gold caps on their heads, wearing gorgeous Bengali dresses and the feasts are given, of which the menu is taken from an ordinary Bengali sweetmeat shop.

The influence of Vaisnavism, I need hardly repeat, is apparent in The influence of Rāmāyaṇan also. It was the Vaispavism. fashion of the time to see all

or the plays of Krisna caught the popular fancy, and nothing could appeal to their imagination that had not something similar to the episodes described in the Bhagavata. Rāma, when he lost Sītā, is described as being in a state of trance. He could not recognise Laksmana nor could he tell who he himself was. This is evidently in imitation of the trances of Rādhā as described by the Vaispava poets. Sometimes through the homely scenes of rural Bengal, through the ideas which floated in the atmosphere of the country. and which our poet incorporated in his work without any thought as to its legitimate scope, suddenly, a ray of true poetry, enlivened by faith and fancy, peeps in and lights up a whole canto. The Kiskindhyā Kānda has many passages of true and intrinsic beauty. We refer to one quoted on p. 191 of

'পুনরপি তৃইজনে হইল মিলন।

শল্পেরে কন রাম তুমি কোন জন h

শল্প কংহন আমি তোমার কিলব।

রাম কন কেবা আমি কহ ধহুর্বর ॥"

This passage sounds exactly like one from Krisna Kamala's "Divine Frenzy". The Visnava poet writes:---

রাধা—''এথানে বসিয়া আমি কেবা বল গুনি।''
স্থী—''একি কথা তুমি মোদেব রাধা বিনোদিনী।''
রাধা—''কোন্ রাধা হই আমি বল স্থীগণ।''
স্থী—''বৃষভাত্ব স্থভা তুমি মোদের জীবন।''
রাধা—''রাজ কন্যা হৈরা কেন আইলাম বনে।''
স্থী—''হরি হারা হৈরা এলে হবি অবেবনে।''
রাধা—''কোথা গেলে প্রাণনাথ আমারে ছাড়িরা।''

my History of the Bengali Language and Literature.

The geographical accounts given in the Kişkindhyā Kāṇda include the names of Anga, Banga and Kalinga, not comprised in the original Epic. And Bengal in all matters again and again asserts itself in quite an unwarrantable manner in this version of the story that professes to be but a Bengali adaptation of Vālmīki's tale. The Uttarā Kaṇda begins with a description of the marriage of Çiva.

The Advūta Rāmayaṇa in Sanskrit, whoever might have been its author, became very popular in Bengal in the 18th

Rāmamohana's indebtedness to the Advūta Rāmāvana popular in Bengal in the 18th and 19th centuries. In it we find strange stories about Rāma

One of them is that on returning to and Sītā Ayodhyā, Sītā told the assembled Rishis that there were two Rāvanas, one with ten heads, the junior Rāvaņa, whom Rāma had killed at Lankā. But the senior one still lived. He had a hundred heads, and he reigned in the island of Puskara, where he played with the solar planets as if they were his play-balls. He was so powerful that in his consideration Mount Sumeru was a mustard seed and his capital was so grand that Amaravati was nothing as compared to it. Rāma was persuaded by Sītā and by the Rishis to declare war on him and invade his capital. When he actually encountered this hydra-headed dragon he found himself incapable of fighting

with him. He actually swooned in his chariot and Sītā who had accompanied him to Puṣkara came to his rescue at this stage. She assumed the figure of Kālī. In this warfare we find only a repetition of what transpired between Chandī and Çumbha-Niçumbha. The Matrikās sprang from Sītā's body, and these were more than a match for the dragon and his army. Sītā killed him and returned triumphantly with her husband to Ayodhyā. This tale is told in the Uttarā Kānda of Rāmamohana's book in an animated style. The Çākta influence as a matter of course predominates in these descriptions. The stanzas

" অজিতা অসিতা অমিতা সতী,
নিগমে না ছানে তাহাৰ গতি।
অতি ভয়ানক, তমু অমুপ,
কেমনে বৰ্ণিতে পাৰি সেরূপ।
বাবিদ বৰণা বিমনাবৰা।"

are as good as any describing Kāli by the Çākta poets.

Rāmamohana's Bengali Rāmāyaņa is interspersed with many Sanskritic *çlokas* and his mastery over elegant Bengali words of Sanskritic derivation is remarkable.

This Rāmāyaṇa is indebted to Tulsī Dāsa's work, from which the Bengali poet borrows many metaphors, and this we have already indicated. In his preliminary verses he admits this and pays his tribute of respect to Krittivāsa and Tulsī Dāsa both. As a true Vaiṣṇava our

poet does not seek Nirvana or annihilation. He prays to the Lord "I do not seek mukti, may you grant me such devotion that I may drink the nectar of your sweet name for all times!" The extinction of self—the highest goal of the Advaitavadins—is discarded in preference to the perpetual joy of being in the presence of the deity—the greatest blessedness which the believers in duality have in view.

The author in a colophon complains of his broken heaith while writing the Rāmāyaṇa.

(d) Rama Lilà by Ramānanda who subscribes himself as an invarnation of the Buddha.

You will be surprised to hear that the Buddha wrote a Rāmāyaṇa in Bengali. Your astonishment will be much less when I tell you that this Buddha is not the one who was born at Kapilavastu in the 6th century B.C., but a Bengali who in the 17th century asserted that he was an incarnation of the Buddha commissioned to redress human wrongs.

We learn from the history of Orissa that the province was a stronghold of the Buddhists even in the 15th century. We find in the Kadcha of Govinda Dāsa written in the year 1511 A.D. that Chaitanya met with a large number of Buddhists

<sup>&#</sup>x27; "মুক্তির প্রার্থনা নাচি কবি তব ভালে। হেন মত ছট তব নাম স্থাণানে॥'

in the southern part of Orissa in that year when he travelled in the Deccan. Dāru brahma or the image of Jagannātha continued to be the chief god of the Buddhists of Orissa till almost recent times. We find from the account of Orissa

The Buddhists groan under oppression and seek retuge in a prophesey of the Buddha's advent again.

given by Mr. Sterling that at the court of Rājā Pratāpa Rudra the Buddhists had at first a great ascendency which was

overthrown by the Vaisnavas who converted the Rājā to the Vaisnava faith. Pratāpa Rudra was a contemporary of Chaitanya. During the reign of Rājā Mukunda Deva, the notorious iconoclast Kālāpāhāda visited Orissa in 1581. He attacked Puri during the reign of Gaurgovinda, Mukunda Deva's son, and threw the image of Jagannātha into fire from which it was rescued in a miserable condition by the Pandas. The Buddhists burnt in silent rage at this treatment of their god and believed with all their heart in the prophecy current among the different sections of the Mahayana Buddhists that the Lord would appear again in the earth when the sins of the human race would be full. It has been proved by Mr. N. N. Vasu by overwhelming evidences that the Bathūries and some other tribes of Orissa who latterly adopted the Vaisnava faith-being drawn to it partly by the inherent charm of that emotional creed and partly to escape Brahminic

<sup>1</sup> Sterling's Orissa, pp. 80-86 (Ed. 1904).

persecution, still have remained true to the essentials of the Buddhistic faith and follow them in their religious rites and observances. They have been rightly turned crypto Buddhists. They are believers in the theory of Void and their creed is evidently derived from that of the Mahāyāna school of Buddhism founded by Nāgārjuna in the 1st century A.D.

Govinda Dāsa, Vehyuta Dāsa, Balarāma Dāsa and other great Vaisnava poets of Orissa, who flourished in the 16th century, have laid down some of the doctrines of Buddhism in a clear and unequivocal language. Achyutananda declared that he was himself a manifestation of one of the five forces of the Buddha.\tau\_The prophetic saving that the Buddha was coming again to the world, as the redeemer of its sins, pervaded the whole atmosphere of Orissa; and her poets, saints and prophets all believed it with the same ardour of faith. This was chiefly due to the molestation which their god Daru Brahma repeatedly received at the hands of the Mahomedan iconoclasts. They felt the need of a saviour and a destroyer of their enemies, and this eager desire found expression in the prophecies mentioned in the Cunya Samhitā by Achyuta Dāsa written in the 16th century, and in other subsequent works like the Jasomati-mālikā. A variety of sources points to the existence of a firm belief among the

Achyuta Dasa's Çunya Samhita Ch. X

erypto Buddhists of Orissa that the Buddha would be reborn into the world to destroy the sinners.

We have alluded to the fact that Achyuta Dāsa in this Çunya Samhitā has indicated the prophecy of Buddha's coming incarnation. The poet clearly states that 3000 Buddhists in the province of Orissa lay expecting with all the ardour of their soul the happy advent of the Buddha again on this sublunary stage of ours. During the time when Achyuta Dasa wrote his poem the image of Daru Brahma was molested by the Kālāpāhāda. The indignity of this atrocious treatment only served to enkindle the faith of the followers of the Lord in the prophetic saying. But a change came over the spirit of the political atmosphere of India by the ascension of Akbar to the Moghul throne. Akbar took possession of Orissa in 1592 A.D. Raja Rāmachandra was installed on the throne of the province and received many favours from the Emperor. was appointed Serayet to the image of Jagannatha. And the Hindus and the Buddhists once more enjoyed peace and prosperity during this time.

But the peaceful epoch in administration introduced by Akbar and which continued during the two succeeding reigns came to an end when Aurangzeb ascended the throne in 1657 and began to persecute his Hindu subjects. In the Persian history Tabishiratul Nasirin it is written that

Aurangjeb deputed Nawab Ikram Khan for destroying the image of Jagannātha. The reigning king of Orissa at that time was Dravyasinha II. Ikram Khan destroyed two of the chief gates of

Aurangleb's oppiession the temple with the figures of dragons over them. Two brilliant diamonds that formed

the eyes of Jagannātha were seized by the Nawab and sent to Aurangjeb who was at that time staying at Bijāpur. Dravyasing II reigned from 1697-1707. So at this time the Buddhists of Orissa and in fact the whole population of the provinces of Bengal, Behar and Orissa burnt with rage at the disfiguration of their deity and at the destruction of the temple-gates.

It was probably during this time that Rāmānanda, a Bengali, openly declared himself to be an incarnation of the Buddha. No date indicating his time is found in the Rāmāyaṇa that he has written. But the temper which he displays against the Mahomedan iconoclasts and the vow that he takes of restoring Jagannātha to his glory clearly suggests that he must have written his work either about the year 1581, when Kālāpāhāḍa seized the god and threw it into fire, or about the year 1697 when Dravyasinha II was unable to hold his own against Nawab Ikram Khan who molested the image. The style of the book shows that it is not as old

<sup>1</sup> The Cunya Samhita, XIX.

as the 16th century. It was probably during the reign of Dravyasinha II that the poet Ramananda flourished. It appears that he was already a distinguished leader of the Buddhists, whom like Achyuta Dāsa and other previous writers, he terms bhaktas. In many passages he speaks in a tone of authority demanding the attentive hearing of these bhaktas who were evidently his followers. He emphatically puts it that he is no other than the Buddha himself and that he has incarnated himself again with two-fold objects; one and the principal one is to take by force the whole of the country from the hands of the Mahomedans and make it over to Daru Brahma—the supreme lord of the world; the other one is to subvert the growing Vaisnava faith. This is, however, a very curious thing. But the writer is evidently a Çākta and a Tāntrika and firm believer in Kalī. He is evidently a Tantrika of the Mahāyāna Buddhism. He is besides a believer in Rāma, who according to the Buddhists, was no other than the Buddha himself in a previous birth, as we find in the Jātaka story.

It is therefore no wonder that a Buddhist

The Buddhists were firm believers in the Rama legend.

should write a Rāmāyana. The Çunyapurāna by Rāmai Pundit distinctly puts Hanumān in of a minister to the Buddha and

the exalted rank of a minister to the Buddha and also mentions him in several places as an honoured gate-keeper of Buddhist temples. This we had already an occasion to refer to in a

previous lecture. We read in the Archæological report of Mayurbhanja by Mr. Vasu that the Bauris, a tribe who represent the Buddhistic views more than any other people of Orissa in the modern times, are zealous worshippers of Rama and of the Ape-god Hanuman.1 As the story of Rama has always been familiar to the Buddhists by the Dacaratha Jātaka and as they believe Rāma to be no other than Buddha himself, there can be no anomaly in a Buddhist writing a Ramayana. Like the author of the Cunyapurana, Ramananda is a firm believer in the Ape-god Hanuman and in fact he states that his inspiration for writing the Ramayana came from the Ape-god himself. (See f. 10 of the Ayodhya Kanda and f. 26 of the Kiskindha Kanda of the MS. of Rāmānanda's Rāmālīlā). On leaf 10 of the Ādi Kānda, Rāmānanda declares Hanumān to be an incarnation of Civa and the principal hero of the Rāmāyaņa.

Though, as we have already observed, there were many prophecies current in the province of Orissa of the Buddha's coming incarnation, and though Achyutānanda and several others declared themselves to have illumination from

Ramsnanda's emphatic announcement, that he was the Buddha himself. the Buddha himself for preaching his faith, yet none so boldly asserted that he was the great Buddha himself as Rāmānanda

<sup>1</sup> Introduction to the Archeological Report of Mayfirbhafija p. exxxv.

did;—nay more, in several places in the colophon Rāmānanda does not give his name but simply signs himself as the Buddha. Such for instance as in the Lankā Kānda (f. 7 of the MS.) "The Buddha says, 'I am born in vain. Oh mother Kāli, take me to the city of Bhairava. Give me, oh mother, my place in that world from which I have come. This body of flesh is full of pain." Again (Lankā, f. 10). "The Buddha says, 'I see no way to escape. Save me, oh Kāli. Death pursues me." There is a considerable number of colophons in which Rāmānanda declares himself to be the Buddha and explains his mission. I quote one here (Ādi, ll. 134-135).

"I will drive away the Vaisuava faith and the vicious Kali (本何) will have no jurisdiction over the earth henceforth. I will manifest unto the world the forces of the five deities Rādhā, Kālī, Lakṣmī, Vāṇī and Gañgā. I will assert myself by my power and by my boundless charities. This body of mine is the receptacle of many other virtues. I will manifest in myself the Viçwarūpa as Kriṣna did in Tretā. I will

<sup>&</sup>quot;বৌধব দেব কহে বৃথা জন্মিল সংসারে। লয়া বাহ মহাকালী ভৈরব নগরে॥ কুপা করি দেহ মোরে মোর পূর্কধাম। নরদেহে নানা ছংখ কণ্ঠগত প্রাণ॥"

<sup>&</sup>quot;বৌধ্ব দেব কৰে কালী না কেখি উপার। রক্ষ বক্ষ ভগবতী কাল কাটি খার। বহাকত হত্ববান এ লীলার লার।"

seize with force the kingdom of the Mahomedans and of the Yavanas. The whole country will be brought under one sway and to Daru Brahma will I dedicate all these dominions making him the supreme lord."

He frequently invokes the aid of Mahākālī to fulfil his grand mission. "The source of all my inspiration is the command of Mahākālī. Do thou, oh queen of the universe, infuse strength unto me."

The following stanzas will show how he declares to his followers that he is the Buddha:

"Rāmānanda says that all grief and pain of the people's heart will be removed by listening to the teachings of the Buddha. By the will of Kālī and by the powers manifested in him, Rāmānanda is an incarnation of the Buddha in this Kaliyuga." (Ādi f. 86).2

<sup>&</sup>quot;পাপ কলি ক্ষিতি হৈতে দ্ব করি দিব। …… বৈষ্ণবী পূঞা জগতে বৃচাইব॥ রাধা কালী লন্ধী বালী গঙ্গা গুণবতী। পঞ্চ শক্তি প্রকাশ করিব এই ক্ষিতি॥ দান বশ পৌরবের সীমা করি যাব। এই ঘটে আর অস্ত শক্তি প্রকাশিব॥ জাগাব কলির ধর্ম ত্রেতার ভিতরে। এই দেহ বিবরূপ দেখাব সংসারে॥ ববন রেচ্ছের রাজা বলে কাড়ি লব। একচ্ছত্র রাজা করি দাক্লপ্রক্ষে দিব॥" রামানক্ষ কহে ছাই সংসারের লোক। বোধন ভাব শুনিরা গুঢ়ার ছঃখ শোক ॥ সর্জ্ম শক্তি মতে আর ইচ্ছা কালিকার। কলির্গে রামানক্ষ বোধন ক্ষবতার॥

"The Mother of the Universe (Kālī) manifested herself in her full glory in this Kaliyuga and by inflicting a curse on the Buddha has brought him down to this world." (Ādi f. 86).

"Rāmānanda was born in the Çūdra caste, but being himself the Buddha writes all these truths."  $(\bar{\Lambda} di \ f. \ 83, \ 84)$ .

The book is full of references to the power and glory of *Dāru Brahma* of Puri (Ādi f. 12, 71, 89, 131).

It appears that the ambition of the writer was to drive away the Mahomedans and firmly set *Dāru Brahma* on the throne of India. When the image of the god would be thus installed in full glory, the author would read his Rāmalīlā before him. This was the crowning point of his ambition.<sup>3</sup>

The author of Rāmalīlā did not seem to cherish an idle dream. The general oppression of Aurangzeb on the Hindus and desecration of their temples before the eyes of the devout worshippers had charged the whole atmosphere of India with a desire for retaliation. A renaissance of Hinduism on a martial basis was the

<sup>&</sup>quot;কলিতে জাগ্রত হইরা জগত জননী। শাপ দিরা বোধব দেবে আনিলা অবনী॥"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> '' শৃদ্রকুলে রামানন্দ জন্ম লৈরা ছিল। বোধন বেশ ধরি এই তত্ত্ব লিখি গেল।''

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>া</sup> "দাকত্রন্ধ রাজা হৈরা করিব প্রবণ। প্রকাশ করিল গ্রন্থ ইহার কারণ »"

outcome of this state of affairs. The prevailing spirit of the times manifested itself and found its full development in Shivail. But there were village chiefs and leaders of sects who felt the spirit none the less forcibly. One of them was certainly Ramananda. He was a man with a large following and the means at his command seem to have been considerable. The line "I will assert myself by my power and by my boundless charities" is significant. It appears also that his literary talents were also of a high order and acknowledged by all. "In the world is Rāmānanda reputed to be a master of emotional writing." (Adi f. 25). Often in the colophon does he assume the exalted position of a prophet and philanthrophist whose mind seeks the welfare of the whole mankind, "The son of Ghosa writes for the benefit of the whole world."6 (Adi f. 73). Similar lines indicative of his far-reaching sympathy for the suffering world abound in the book. He further states that any one reading his Rāmālīlā in a spirit of faith will find the latent powers of his soul fully developed (Ādi f. 130).7

The idea of rescuing Puri from the hands of the iconoclasts and even of the Hindus was deeprooted in the minds of the Buddhists of Orissa who called themselves *Mahima dharmis*, and

<sup>া &</sup>quot;জগতেৰ হিত তৰে বোৰপুত্ৰ ভণে।"

<sup>&</sup>quot;রাষানন্দ করে এ নৃতন বামারণ। অকৃতী কৃতীত্ব পাবে কবিলে প্রবণ ॥"

made their religious doctrines a hotchpotch of Vaishnavism and the Mahayana creed. In quite recent times (1875) we hear of an expedition led by the celebrated poet and saint of Orissa, Bhima Bhoi, who with the people of 30 villages attacked the Puri temple. This crusade of the Buddhists, however, did not prove successful. The Raja with the help of a body of Police men completely dispersed them. And we read in some Uriya books, such as the Alekha Lilā, that Kuntibhoja of Eastern Bengal, Rājā Sobhānanda of North Bengal, Jay Sahu, a Teli, and four other leaders of the Mahimā Dharma sect secretly collected an army of 2 lacs of men-bent upon restoring Dāru Brahma to the overlordship of the country.

Evidences of these Budhistic efforts to recover their old power are abundantly found in old Uriya MSS. But since the days of Rāmāi Pundit, Hari Sidyā and Mayanamatī in the 10th and the 11th centuries, we have not come across any sure proof of the existence of any positive efforts among the Bengali Buddhists to assert themselves. Here, however, is the unique and unmistakable evidence showing that Buddhism not only lurked in the backwoods of Bengal but there lived in the heart of the country, so late as the 17th century, one who not only preached the theory of the Mahāyana theology but asserted that he was the Buddha

himself reborn into the world to redress sin and punish the oppressors.

Now to return to the MS, of Ramlila and its author. Rāmānanda probably A History of the MS, of Ramilla. hailed from Birbhum. He belonged to the Satgon caste. His family surname was 'Ghosa' and frequently in the colophon he calls himself "Ghosa putra"son of Ghosa. In one passage he seems to lament the death of his wife. The MS, of Ramalila was collected last year by Ramkumar Datta of Patrasier—a village in the Bankura District. purchased by Prāchyavidyāmahārņava Nagendranāth Vasu for his library of old MSS. It was while collating and searching for MSS. of Rāmāyana for my lectures that I happened to come across this work and made the discovery embodied in the foregoing pages. The MS. is incomplete. The first leaves of the Adi Kanda are lost. The Ādi Kāṇda, the Ayodhyā and the Araṇya are considerably large. The Kiskindhyā has 27 leaves and the Sundara 25. The Lanka is incomplete. It seems that the author did not live to write the Uttarākānda. As we have not found the last and the first pages of the book we have evidently lost the opportunity of learning other historical particulars about the author; for in the preliminary and concluding portions of old MSS, such particulars are generally found.

The name of the copyist and the date of copy are, however, to be found at the end of some

of the cantos. Thus we learn that the MS belonged to one Rāmakānāi Hāzrā.1 The copyist was Rāmasundara Chanda, a nephew of the The copyist had formerly been a native of the village Lakhuabasai to the south of Ambikā Kalna, but latterly settled at Simul Navanai near Rānāhat. This we find at the end of the Aranya Kanda. In another place we find that Rāma Kānāi, the owner of the book, was a native of Bekatya. The copyist began his work in Pous 1186 B.S. (1778 A.D.) and completed the Ādi Kānda on the 31st of Baisack in 1137 (1779 A.D.) The Ayodhya was finished on the 7th, Aranya on the 16th and Kiskindhya on the 27th of Pous, 1187 B.S. (1779 A.D.). If Rāmānanda composed the Rāmāvana about the year 1597 when Nawab Ekrama Khān attacked Puri, the present copy was prepared about 81 years later. In the concluding colophon of the Aranya Kanda, Ramananda expresses doubt as to his life being prolonged till the completion of the 7 cantos. This as well as the fact that he was already a recognised leader of a sect at the time, indicates that he was an old man when he began to write his Rāmalīlā. Presuming him to be 60 years old in 1697 we

<sup>&#</sup>x27; "এই পৃত্তক হৈল শ্রীরাম কানাই হাজরার। লিখিডং শ্রীরামস্থন্দর চন্দ ভাগিনা ভাহার ॥ নিবাস অধিকার দক্ষিণ লাখুরাপসাই। ইবে বাস রাণাহাট শিমূল নবনাই॥"

may take that he was born in 1537. We believe this date is not very far from the actual year of his birth.

The author of Rāmalīlā devotes the largest portion of his work to Adi Kanda. This is quite in keeping with the way adopted by Jain and Buddhist writers. We have already mentioned that nearly half of the Ramayana by Jainacharya Hemchandra is devoted to a description of the pedigrees of Rāksasas and monkeys in the preliminary chapters. Rāmānanda draws largely from the stories to be found in the Adbhūta Rāmāyana. The familiar story of Amburiça, derived from this source, is described at some length. The monarch passes through great austerities in the course of worshipping Vispu and when that god comes to him in the guise of Indra offering a boon, the king refuses to accept it saying that he will have nothing to do with a gift from Indra. This shows that the worship of the Vedic God Indra was already at a discount—a fact which we find in the Bhagavata and later on powerfully described in Chandi

Dāsa's poems. Amburīça had The story of Amburīça's daughter. a beautiful daughter named Crīmatī. The sages Nārada

and Parvata came one day to the court of the Rājā and both of them felt smitten by love for Çrīmatī. Both wanted to get her as a wife. Whereupon the king said that he would offer his daughter to one of them whom Çrīmatī

herself would select. The sages departed saying that they would come the next day. Narada, meantime, interviewed Visnu and wanted him to grant this boon that in the morning next day when both the sages would go to the court of the King Amburiça, it might be so ordained that the princess might see the face of the sage Parvata transformed into that of an ape. Visnu granted him this boon. Parvata was not a whit behind this device of the other sage, and had a boon from Visnu that Crimati might see Nārada's face changed into an uncouth thing like that of the lower part of a cow's tail. So when Çrimati was brought before the sages, next day, she was frightened by the sight of the two deformed beings, but unseen by others there appeared to her the handsome appearance of the God Visnu himself who took her by the hand and disappeared. This story we find in the third chapter of the Advūta Rāmāyana and Rāmānanda has put it in interesting Bengali verse in the Ādi Kānda of his Rāmalīla. Kanda is full of stories described at considerable length some of which we find briefly noticed by Kirttivāsa. The vow of fasting observed on the Ekadaçı day by the King Anaranya and the boon granted to him by Visnu, the pathetic story of Harishchandra, the account of the kings of the solar dynasty—the ancestors of Rāma—have been very graphically described. Many of these descriptions may bear a favourable comparison

with those of Kirttivāsa. The saintly poet has occasionally enlightened his poem by importing beautiful passages from Kālidāsa. This Svayambara of Indunati described in Rāmaltla, evidently owes its materials to Raghubansam, canto I, I quote the text below:

"Chitralekhā here submits to the princess.

Imitation of Kali

'Behold the King of Magadha is your suitor; he is immensely rich and justly named "the

king of kings." His pedigree is noble, though his

<sup>। &</sup>quot;হেনকালে চিত্ৰলেখা কৰে নিবেদনে। मध्य वाटकाव वाका (प्रथंड नग्रहेन ॥ মহাৰাভ চক্ৰবৰ্ত্তী প্ৰম ধনিন। কলেতে উদ্ধান কিছু রূপেতে মনিন। ইচন যদি হয় ভবে মালা দেহ গলে। ক্রপ ভিন্ন এমন না পাবে মহীতলে ॥ চিত্রলেখা বাকা তবে করিয়া শ্রবণ। বামে বাখি ভারে ভবে করিলা গমন ॥ श्वामि विज्ञालको ज्ञान निवन निवन । ছগ্ৰের পতি তব নাতি নিল মন ॥ দ্রাবিডের বাহা ঐ দেখহ দক্ষিণে। ক্ষেত্রী চভামণি রাজা কলে নন হীনে ॥ প্রস্তা ত্রপস ছেখি কর্ম বিচার। বহুদেশপতি নন, অল্ল অধিকার । বান্ধণ পালক বঁড অতি বিচক্ষণ। चार्क विद्या लोडा वड समह वहन । बिक डेफ्टा इस उन नज़र डेरादा। স্থানর এমন নাই ভূবন ভিতরে ॥ আগে গেল কলা কথা না গুনিল কানে। हात्रि हिज्रलांश श्रम देकना निरंत्रहत ॥ क्लिक बार्कात बाका वहा भूगावान्।

exterior may not be so pleasing. If you choose him, oh princess, put the garland of flowers on his neck and select him as your bridegroom. The question of outward appearance apart, you will not find another man like him in all other respects.' The princess heard the maid, but walked on, leaving the monarch to the left. Thereupon Chitralekhā smiled and said 'the King of Magadha, then, could not catch your fancy. On your right side, behold the King of Dravida. He is the very pride of the Kşatriya race. His high lineage is well-known. Though his kingdom is not great, he is very handsome. Besides, he is a patron of Brāhmins and scholars. His charity is unbounded, some may say it is more than his means allow. elect him, oh princess, put the garland on

নিবিড় বাজার নাম এইত আধ্যান ॥
নিতা ভদ্রকালী যারে দেন দরশন।
দেবীপুত্র হন এই নিবিড় বাজন ॥
মৃতসন্ধীবনী বিজ্ঞা জানে নরেশর।
ব্যাধি পীড়া নাই যার রাজ্যেব ভিতর ॥
মৃত্যু নাই অধিকাব দেবীর রুপায়।
অন্ত:কালে স্বশরীরে কৈলাসেতে যায় ॥
যদি ইচ্ছা হয় তবে করহ বরণ।
বামে তারে রাধি কন্তা করিলা গমন ॥
পূর্ব্ব দেশ রাজা এই বীরসিংহ নাম।
ধীরত্বে বীরত্বে নাহি ই হার সমান ॥
রূপে অভি রুপবান্ বিভাতে ভারতী।
সর্ব্ববিভা বিশারদ অভি মহামতি ॥
যদি ইচ্ছা হয় কন্তা করহ বরণ।
এ রাজার গলে মাল্য করহ অর্পণ ॥"

his neck.' But Indumati walked on seemingly without listening to her maid's speech. Chitralekhā smiled again and pointing to the King of Orissa said 'Look here, this king is the abode of all virtues. His name is Nibida. The Goddess Kāli is so gracious to this monarch that she becomes visible to him frequently. People say that the King Nivida is a son of the goddess. By her grace he has learnt the art of restoring the dead to life. In his kingdom none suffers from any disease. Death has no sway within the limits of his dominions. When one's span of life comes to an end one goes to heaven in the flesh. If you like, select him as your bridegroom.' The princess however walked on, leaving him to the left. And Chitralekhā said again ' Behold here, the King of the Eastern provinces. name is Vîrasinha. His quietness of temperament at home and heroism in the field are both unmatched. He is handsome and his scholarship is as great as that of Sarasvati herself."

Rāmānanda introduces a description of the domestic sports and incidents of Rāma's child-hood. These in a subsequent period were so gra-

The poet describes the Bengali life—his religious fervour.

phically described by the poet Raghunandana. Our poet gives a detailed forecast of Rāma.

His Annaprāsana, or the ceremony of taking rice, Karņavedha, or the ceremony of piercing ears (for wearing earrings), etc., are minutely narrated in an interesting manner. To this however the poet certainly found no clue in the original epic of Vālmīki, not even in Kirttivāsa's version. The language of the book is simple and throughout permeated by tender touches. As a saint and leader of a religious sect the author intersperses the poem with many wise sayings. Occasionally the views are boldly pantheistic, as in the lines.

"Then did I come to the conclusion that it is my spirit that pervades the high and the low. Whether it be men or women they are all but manifestation of my own self. All their sufferings and joys are mine."

His religious fervour is indicated in the following:

"I am thirsty and I yearn for water from the clouds. No water of this earth will satisfy me. Whatever may happen to me, and though I may die of thirst this is my vow that I will drink no water other than what the clouds drop for me from high."

After describing a beautiful woman he writes—

"Says Ghoşa, the strong mind of a Sādhu is not in the least moved, even if such a rare beauty present herself before him. The devotee's mind is firmer set than the peak of a mountain. The latter may shake but not the former."

The name of Rāmānanda's book is Rāmalīlā. This we find mentioned in the Ādi Kānda.

## (d) Jagat Rāma's Rāmāyaņa.

I have made a survey of the Ramayanic legend as embodied in the Bengali Ramayanas and tried to prove that these give versions of the story in many ways differing from Valmiki's Epic. I did not, however, consider it to be within the scope of these lectures to mention all the versions of the Ramayana to be found in Bengali, but have rather confined myself to a treatment of the various influences which shaped the more important ones amongst these poems. For a fuller account of these from historical and literary points of view I refer you to my History of Bengali Language and Literature and to my Typical Selections from Bengali Literature, in which I have given copious extracts from all available versions of the Rāma-legend in Bengali. I have not mentioned in this book the names of Jagat Rāma, Advutāchārya, Çivachandra Sen and some other writers of the Ramayana, who at one time enjoyed much popularity in this province.

The Rāmāyana by Jagat Rāma is important from several points of view. It was completed in Çaka 1712 or 1790 A.D. and is an epitome of all the legends prevalent in Bengal about Rāma. The author who wrote the book in co-operation with his son Rāma Prasāda, mentions that he consulted a large number of Purāņas and Rāmāyanas besides the great Epic of

Vālmīki and from all these he freely drew his materials.

In the Sundara Kāṇḍa (pp. 274-76) he describes an interview of Rāvaṇa with Rāma before

The theological discourse of Ravana.

the war, and here the Raksasachief holds a long discourse with Rāma on religious philo-

sophy. This will at once recall the dissertation given by the Buddha to Rāvaṇa in the Lankāvarāta Sūtra written in the 2nd century A.D. It is a curious point to observe that the echo of that ancient note is found in a version of Bengali The difference Rāmayana after 1600 years. between the two discourses lies in the fact that while the Buddha enlightens Ravana on various doctrines of the Madhyamic philosophy, Rāma does so in regard to Vaisnavism. One more curious point to note in this connection is that Jagat Rama not only preaches here the theory of the Vaisnava Pañchatattva, viz.. dāsya, sakhya, vūtsalya and mādhurya, but adds one himself, viz., the raira (hostility) to that well-known classification of spiritual emotions according to the Vaisnavas.

In the story of Sulochanā (Sundara, pp. 351-62), the reader will find an animated account of this lady, wife of Indrajit, both when entering

the city of her father-in-law, the Rakṣasa-chief, and the camp of Rama, attended by her maids.

They do not of course proceed to these places

like the Amazonians as described by Michael Madhusūdana Datta, but as devotees of liāma and examples of chaste womanhood. But on reading the accounts given by the two poets one cannot but conclude that Madhusūdana must have read this portion of Jagat Rāma's Rāmā-yaṇa. The characters of Sulochanā and Pramīlā have not only a family-likeness, but the grandeur of the processions led by the two heroines bear a close affinity to each other.

Instead of finishing the Rāmāyaṇa in seven cantos as usual, Jagat gives us eight cantos.

Ramayapa in eight cantos.

The added canto is called the Puşkara Kāṇḍa and is placed before the Uttara Kānda. The

Puşkara Kāṇḍa describes the expedition of Rāma and Sītā against Puṣkara, the city of the thousand-headed Rāvaṇa, whom Sītā kills. This added chapter vindicates the Çākta element in a striking manner amongst the various forces that have contributed to the development of the Bengali Rāmāyaṇa. It also describes the rāsa-tītā of Rāma, showing the Vaiṣṇava element to the fullest extent.

The "Jagat Ramī Rāmāyaņa" was edited and published by Kāśivilasa Bandopādhyāya, of Kalikapur, Dt. Bankura, from Calcutta in 1906 (B.S. 1313). This poem is a voluminous one comprising 587 pages (Royal 8vo size with thickly printed matter in two columns of each page).

## (e) Mahī Rāvaņer Pālā.

(1)

We have shown in this book the indigenous nature of the stories incorporated in the Rāmā-yaṇa. These stories sometimes resemble the Gaelic legends, as in the account of Bhaṣma-lochana's fight which offers such a close parallel to the story of Balor, the evil-eyed monster of the Gauls, and in the fable of Mahī Rāvaṇa's soporiferous spell and Hanumān's change of shapes which have a striking similarity not only with many legends of Gaelic mythology but also of old Teutonic folk-lore.

These Bengali Rāmāyaņas have thus quite an encyclopædic character, comprising along with the story of Rāma, current theologics, folk-tales and the poetry of rural Bengal of the age when they were composed. One of the most striking instances of the indigenous element introduced into the work is the story known as Mahī Rāvaņer pālā.

This story is important from many points of view. Firstly, as we have already stated, a great Çākta influence is noticeable in the presentation of the story, secondly tāntrikism, an indispensable factor of Kālī-worship in those days, finds a conspicuous and elaborate treatment throughout the fable, thus disclosing the popular belief in occult powers attained by performing

tantrik rites. We find in this story how human sacrifices were made in Bengal, how swords were worshipped before the striking off of the heads of the unfortunate victims, how the beat of drums drowned their screams, and how they were required to prostrate themselves for the purpose of bowing before the goddess, thus giving an opportunity to the executor to cut their heads off. We find also how one could create a passage through hard stone or earth by merely uttering mantras, how one could make the whole city fall into sleep by casting a spell and how the adept could assume whatever shape he liked. We have clearly shown in our Folk-Literature of Bengal that many folk-tales of the medieval age, current here and in Europe, are full of such things. The story of the Sleeping City in the collection of Grimm Brothers is not the only one where we find men described as falling into sleep by the spell cast upon them, there are many similar stories in provinces of India, Persia and Europe. In our Dharma Mangala poems we have such accounts of Inda, the thief, brother of Kalu Dom, who by his spell made the citizens of the capital of Lausen all go into sleep. In Mahi Ravaner Pala we have but a reproduction of a similar story. In the Dharma Mangala poems we have details of Kālī-worship in the canto called Dhekur Vijaya, which bears a close similarity to the story of Mahi Ravana's worship. These legends certainly

savour of the Bengali society of the 12th century when  $t\bar{a}ntrik$  rites were performed throughout the country with great enthusiasm, and we believe the Mahī Rāvaṇer Pālā, put into verse by Kirttivāsa and other poets, is but a reproduction of the legend to be traced to that period of Bengal History. This  $p\bar{a}l\bar{a}$  is a folk-tale pure and simple, entirely differing in its tone and spirit from the subject of the epic.

I take the liberty of giving here a translation of the story.

## MAHĪ RĀVANER PĀLĀ.

Lakemana, the brother of Rama, was mortally wounded by a spear hurled by Ravana. Ravana thought that he was dead, and he left the field in great triumph. But by the application of a rare medicinal herb, procured at great pains from the hills of Gandhamadana, Lakemana recovered.

The armies of Rāma raised a cry of joy, which reverberated from one end of Lankā to the other, and Rāvaṇa, as he understood its import, became absorbed in sad thoughts.

'Though dead they seem, yet they do not die, how strange these enemies are! I see now that the fair Lankā is doomed to ruin. All her heroes and warriors are killed in the field. None—none that I see whom I can trust with army's command. It is my own turn now to fight and die. In the Gandharbha kingdom lived my son Bīrabāhu—full of life and joy. He came down to Lankā to lay that life at the altar of this

war. My dearest son Indrajita too, alas, is dead and gone. None—none that I see to lead the army!'

"Tears fell from his eyes as he thought in this strain. Pale and imaciated was his face. Sometimes he sat on the throne and then rose in an instant, and walked to and fro with unmeaning steps. Sometimes his reveries made him distracted, and he seemed unconscious of all that went around, and then with a sigh did he say:

'Alas! the great god Çiva and the goddess Bhagavati, it seems, are going to give me up now—though I have been worshipping them all my life with great devotion!'

"Now Rāvaņa's mother was Nikaṣā. Grieved was she at heart for her son. She came to see Rāvaṇa and addressed him thus:

"I had foretold a long while ago, what has befallen us. Alas! you heeded not my prophetic words! The Rākṣaṣa-race stands on the verge of ruin. Your brother Bibhiṣaṇa is a virtuous soul. Right advice he had given you, and as a reward you kicked him out of your court. I myself advised you to return Sitā to Rāma more than once. But great calamities were ordained by Providence to be in store for us all, so why should you listen to my advice! What was predestined, has happened, no good repenting it now. We should now consider what remedy still there may be. I have a suggestion to offer,

and for this have I come to you. When on world-conquest bound, you had gone to the nether world, there a son was born to you. In the nether world—did he take his birth, therefore was he named Mahī Rāvaṇa (lit. Rāvaṇa born of the earth). An accomplished warrior is he and reigns in subterranean regions. If you summon him at this crisis, he may do you signal help.'

"Ravana took up the suggestion with thanks. He remembered Mahī Rāvana of the nether world. A mighty warrior was he, and by worshipping Kālī had obtained as boon a magic-spell that no enemy would stand.

'Such a son have I, yet my fair city is ruined?' he thought, and felt remorse for not having called him earlier. 'He alone will be able to cope with the enemy' muttering these words, he drew a breath of relief.

So the Rākṣasa-king by his will force communicated his wishes through space, till in the nether world Mahī felt that some one had fallen in great distress and was calling him for help. By his own will force did Mahī concentrate his mind, till counting heaven and earth, he at last hit aright, and felt that it was a call from his own father—the King of Lankā—passing through some great crisis in his life.

As soon as he knew it, he hastened to attend his father's court. He uttered some

mantras and lo! a passage opened of itself by which he now entered the fair city of Lanks.

The king was all in tears on the throne when Mahi arrived there. He rose from his seat and embraced his son, warmly kissing him and the son respectfully bowed to his father in his turn. Mahi said:—

'What business thine, oh father, that thou hast remembered me to-day? Say, how may I be of service to thee.'

And Ravana with tears in his eyes replied:

'My son, Sürpanakhā is my sister, and your dear aunt, you know. A petty mortal, a man, cut her nose and cars. How could I bear such an insult as this?'

Mahi interrupted, 'Why should a man, all on a sudden, dare do so, my father?'

Ravana now narrated the old story again.

'Sūrpanakhā, my younger sister, became a a widow and led a pious and austere life. She shunned the luxuries of Lankā and betook herself to forest. To guard her in her holy life, did I appoint an army fourteen thousand strong, with Khara and Dūṣaṇa at their head.

'That danger waited her, she did not know. She went to the forest to gather flowers. Now Daçaratha, a king of the Solar race, had sent his sons Rāma and Laksana to exile. They wandered about in the forest there, and with them was Srtā, Rāma's wife. Sūrpanakhā had a quarrel

with her about flowers, and Laksana, Rāma's brother, cut her nose and ears for this.

'She came and reported it to Khara and Dūṣaṇa, and they in great rage with their army went to fight with Rāma. Rāma killed fourteen thousand Rākṣasas and with them Khara and Dūṣaṇa, their generals.

'With her face all disfigured, Sūrpaṇakhā came to my court, and showed herself to me. My whole body, as it were, was on fire with rage, when I saw her so, and I asked her "Tell me who on earth or heaven could be so bold as to disfigure you thus?"

'In great, sorrow she replied :-

"Two men, brother, with them a lady fair, came to the *Dandaka*-forest lately. They have brought me to this plight."

'As I heard her story from her own lips, I hastened to the *Dandaka* forest, and carried away SItā while she was all alone.

'Rāma collected an army of monkeys, and with stones and woods bridged the great sea. He has laid siege to Lankā. Indrajita, Bīrabāhu and my other sons have been killed, and my brother Kumbhakarņa has also fallen in the field. Fallen in evil times as I am, I have called you here, my son, to my help."

With joint palms did Mahl thus address the monarch:

"This fair city, owes her ruin to you. When all is nearly over, you have called me here. When Rāma was on the other side of the sea, why did you not send me a message then? The Gods and the Danayas do fear me as Death. How sad it is to reflect that this golden city unparalleled for its splendour, is destroyed, when I am alive and ready to serve the Rakshasa cause! Who is there who can stand my arrows? Poor monkeys and men, despised by us, so audacious have they become as to dare ruin the kingdom of my father? If any god stands against me. I bring him bound in chains to my gate. Not a greater marvel was ever heard, that those who are our food have made us their victims! In a few moments will I destroy your enemies, wait and see. I will cast such a spell as to outwit them all. If the God Indra with his queen Sachi sit together on the same throne, my spell has the power to carry off Sachi, without Indra's knowledge. more sorrow, dear father, over what you have suffered. Keep Sitā in your harem. me, king. I will cast a magic spell by which Rama and his brother will have to go to my city straight in the nether world, and there will they be sacrificed at the altar of Kali-the tutelary deity of my house."

"When Mahi did vaunt about his power in such a manner, Rāvaņa was glad, as if in his hands he had all on a sudden got the very key to heaven. Drawing a breath of relief he said:

"You son, dear are you to me as my life. By your help now will my woes be removed. I feel certain that my enemy you will destroy, and success will attend your mighty campaign." And Mahī replied full confidently:—

"Take heart, father, I go to the field. Ere long I will return as a victor."

**(2)** 

"When Rāvaṇa was thus full of hope, talking with his dear son, Bibhīṣaṇa with his dear son, Bibhīṣaṇa in the camp of Rāma suspected that something had transpired in Rāvaṇa's court to give the monarch a feeling of relief. For, the news of Lakṣhmaṇa's recovery had no doubt reached him, yet,—yet he seemed to lie at ease without taking arms promptly as was his wont.

"In order to know what they had been doing at the palace, Bibhīṣhaṇa took leave of Rāma and others, changed himself to a bird and flew up to the palace-gate.

"There he saw Mahi seated with his father talking closely—both of them in high spirit and looking jubilant over some new plan they were forming. Bibhisana assumed his own form and hastened to Rāma's camp, and gave him the warning of danger thus:—

'A great crisis awaits thee to-day, oh Lord, for Mahī has come. He is a son of Rāvaṇa, by his chief queen Mandodari, and reigns in the nether-world by his royal father's order. A mighty warrior is he, whom the gods and demons fear—an expert archer—whose arrows no hero has yet dared to stand. But his chief success rests in his magic-spell which is almost irresistible. Like a wizard he can carry off whomsoever he likes, casting his spell on the guards. And the Goddess Kālī, whom he worships at his palace, has granted him this boon. This wicked one is really to be dreaded, so take care to-day."

"He addressed the army and said once again :-

'Keep guard, oh chiefs and generals, over Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa this night. Do not fall asleep, and you, oh Jāmbhuvāna, whose wise counsel has always been the best defence of this camp, make arrangements with your unfailing foresight and wonted promptitude.'

"Jāmbuvāna called Hanumān to his aid, saying:—

'Have you heard, oh friend, what Bibhisana has said? To-day we must be all on our guard and by all means the impending peril avert.'

"Hanuman was fearless and did not at all care. He said :—

'I myself can kill Mahi. Where will he hide himself? Sugriva has the knowledge of

world's geography at his fingers' ends. We will find out Rāvaṇa and his son, wherever they may hide themselves. No rescue by dastardly flight this time. If need be, the proud palace of Lankā will I reduce to dust and blot it out from this world altogether.'

"Bibhīṣaṇa reproved him thus in a friendly speech:—

'No idle vaunt I will hear. Till this night is over, we cannot set a farthing's value to your boasting, Hanuman.'

"And Jāmbhuvāna said with a smile:

'If the fight would take place in the open field, we know our strength and could boast of our power. But if by magic-spell and mystic rites Mahī acts like a wizard, what can we do? Let us not be over-confident in the hour of danger, oh Hanumān.'

'Let us keep up night and you must have to bear the brunt of labour. The day is drawing to its close, and the night is fast approaching, and let us not waste time.'

"At Hanumāna' advice, Rāma did aim the great disc, stamped with Vishnu's name, at the sky. This did block all passage high up. So Mahī would not dare come through air, it was certain. Nala, the great engineer, a son of Viswakarmā, was deputed to keep watch over the nether-world, and this he did with the utmost caution.

(3)

[Here following the suggestions of Jambhuvana, Hanuman built a temporary lodge, which was strong as a regular fort, and there Rāma and Lakşmana occupied the central camp guarded by the whole army.]

At the gate of this temporary fort, stood Hanuman with eyes intent that could penetrate into the very darkness of the night and discover a needle that fell. On the sky above the great disc blocked all passage, and the whole army stood determined to meet the adversary and frustrate his spell. Hanuman now said:—

- 'Who is there, in the land of the living that can dare enter our formidable fort?'
- "In this watchful condition they kept up night. And Kirttivāsa, the poet does sweetly sing all these in the vernacular tongue.
- "It was midnight and darkness covered the earth, and Bibhīṣaṇa called on Hanumān and said:—
- 'Should your own father, oh Hanumāņ, come here and demand entrance, Mahī carries off you must not allow him to enter the gate."

Saying this, Bibhisana walked out and began to go his round with watchful eyes.

"After making his obeisance to his royal father, did Mahl come to see Rāma's camp. He

did not take with him any army, elephants or steeds. Full of resources and confident of his magical powers, he went alone to the field. At first he thought of coming by the air, but he saw the great disc whirling round and round—guarding all passage through the sky. So he had to abandon that idea. The whole of Rāma's army, he saw, sheltered in the fort, where all were watchful. Bibhīṣaṇa alone was outside, wandering about the paths that led to the fort. Mahī reflected, what should be the best means for him to adopt at the moment. And then he quickly changed himself to King Daçaratha, Rāma's father. He came to the gate and thus addressed Hanumān:—

'My sons Rāma and Laksmana are within the fort. I demand entrance, to pay them a visit.'

Hanuman, with all humility due to such an august personage, did reply:

'Wait, oh my lord, only a moment, let Bibhīṣaṇa come, and then there will be nothing to obstruct your royal wish.'

"Just at this moment Bibhīṣaṇa approached, and hearing the sound of his foot-steps, in great fright did Mahī quickly leave the place. Now when Hanumān saw Bibhīṣaṇa, he told him that only a moment before the great King Daçaratha had come. Bibhīṣaṇa said:—

'Even if your own father comes, oh Hanuman, you must not allow him entrance here.'

As Bibhīṣaṇa left the place, Mahī came again in the guise of Bharata, Rāma's brother. His appearance was a noble one commanding respect. For fourteen years,—the period of Rāma's exile, he had not combed his hair. They had grown knotted, and his voice was silvery sweet, with a clear pathetic ring.

- 'We are four brothers, sons of Daçaratha; will you tell me where my two exiled brothers are?'
- "The voice and appearance had an irresistible charm. But Hanuman said:—
  - 'Wait here, oh lord, let Bibhīṣaṇa come.'

And when Bibhīṣaṇa did come in an instant, Bharata vanished like a phantom. And as Hanumān related the story, Bibhīṣaṇa replied in the self-same words:—

- 'Do not open the gate, oh Hanuman, even if thy own father appears.'
- "Bibhīṣaṇa left the place, and Mahī now felt that such tricks would avail not; yet he tried one or two more of the same sort, just to take time to think what he should do next. He assumed the appearance of Kausalyā—the queen—Rāma's mother. Venerable was her look and her very presence inspired respect. She called on Hanumān and demanded to be

introduced into Rāma's presence. Hanumān with becoming meekness and with joint palms did accost her with the same words as before:—

'Wait, oh revered mother, a while, let Bibhīṣaṇa come.'

"At the mention of Bibhīṣaṇa's name, not a moment did the aged queen stay. She left the place with the slow steps of one that verged on four score. And Hanumān, as he saw her going, looked on her with eyes that burnt with rage. Bibhīṣaṇa, meantime, came, and when the Gate-keeper told him of her, Bibhīṣaṇa, as usual made the same reply:—

'If Pavana, your father, comes here, you must not open the gate for him to-night.'

"And as Bibhīṣaṇa left the place, there came Mahī disguised as the sage King Janaka, Rāma's father-in-law. With a majestic voice did he order Hanumān to open the gate and lead him to his son-in-law, and when Hanumān asked him to wait, till Bibhīṣaṇa arrived there, the saintly monarch picked up a quarrel with him and bandied words. But when Bibhīṣaṇa came to the spot, the false Janaka quickly vanished like an apparition. Bibhīṣaṇa again gave the same advice to Hanumān and left the place in orde to go his round."

"Following him on his very foot-steps, die Mahi again appear there, all unseen. And when

Bibhīṣaṇa had left the place, he came assuming Bibhīṣaṇa's form. So exactly did he look like his uncle, that there could be no shadow of doubt as to identity, and Hanumān was naturally taken by surprise. He said:—

'How is it, friend, that so quickly do you come back? Have you not gone your round?'

Mahf guised as Bibhīsaņa, said :--

That wicked magician is a perfect master of black-art. Hanuman, we must all be very careful to-night. I have these charmed threads with me, which it will be well for Rama and Laksmana to wear on their wrists, these will guard off all evil influences."

"So saying did Mahī enter the gate with Hanumān's knowledge, and straight made way to where Rāma and Laksmana lay in the central camp. Invisible he became to all, and uttering mystic syllables in the name of Kalī, he threw some dust in the air, and instantly did the guards fall asleep. The monkey-chiefs, who stood with weapons, stones and trunks of trees, ready to attack the foe, fell unconscious, and the weapons slipped down from their hands. Rāma and Lakshmana themselves fell asleep, and a passage opened of itself in the camp by the the spell of Mahī, who carried the sleeping brothers down by it to his own palace below. They were still sleeping, and in a room in his

palace, well-secured by iron bars, did Mahi keep them. And his heart was full of joy at this success.

## (4)

Now Bibhīṣaṇa, after taking his round, came to the gate again as usual; but Hanumān knew that he had entered the fort; and now when he saw him outside, in great astonishment did he address him thus:

"How is it Bibhīṣaṇa, that you are here?

You went with charmed threads
for Rāma and Laksmāṇa inside
the fort a moment before.

By what passage have you come back? Mysterious do your ways seem to me. Your motive I cannot explain. You seem to be a spy of Rāvaṇa and your professions of friendship are all but a mask to hide your motive here.

"You wicked pretender, do not hope to live, when you have thus been discovered at last. With an iron bar will I dash the brain out of your head. The city of Lankā will I destroy by my own power alone, and the residents thereof will I send to the Region of the Dead."

"Am I a spy Hanuman?" cried Bibhīşaņa in accents that trembled with emotion. "My heart breaks at your word. If a spy I am, may my place be in the hell reserved for those that kill cows. If there is any wicked motive in me,

may I be damned eternally as drunkards and killers of Brahmins are."

Angrily did Hanuman retort:

"Don't swear. To your oaths, a pin's fee I do not attach. To a Rākṣasa that you are, the murder of a Brahmin, or killing of cows, or drinking of wine are no crimes at all. Where is then the force of your oaths?"

Bibhīṣaṇa in an agitated voice did quickly reply:

"I a spy, Hanuman! This then is your verdict! My counsel has helped the ruin of my race? Who told Rāma of the secret of Indrajita's death, when he was engaged in worshipping the fire? I a spy, Hanuman! It was my counsel that Rāma followed and killed my only son, not knowing him to be so! Various were the forms that Mahī took for carrying out his sinister end. And when all failed he must have evidently assumed that of mine."

"This struck Hanuman as quite probable, now that he remembered all that Bibhīṣaṇa had done to help Rāma's cause, and in a voice that trembled with fear, he said:—

"Is it then Mahi that has deceived me and entered the fort? Woe to me then!"

He was full of remorse now for abusing the trusted friend of Rāma, and addressing Bibhīṣaṇa, said again :—

"Let us not waste words any more. Let us go and see what has become of Rama and Laksmana."

- "Struck with fear that made his very limbs quake Bibhīṣaṇa felt that a great disaster had overtaken them.
- "At once they hied to the central camp, and there to their dismay and surprise found the guards sleeping and Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa gone. Bibhīsana cried aloud:—
- 'A great disaster has befallen us all. Awake, oh monkey-king Sugrīva, and all ye that guard this camp.'
- "They were now wide awake, and when they learned that the wily Rākṣasa had carried off Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa, they began to beat their breasts in grief and cry helplessly.
- "The King Sugrīva fainted away in agonies of intense sorrow, and Hanumān said that he would make an offering of his life to Fire. Angada, the prince, Nala, the general, and others of the mighty monkey-army bewailed in the same strain, and the whole air resounded with their lamentations. At this juncture Jāmbhuvāna came forward and addressing them in a voice that was calm and composed, thus delivered himself:—
- 'It is no good crying like women. King Sugrīva, take heart. This is not the time for bewailing our lot. We shall have enough time for it hereafter. Let us devise some plans to save ourselves from the peril we are in. Patience should be our watch-word. With patience may we hope yet to remedy the evil—if the evil is not, alas!

past all remedy! I do believe, for myself, that Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa are no mortals, divine beings they are. Who so powerful as to be able to kill them? We are all in need of Hanumān's help at the present crisis. There is no place where he cannot go—no danger that he cannot face—endowed is he with a determination to succeed at any cost, at any pains, risking his life. He will surely find out Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa. If he cannot help them to come back, then and then only should we despair as we are doing now. Let us make a fire here, and sit in circle round it, waiting to see what Hanumān may do for us. If he fails, our last course will be to throw ourselves into that fire and die.'

"Sugrīva approved of this, and addressing Hanuman said:—

'Known are ye for your great devotion to Rāma and Lakṣmana. It is you who should go to find them out. The shame of this event rests on you, Hanumān; for the enemy entered the fort by playing tricks on you. It is your fault and you are responsible for it. So you must exert yourself to the utmost, and rescue Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa. This I exhort on you both for preserving your own good name, and for your devotion to Rāma,—which is a bye-word with us.'

"When the Monkey King Sugrīva thus spoke to Hanumān, he looked pale with shame; his eyes grew tearful for grief and for remorse. In a calm pet determined tone did he thus accept

'I will search the earth, the heaven, and the nether-world, living no stone unturned. If I cannot find our masters out, know that I shall drown myself in the sea.'

"Tears choked his voice, and after a while again he said:—

'Wait here all of you, until I return.'

"Saying this he saluted the King Sugriva. He entered the passage that was made by Mahi's spell, and in an instant did arrive in the regions of the nether-world.

#### (5)

"There from darkness that he crossed, he suddenly came in sight of light. Brilliantly did the sun shine over that beautiful country. The palace of the King Vali first he saw, and that silvery stream of the Ganges,

ralled Bhogavati. There were

Hanu meets Rima hermitages where great sages and labsymals and submits bis plan.

austerities, merged in contemplation of the Deity. Beautiful damsels he saw of the Naga and Yaksa races. God-like beings with two arms, and others that had four arms, were there. It seemed that disease, death and other woes that haunt this mortal region of ours, had no sway in the

nether-world. With innumerable disciples the great sage Kapila dwelt there. And rare beauties walked here and there, like fresh and gay flowers thrown in the streets. Shrines he visited and buildings he searched, nowhere did he find any clue to Rāma and Laksmana. When, wandering about the place he was fatigued with toil, all on a sudden did his gaze fall on the great palace of Mahi-Rāvana-proud in its height, with its pinnacles touching the skies. The city of the Rākshasa-king seemed glorious as a second heaven. He disguised himself as a monkey of a very humble size and entered the city of the king. Many a tank did he see there, the steps all built in stone. Many a Raksasa coming in and going out in picturesque dresses. and the beauty of their ladies far exceeded that of those who dwell on earth. Gay houses all built in solid gold indicated the royal apartments. Cool shades of trees, where the air was fresh, and stables where elephants and steeds were kept, chariots of curious shapes and size he saw all, as he wandered through the city. 'Somewhere in this palace of the Raksasaking my masters are,' he thought, and sat on the bough of a tree that hung over a beautiful tank with the flight of steps of granite and marble. Many Rākṣasas came to bathe there, and they wondered all at seeing a monkey there, for monkeys were rare in the nether-world. Gossip ran venting forth stories of wildest kind. One fellow was there whose face was all wrinkled over with age—the oldest one living in the city. He shook his head wisely as he saw the monkey and said:—

'Look here my brethren, I will tell you an old story which you do not know. Our good King Mahi passed through great austerities to propitiate the Goddess Kāli. Fasts and vigils he observed, and performed other rites which cost him great pains. His object was to be immortal The goddess appeared before him and said,' 'Immortal I cannot make you, that is out of question, Mahi. Seek any other boon,' and our king in humble words did thus address the goddess:—

'Then grant this boon that the Devās, the Yakṣas, the Rākṣasas, the Kinnars the Daityas and the Nāgas—none of these may have power to kill me.'

'And the goddess granted him this boon saying that men and monkeys were not included in the list. And Mahi in a proud tone did say:—

'Men and monkeys are our food, I care them not. What will they do?'

'So our king is not immortal. The appearance of men and monkey in this place must be a very ominous sign. Two men the king has brought, from where nobody knows. They are prisoners in the palace, and just look, we see a monkey here.'

"The old man, as he related the story, asked those who heard it to observe secrecy. And elated was Hanuman to overhear it.

"A short while after, the Rākṣasa-girls of the city came there to carry water from the tank. Among them came a maid-servant of Mahi's inner palace. The girls all assembled there and eagerly did they ask her:—

'What is the ceremony performed in the palace of the king to-day, good maid? Why is there this music—the beating of the drums and the shrill sound of the flute that we hear? The priests are hurriedly going to and fro, and merry dances are going on and the banners waving gaily in the air. Pray tell us what these are for.'

"The servant said: 'We are warranted not to give out what has transpired in the palace of the king. There is a strict order on us to observe secrecy for a couple of hours. But as you all seem to be so inquisitive about it, I say in confidence, there will be human sacrifices offered to-day before the Goddess Kālī. Two lads he has brought down here. Of angelic forms are they, and of beauty rare that dazzles the eyes. Oh how wretched must the woman be who gave them birth! It breaks one's heart to see the lads! In less than two hours' time they will be sacrificed at the altar of Kālī. In a small room of the palace they have been locked up and reserved for their cruel fate.

Pray for God's sake, Oh maidens, keep the matter secret.'

"The assembly dispersed after having filled their pitchers with water.

'Then it is sure that Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa are here in this palace; and after what I have heard I should not waste more time.'

"As Hanuman thought so, he changed himself to a fly and instantly entered the palace of the king. The room, in which Rāma and his brother lay locked up and bound, was surrounded with treble iron walls, one inside another, and the room was well-guarded by Rākṣasa-sentinels—a legion of them, all watchful and alert, moving to and fro with unsheathed swords.

"He entered the room through a window, well-secured with cross iron bars, and assuming his own form saluted Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa, just awake from their sleep. They asked him where were Angada and Sugrīva, who kept guard in the camp and in great sorrow did Hanumān say:—

'In deep slumber you lay,—it was owing to the spell cast by Mahī, and the wily Rakṣasa has brought you down here in the nether-world in that condition. You seem to be completely unaware of these, my lords.'

"The brothers became unnerved by this disclosure. But Hanuman, ever-loyal and obedient to them, cheered them up with hopes.

"Just then the beating of the drums announced that the puza of the Goddess Kali was

just to commence. Many goats were to be offered as sacrifice and many buffaloes wild, and with them two human sacrifices were to be made. Flowers of all sorts and scents and of great price were put neatly on picturesque plates, and these were carried to the temple of the goddess.

"Rāma, addressing Hanumān, said at this stage:—

'A great crisis of our lite is this, and I see no way out. My army is not here; my generals and chiefs are all far away. My bow and arrows are not with me. How can I save myself and my brother from the enemy's hands?'

"Hanuman with unflagging courage did reply:—

'It is a mere trifle to kill the Rākṣasas, my lord? We have had enough of this of late, and we need not fear. I am thy loyal servant as all the world does know. With stones and trunks of trees I will annihilate the vile race of the Rāksasas. Wherever Rāvana's progeny there may be, Providence will lead us thither to extirpate them all. They are enemies to the Brahmins, to the saints and to the gods alike; killers of cows they are. Not one straw more will their load of sin bear, my lord, this is Providence' decree. Annihilated must they be all, and for this purpose sure are you born in this world. Strange that you forget yourself so as to despair of life. Maht by bringing you here has courted his death to be sure. The divine mother Kāli is worshipped here in a temple dedicated to her. I shall just go and seek an interview with her. If she is disposed to support the Rākṣasa-cause, I shall carry off the temple by my own might and throw it, and with it the goddess, into the very depth of the sea. Presently shall I go and sound her views about it.'

#### (6)

- "Rāma asked, 'When will you come back, dear Hanu?'
- "And he promising a quick return, again transformed himself into a fly and entered Kāli's temple. With a buzzing sound he whispered to the ears of Kāli's image:—
- 'The wicked Rākṣasa Mahī by the power of his vile spell has brought Rāma and Lakṣmana, my masters, to this palace. It is proclaimed by the beating of drums that when the sun will be at its zenith they will be offered as sacrifices at your altar. Is it by thy wish that all this is being done, Oh mother? Let me hear it from thine own lips and know distinctly. I shall kill Mahī with his army hereafter. Thou wilt see it, mother, and this temple of thine would go into the very bottom of the sea with thy holy image. Know

me, mother, as a servant of Rāma and a minister of King Sugrīva and no other.'

"At this vaunting of power scarcely could the goddess suppress a smile. She said in a very low tone, audible to him only who buzzed about as a fly near her ears:—

'The Rākṣasa-palace has become holy to-day, that Rama has trod this ground. A great sinner is Mahī, whose death, however, is near at hand. He is a sworn enemy to the gods and to the Brahmins. Rāma is Viṣnu incarnate with the mission of killing the Rākṣasas, and this I know very well. He has come here to-day also for that purpose.

'Take my counsel, oh monkey chief, when Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa will be brought before me for being sacrificed here, Mahī will order them to fall prostrate on the earth and bow in a fitting manner before me.

'Let Rāma at that moment say: 'I do not know how to bow—you know well how to bow the deity of your own house. Show me, that I may do as you will bid.'

'Then with his head bent low on the earth Mahi will prostrate himself before me. Just then, Oh Hanuman, you are to take off this sword from my hand and finish him instantly with a stroke. Rama is Visnu bimself whom my lord Çiva worships. He is amiable and good in his usual temperament but is destruction's self when he

punishes the sinners. Mahī has gone mad, he thinks of sacrificing Rāma before me!'

"With reverence due did Hanuman bow to Kali, and in his own form appeared before the masters. With joint hands did he address them thus:—

'The goddess has taught us the way to kill the Rākṣasa-king. When he will take you to the temple, I shall have to go there with you, all unseen.

'Mahi will worship the Mother and when this will be ended, he will order you to bow before the image. Then will you say unto him 'We are the sons of a king, people have always bowed to us, we know not how to bow to any. She is your deity and you know how to bow to her. Teach us the manner.'

The king will prostrate himself before the image, bending low his head, when I will finish him with a stroke of the sword.'

'If he does not bow when you will ask him to teach the way, I will kill him and his guards on the spot. This you will see. I will put his throat within my knees and with force will pull it so that his neckbone will break, and his blood will be the right offering to the Mother.'

"When they were thus engaged in talk, Rāma and Lakamana were ordered to be conducted to Kāli's temple. On the right side of the image they were placed. And Hanuman,

a son of the Winds, became unseen at that time, but minutely observed all that transpired.

"The Rākṣasa king sat there to worship Kālī and the beatings of the drum announced that the pujā had commenced. And Kirttivāsa the poet sings, it was no worship but an invocation of death on his part.

"With a heart right glad and full of triumph did Mahi worship the tutelary deity of his house. Baskets of flowers were offered and incense was burnt, and the five lights were waved. The conch, the bell, the flute and the drum made a musical concord which filled the air with high and pleasant noise.

"Then the sword flashed, as Mahi did take it in his hand. It was to sever the heads of the two victims from their body. The bright sword he worshipped with incense and flowers and then called on Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa, as their last act on earth, to bow before the goddess.

"Rāma and Laksmaņa, as instructed before, told him that they knew not how to bow. And Mahi prostrated himself before her to teach them the way. He stretched himself on the

earth as a staff that falls on the ground and lies there inert. And Hanuman seized the opportune moment and, taking off the sword from the hand of the image, cut him into two. The goddess—an image of stone—smiled as this did occur, and the guards fled in wild retreat from the place, not knowing what it was; for sudden and unforeseen was this disaster in the palace.

"Then a great consternation was there. Everybody was struck dumb with fear and grief. They moved hither and thither without purpose in wild unrest. The chief queen heard of this catastrophe when wholly unprepared to hear such a news as that.

"To vain grief she did not yield herself. Her lips quivered in great rage. She took no heed of her clothes that were loose, and did not waste time in binding into a knot her long and flowing tresses. She said in a stern and determined voice:—

"The Goddess Kālī has been worshipped for years in this house. The king showed her a devotion which was unmatched for sincerity and zeal. And here is the reward she has given him at last. My house is ruined by the goddess. She has befriended the men and monkeys. It is all very well. Let me go and throw the image into the waters and I will see how these men and the monkey escape from the palace."

"Saying so, a mighty bow she took in her hand and armed herself with arrows bright. A vast army followed her as in desperate rage and grief she went to fight the enemy near the temple."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The last three paragraphs (21 lines) were quoted on pp. 118-110, but they are again inserted here for keeping up a sustained interest of the story.

### CHAPTER V.

- (a) The influence of Tulai Dasa on some of the Bengali writers of the Ramayana.
- (b) Tulei Daza's unapproachable superiority in certain matters Where his imitators excelled.

# (a) The influence of Tulst Dasa.

Krittivāsa wrote his Rāmāyaņa in Bengali about the year 1400 A.D. This was the first Rāmāyana in the vernacular of Bengal. Nearly two centuries later, another great poet, a native of the village of Rajpur on the banks of the Jumna, wrote a Rāmāyana in the vernacular of Hindusthan. Tulsī Dāsa began the composition of his Hindi Rāmāyana in the year 1576 A.D. and finished it many years after at Benares where he had gone on pilgrimage. Tradition says that when the MS. of his Rāmāyana was ready, Rama himself, all unseen by others, marked it with his thumb, which is one of the reasons of the universal esteem in which the book is held by the Indian people. Indeed this admiration for the poet is shared by European scholars, like Growse and Grierson. The former has translated a considerable portion of the poem

into English and the eulogy bestowed on the poem by the latter is note-which Tulei is held.

High appreciation in worthy. He says,—" I myself consider that it is difficult to

speak of the poem in too high terms." Speaking of its characters he goes on to say "These are now as vividly before my mind's eye as any characters in the whole range of English Literature." "Tulsī Dāsa" he further observes, "has made Hindusthan what it is now, a country of sturdy yeomen, honest, simple and not afraid to fight for what they believe to be right. Nay more, he is one of the few poets who has sounded the depths of humanity, who appeals to the East and the West alike, who is not the poet of any time but of all time, nor of any country but for the world, where there are men who have hearts to feel, to honour and to love."

We read in the proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal (1877-1878) of Mr. Blochmann addressing one of the meetings of the Society on the subject, and referring to some passages in the Rāmāyaṇa of Tulsī Dāsa which have the most striking similarity with those of the New Testament, though the author (Tulsī Dāsa) "could not be supposed to have been acquainted with Jewish and Christian writing."

Certainly a high tribute of admiration is due to Tulsi Dāsa's wonderful poetry, but the characters he described, their renunciation, purity and devotion, came from Vālmīki himself, and the great Tulsi Dāsa knew far too well from what source his inspiration sprang. In the preliminary verses in praise of the Epic-master Vălmīki, he savs :-- "Even an ant crosses the illimitable sea without pain His indebtedness to with its tiny feet, when a Valmiki bridge has been made over that sea by a king." The king here is of course Vālmīki, and the ant who crosses the sea is his own humble self. And again he compares himself with a dealer in straw aspiring to handling a diamond; by the latter he certainly implies the subject of the great Epic. These and similar praises of Valmiki only echo the sentiment of the greatest of the Indian Dramatists, who, when dealing with the subject of the Ramayana, speaks of his own labour rendered easy by that of his illustrious predecessor :-- "just as one can easily string a precious stone through which a hole has already been made."

Before Tulst Dasa wrote his Ramayana in Hindi, many poets in Bengal had already dealt with the subject in their vernacular; Krittivasa's strain was made sweeter and simpler among the country-folk of Mymensingh by the gifted poetess Chandravati, nearly a hundred years after. Dwija Madhukantha also translated the Epic about this time, and Kavi-

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Vala Kanda, Doha XVIII.

<sup>\*</sup> i.anks, Dohs 212, verse il.

chandra lived and wrote about the middle of the 16th century. These few poets at least had dealt with the subject of Rāmāyaṇa in the vernacular of Bengal before Tulsi Dāsa wrote his Rāmācharita Mānasa in Hindi. As Hindi during the Mahomedan times was the

Tulai Das and Kritti-

lingua franca of India, Tulsi Dāsa's work was read and

appreciated throughout India and influenced the writers of other parts of the country. We shall presently see that some of the Bengali Rīmāyanas of the 18th and 19th centuries were stamped with his influence. But it also striking that some of the very lines of Tulsi Dīsa's Rīmāyana have an unmistakable ring of Krittivasa's verses about them. Such "ধ্রি ধীরজ স্থুত বদন নেহারি। গদগদ ৰচনে কহত মহতারী।" The very rhythm and wording of the line ধৈরব ধরিয়া রাণী রাম প্রতি বলে, of Krittivāsa are here; such expressions are not certainly borrowed from the original text. The marriage of Civa and Parvati found in the oldest MSS, of Krittivāsa have been described by Tulsī Dāsa in his Vāla Kānda almost in the same strain with a considerable addition of embellishment copied from the Kumārasambhava of Kāli Dāsa. This episode has no connection, whatever, with the subject of the Epic, and how it first found its way into Krittivāsa's Rāmāyaņa and then Rāmacharita Mānasa offers a puzzling point. The story of Rāmāyaņa had already been sufficiently popularised by the efforts of the vernacular poets of Bengal when Tulsi Dāsa appeared in the field of the Hindi literature to do a similar service. We shall refer to the difference in the conceptions of the subject by the poets of the two provinces. But first of all attention should be drawn to the influence which Tulsi Dāsa has exerted on some of the Bengali writers.

We have already made a reference to the Rāmāyaṇa by Rāmamohana Bandyopadhyāya who wrote his poem in 1838. This author in the preliminary verses pays his respect to Krittivāsa and to Tulsī Dāsa alike.

"তুলসীদাসের পদ করিয়া বন্দন। প্রণমিয়া কৃত্তিবাস পণ্ডিতের পায়। শ্রীরাম মোহন বিপ্র রচিল ভাষায়॥"

This undoubtedly shows his indebtedness to both the poets. In the Rāmāyaṇa by Krittivāsa we do not find so much adulation of Hanumān as

we do in some of the later Hannmana. works on Rāma and principally in that of Rāmamohana Bandyo-

padhyāya. The poet says that Hanumān, the ape-god, and Rāma are equal in rank.' We find traces of the worship of the ape-god in a far more striking manner in the Hindi Rāmāyaṇa than in Bengali; the life of Tulsī Dāsa himself, as related by some Hindi writers, abounds with legends of the poet's meeting the Ape-god and

<sup>। &</sup>quot;মাক্তি সহিত রামের কিঞ্চিথ নাহি ভেদ।"

receiving inspiration from him for writing the work.

Rāmamohana addresses a hymn to Hanumān in which he prays:—

"May I have a long life through your grace. Extend your mercy to me so that I may have a lucky wife. May I, in perfect unision of spirit with her, worship thee all my life in a spirit of devotion. Oh thou kindness itself, may I have worthy children, and grant me, moreover, this boon that my descendants may all be devoted to thee!"

This hymn is only an echo of the one to be found in the Mārkandeya Chandi, commencing with

"ভার্যাং মনোরমাং দেহি চিত্তবৃত্তামুসারিণীম্"

"Give me a wife who will please my mind and naturally follow my tastes and inclinations."

But I believe, the Bengali poet derived the sentiments of such carnest devotion for the

, "দীর্ঘায়ু কবছ মোরে করুণা কবিরা।
ভাগ্যবতী ভার্যা দেহ ঝুপা বিত্তবিরা।
তব পদ দেবি যেন সন্ত্রীক হইরা॥
স্থসন্তান দেহ মোরে করুণা-সদন।
মোর বংশ দেবে যেন তোমার চরণ॥

See MS, of Rammohana Bandyopadhya's Ramayana copied in 1853 (15 years after the composition of the poem) preserved in the Sahitya Parisat Library. The MS, I beg to add by way of a little digression, is a curiosity so far as its size and characters are concerned. Both of these are far larger than those I have yet seen in any old Bengali MS.

Ape-god from Tulsī Dāsa's work, which has hymns addressed to Hanumān and to his comrades who fought for Rāma.

Tulsī Dāsa's Rāmāyaṇa is characterised by a great devotion for Rāma. While the Bengali

A great devotion for Rama.

writers have all along tried to preach the Kṛṣṇa-cult through the Rāmāyanic legend, the

Hindi poet has unflinchingly adhered to pure Rāma-worship. The Bengali poets, as we have already observed, tried to reproduce scenes from Chaitanya's life under the thin veneer of the Rāmāvanic story. There lies their strength and originality. They had their minds filled with faith in Krisna and Chaitanya, and conceived the story of Rama in the light of the Bhagavata. But Tulsi believed in Rāma and in him alone. Where Rama stays for a while, the place possesses in the poet's eyes the sanctity of heaven; the tree under which he takes a moment's rest is elevated to the fabled tree of plenty-the kalpataru.1 And we have seen how Raghunandana, the Bengali poet, following Tulsī Dāsa, has written exactly in the same strain. So greatly is Tulsi Dasa overpowered by a spirit of worship for Rāma, that Sītā, when she follows the steps of Rāma in the forest, is described as adopting a circuitous path to avoid crossing the holy footprints of her divine husband.2 Poetry suffers when

Ayodhya, Doha 112, verses III, VI, VII.

Ayodhyä, 122, verses V and VI.

devotion reaches such a point, and we miss in

The loftiness of his moral and spiritual preachings.

these descriptions the bold natural flow of Vālmīki's poem; but lofty is the spirit which

took a panthestic view of the world in its excess of devotion for Rāma. Tulsī says:—

"I bow to the good and wicked alike. The ambrosia and the wine sprang from the same occan when it was churned; the good and bad have likewise arisen from the same divine source. In the animate and inanimate world I see nothing else than Rāma and so I bow to all."

"The good and the wicked are like the tree and the axe respectively; though the axe cuts the tree, it does not cease to give scent to the axe out of its inherent goodness."

The metaphors used by Tulsī Dāsa are generally taken from the spiritual world. Rāma and Lakṣmana walk, with Sītā between them two,—"just as," the poet says, "between the Great Soul and the human soul there is (māya) illusion."

"Rāma and Sītā sat surrounded by Rishis (saints), as if devotion and spiritual joy had taken shape in an assembly of Jnāna (true knowledge)."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ayodhya, Doha 122, verse 2.

<sup>2 ·</sup> Ayodhyā, Doha 317.

<sup>3</sup> Ayodhys, Doha 238.

Kiskindhya, Doha 24, verse 2.

Our poet compares the lightning that flashes through the clouds, to love spreading its momentary sway over the heart of the wicked. The new leaves of a tree are compared to the tender conscience of a good man.<sup>1</sup>

"The rains fall on the earth like illusion  $(m\bar{\alpha}ya)$  playing on the human mind."

"In the water of the tank shines the lily like the great Brahmā, who is without any quality, manifesting Himself in incarnation."

These may appear as ingenious and even fantastic, but I quote them only to show that

some of our Bengali poets conceived a liking for such imagery and introduced similar things into their works. I crave the indulgence of my audience for quoting some of the latter, and request them to judge if the Bengali poets did not at times show a marked improvement in this respect. Rāmamohana, the author of a Bengali Rāmāyaṇa, to which I have often referred, thus describes the rain:

"Rain pours incessantly on the earth, how like the tears that Rāma shed in his grief for Sitā! The lotus blooms in the lake, as shines the image of Rāma in the minds of his devotees. The bees suck honey never leaving the lotus, even so do the minds of the spiritual cling to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kişkindhyā, Doha, 24, verse 6.

ı " " 18, " 2.

the feet of Rāma The thirst of the bird chātaka is allayed by the rain as it falls, so are the passions of the flesh soothed by the presence of Rāma. The rivers and streams run swiftly to lose themselves in the Ocean, as the universe moves onward to lose itself in Rāma. The rain-drops soothe the heart of the earth, as the weary and the heavy-laden are soothed by Rāma's name."

But Raghunandana adheres more closely to the characteristic ways of Tulsī Dāsa's imagery. Here is a passage describing the beauty of autumn, quoted from the Rāmarasāyaṇa.

"Rāma came out of the city and with curious eyes looked at the lovely indications of the autumn all around. The sky was clear, free from clouds and looked like a saintly soul in

"সদা নীল ধারা পড়ে ধরণী উপরে।
সীতা লাগি রামের যেমন চকু ঝুরে ॥
সরসিজ শোভাকর হৈল সরোবরে।
যেমত শোভিত রাম সেবক অস্তরে॥
মধু আশে পল্মে অলি বাস করে মোদে।
যেমত মুনির মন রাঘবের পদে॥
জল পানে চাতকের ভৃষ্ণা দ্রে যায়।
রাম পেলে যেমত বাসনা কর পার॥
প্লকিত হয়ে মেখ ডাকে ঘন ঘন।
বেমত রামেরে ডাকে নাম পরারণ॥
নদ নদী অভি বেগে সমুদ্রে মিশার।
বেমত রামের অলে জীব লয় পার॥
অবিরত বৃষ্টিতে পৃথীর ভাপ বার।
বেমত ভাপিত রাম নামেতে জুড়ার॥
"

which anger, desire and other passions had subsided. The air was calm at the advent of the season like the mind of a wicked man hearing the discourse of a saint. The water of the tank looked transparent and the lotuses in full bloom, they appeared like faith growing in a sinless heart. The crops were ripe, and the plants drooped their heads low under their burden like good men on hearing praises showered upon them. The swans assembled in the tank like saints gathering in the house of one thirsting for emancipation."

But the Bengali poets of the 18th and early 19th centuries could not free Their subject is themselves from those influences woman that were pre-dominent in that age, the characteristics of which are prominently illustrated in the writings of Bharatchandra. Woman was the all-embracing topic of the poets of that age. We do not, however, expect here that high spiritual plane—the field of pure romance and platonic love from which woman is shewn in the poems of Chandidasa and some of the Vaisnava writers. In these poems she is a play-thing of man, treading the path of dalliance and completely captivating his fancy. Dāsa inspires his two Bengali disciples, Raghunandana and Ramamohana; but they cannot stick to the pitch of his high-strung religious philosophy. The mode of music is Tulst Dāsa's, but the Bengali poets sing songs of their own in that mode. I quote one characteristic passage from Raghunandana to illustrate this.

"The bees fly over the full-blown lotuses like the dishevelled hair over a woman's face; the thirsty bee hurriedly loses itself in the lotus, like the glance of the lover in the face of his consort. The leaves driven by the wind at times cover the lotus-buds, as the hands of the lover the breasts of his beloved; the bees throw one lotus over another,—how do they look like two dear faces kissing each other! The glorious lotus is surrounded by the white water-lilies, just as a youthful maiden would be by her matronly companions; the bees hum near the lotus-bud in soft murmurs, as a lover in private courts his bride."

The similes and metaphors are not taken from the hermitages or shrines as we find in Tulsi's poem, but all from the lady's chamber. What a contrast does it offer to the spirit of the great poet whose voice of warning in regard to all associations with women is raised from time to time in his poem like that of a true saint and a devotee such as he is!

"He that has not been charmed," writes Tulsi, "by the glance of a woman may be said to be alone wakeful in the dark night which shrouds the soul."

The high moral exhortations are here no empty words from the pulpit. They bespeak

Kiskindhya, Doha 67, verse IV.

the poet's passionate eagerness for a stainless life. He says:—

"I want to see a man who has subdued his auger and desires, one who has grown rich but not lost his sympathy for the poor;—one who has risen to power without being haughty. Where is a soul not charmed by the gazelle-eyed woman's glance nor excited by the fever of passion in his youth? Where is such a self-forgetful soul as is above the worries and cares of the world?"

This stern tone of the poet could hardly have any serious effect on the children of Bengal who became devout worshippers in the temple of beauty and love in the eighteenth century; to whom in their higher flights of emotion asceticism implied single-hearted devotion to the beloved and an indifference to the rest of the world; but who oftener sank into depraved tastes and morals not being able to scale the height of the spiritual plane, in the age stamped by sexual vices that prevailed in the country during the decline of the Mahomedan rule.

<sup>2.</sup> Uttars, Dobs 97, verse VII, and Dobs 98-99.

## CHAPTER VI

- (a) The struygle of the Rakmasas —an index to the spiritual struggle of the soul.
- (b) All up-to-date information about Krittivāsa—passages showing his originality.
  - (a) The struggle of the Rākṣasas—an index to the spiritual struggle of the soul.

Let us now approach that great poet of Bengal who up to now claims the largest umber of readers in this province, whom many uthors gifted with true poetical powers have sttempted to imitate and even to excel, but the laurels on whose brow continue to shine with undecayed lustre, to this day. Let us not despise the worm-caten, yellow-coloured leaves which still show the quaint phrases and idioms that characterised the dialect of the country 500 vears ago. For all this time Krittivāsa has reigned supreme in this land. The mangala gayaks have sung these ballads to the enraptured rustic folk : the kathakas have drawn largely from the poem while describing the Rāmāyanic legend to the multitude of their hearers; the wives of Bengal have found solace from the account of Sita's woes: for what could

be a more convincing proof of the fortitude with which a woman should undergo her sufferings, than the assurance that even a goddess, assuming the mortal form, could not free herself from the woes of this earth but bore them with patience and with resignation : But surpassing all these lessons is the effect of that strain of devotion and faith which we find in the Lanka Kanda proclaiming redemption to sinners and assuring those steeped in vice, that the grace of God never forsakes one however despised one may be in popular opinion. A moment of faith may enliven and hallow a whole life of depravity and vice. In the great epic of Valmiki Ravana and his clan do not at all excite our sympathy, nor could Tulsi Dasa invest the Raksasas with that glory which the Bengali poet has given to them -the glory which shines on the repentant soul. It is in Krittivasa's work as we get it to-day, that the Rāksasas are truly redeemed: they excite our sympathy, nay admiration; they illustrate the great truth that great sins may be combined with great virtues, —that the sinner may cling to a career of vice to which he is bound by occupation or habit, but yet there may be a constant struggle in him to free himself from all trammels of heredity or environment, and he may at moments display the beauty of saintly life even though his occupation is not in agreement with a higher existence. Taraņīsen, Vīrabāhu and Atikāya fight against

with heroic fortitude in the battle-They know that it is a course to field. which they are pre-destined, but what character in human poetry would be more lovely or more worthy of our admiration than these? Even the characters of Laksmana and Bharata, so glorious in the original, sink into comparative insignificance before these mighty Rāksasa heroes -heroes who fight against the Lord and yet on whose brows shine the marks of divine grace more than on any others'. In Krittivāsa's poem the whole Raksasa army, impelled by an irresistible fate, march to their graves; but in their struggle, in their repentance and even in their adherence to the throne of Lanka, they always excite our admiration and regard, so that when they fall we scarcely feel exultant, but offer them a sigh and tear as tokens of our sympathy, Raksasas though they are. Even the grim Rāvaņa fills our hearts with compassion and grief without our loyalty being swerved from Rama, when at the last moment he finds himself forsaken by Chandi, to whom he had clung throughout as a child to its mother in resigned helplessness. The music of the whole epic thus bursts into our ears with fresh messages of love which the Bengali poet alone has brought to add to old Valmiki's poem. The ruffian is not always a base metal in the eyes of those who witnessed the later career of Jagai and Madhai. The sympathy of the Bengalis grew broader and the line of demarcation between the vicious and the good less rigid in their eyes than it is in the scriptures. This broad sympathy, this beholding and discovery of nobility in the lowly and wicked was an entirely new experience and a surprise; this we find in the Rāmāyaṇa of Krittivāsa and no where else in our literature. We have already indicated that these elements were largely drawn from life and the history of the race; hence the poem glows with the lustre of actual facts and reality that appeal in an irresistible manner.

We find all these in the work known as the Krittiväsi Rāmāyaṇa though we have supposed that the *Bhakti* passages are later interpolations. As far as we know, in later times the Oriya poets copied such passages from the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas.

## (b) All up-to-date information about Krittivāsa; —passages showing his originaity.

To return to Krittivāsa. He was born at a time when Sanskritic ideals were yet unknown to the ignorant masses. Chaitanya Bhāgavata, written a century and a half later, complained that the masses still cared only to hear the songs of the Pāla kings, they worshipped the village-deities and sang songs in praise of them the whole night. In fact songs of Chandi and Manasā Devi are sometimes called the jāgaraņa

or 'waking' implying that the simple villagefolk kept up night listening to these songs. We have read some of the songs of these Pala kings written in the vernacular in the 11th and 12th centuries. They embody wild legends like those found in the Celtic ballads and songs of king Lludd in Gaelic mythology. These specimens of vernacular writing show that as yet the people were far from having any Sanskritic education. Those familiar metaphors borrowed from Sanskrit, and always employed

ment

in the vernacular poems of the The classical elesubsequent period, are nowhere in these songs. The teeth of a rare beauty, a princess, are likened for their whiteness to solā pith. The familiar pomegranate seeds were yet unknown to the masses. There is no reference besides to the anecdotes of the Rāmāyana or Mahābhārata in the songs of the Pala kings .-- a noteworthy point, for in the vernacular literature from 1500 A.D. to 18:7 A.D. when the sovereignty of the country was finally assumed by the British, scarcely a work can be named which is without such references. Even mathematical books wrapped their problems in such picturesque forms as:

" Partha, angered in combat, shot a quiver of arrows to slay Karna With half his arrows he parried those of his antagonists; with four times the sq. root of the quiverful he killed his horse. With six arrows he slew Caila, with three he demolished the umbrella, standard and bow and with one he pierced the head of the foe. How many were the arrows which Arjuna let fly?"

every Bengali villager whose age is now 50 or more will recollect the free use of the cane on his back which the village on his back which the village Pundit the gurumohasaya—made if his pupil failed to answer the dreaded problem given in the old Bengali Arithmetical book, the Cubhankari:—

"There was a wall of wonderful structure. Hanuman in a fit of anger threw it into the water. Half of it lay steeped in the mud and one third in water, one-tenth of it lay hidden under moss and water plants, 52 yds. still stood up to the view of all. Oh my sweet child—calculate the height of the wall."

So we find all classes of vernacular works of the period bristling with allusions to the characters of the great epic, even Mathematical books not excepted. But before Krittivāsa only one poet had attemped to spread the Sanskritic culture amongst the masses. That culture had been hitherto confined to the learned Brāhmins; whilst the masses believed in the superhuman feats of the Siddhās and in those of the Buddhist Tantriks like Mīnanātha, Gorakṣanātha and the Hārisiddhā. Two great poets arose in Bengal at this time who heralded a new era in the field of our letters, changing the very tide of

popular thought, and removing the veil of ignorance that enshrouded the lives of millions. Of Chandidāsa we need not speak here. Krittivāsa's pen like a magic wand created a new edifice in the realm of Bengali poetry, revolutionised the taste and tendencies of the age by introducing into our literature that inspiration from the Sanskritic lore, the flow of which has not yet ceased. In the huts of the poor and in the mansions of the rich his teachings still hold sway and he is the foremost of the great national teachers who have helped to make the Bengali Language and literature Sanskritic in form and spirit.

Krittivāsa, as we have just shown, was thus one of the pioneers of the classical movement in the field of Bengali literature. Some of the greatest of our poets have acknowledged the debt which the cause of Bengali letters owes to him. Mukundarāma who flourished in the 16th century and whom the late Prof. E. B. Cowell, his translator, compared to Chaucer and Crabbe, said in one of his preliminary verses.

"With joint palms do I bow to Krittivāsa, the first of those who popularised the Rāmāyaṇa." 1

And it is a very common thing to meet with praise conferred upon this pioneer by all later poets who translated the Rāmāyana after him.

 <sup>&</sup>quot;কর ক্লোড়ে বলিব ঠাকুর ক্লভিবাস।
 বাহা হৈতে রামারণ প্রথম প্রকাশ ॥"

From Dvija Madhukanta who attempted first to match his lance with Krittivasa's in the 15th century, down to Ramamohana Bandyopadhyaya who prepared his recension in 1838, all poets in their preliminary chapters referred to Krittivāsa in adulatory terms. The author of Gaurimangal, Raja Pritthytchundra of Pakur, paid his tribute of honour to Krittivāsa in his short sketch of Bengali literature from the earliest times; and who does not recollect Madhusudan's verses in which he oft-auoted bestows sincere eulogium on the great Bengali poet who first sang to his country of Rāma's nobility and Sitā's sufferings ?

Krittivāsa was born about the year 1380 A.D.

in the Mukhati family of Fulia,
illustrious for their intellectual
and moral qualities. I give below a translation
of the whole text of his autobiography. I
quoted only a portion of this text in my History
of Bengali Language and Literature.

"Formerly there was a great king named Vedānuja." His minister was Narasinha Ojha.

In Eastern Bengal a great disturbance took place which alarmed all. Narasinha left Eastern Bengal and came to the banks of the Ganges. He wandered about in quest of a suitable place for dwelling. He stood on the river bank and looked on all sides. Meantime night came on, and he slept there. When there was but one 'anda (24 minutes) left of the night he heard the barking of dogs! He looked on all sides and heard a voice from above 'Formerly this place was inhabited by Malis' and this place was a garden." The place was named Fulia (lit. a place of flowers) and it grew to be the very jewel of villages and became conspicuous in the country in course of time. On the south and west of the village flowed the Ganges. Narasinha dwelt in Fulia and flourished with his sons and grandsons. His son Garbhecwara had three sons, viz. Murari, Suryva and Govinda. Murari adorned with many virtues and he was highly respected. He had seven sons who all attained celebrity. His eldest son was Bhairava who enjoyed the confidence of the king and had an honoured seat in his court. Murari was a great man and was always engaged in religious pursuits. He was a highly honoured personage; none ever saw him moved by the vicissitudes of life

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The barking of dogs indicated that a habitation of men was near.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Those that deal in flowers, lit., those that weave garlands.

or by passion; he was known for his great piety and was handsome in appearance. His scholarship in religious literature was as great as that of Markandeya or Vyāsa. By his first wife who belonged to the family of the Gangulis, he had three sons, riz., Suçila, Bhagawana and Vanamall. The Brahmins ruled all over the country. On the Vanga side he lived with his family happily. The sons of Murari flourished by the grace of God in wealth, dignity, purity of life and power. My mother's chaste life is the subject of praise everywhere. We are six brothers and one sister. Krittivasa (myself) bears a contented heart in the world. brother Mrityunjaya fasts six days every month.2 Another of my brothers is Cantimadhava who is praised by all for his many virtues. Cridhara,3 too, observes fasts and vigils regularly. Then there are Vallabha and Chaturbhuja (alias Bhāskara). I have a sister by my step-mother. My mother's name is Mālini and father's name Banamāli. The six brothers are all possessed of great virtues. I shall speak of my own birth later on, but the glory of the Mukhati family needs a further mention. Survya Pundit has a

<sup>&#</sup>x27; "Presumably বৃদ্ধতাগৈ ভূলে ভিঁছ সুপের সংসার means on the eastern (Bengal) bank of the river Hughli." H. Stapleton, Dacca Review, vol. 2, no. 12, p. 448.

<sup>\*</sup> Fasting was held to be a great virtue by Brahmins in those days.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A poem on RAdha written by Cridhara, grand-son of Marari Ojha, has lately come to light.

son named Bibhākara. He has gained the first place everywhere and he is as great as his father. Nicapati, another son of Suryya, wields great power. He has a thousand men at his house as his attendants. The Emperor of Gaur made the gift of a noble horse to him. and rewarded his ministers and friends with valuable robes. Nicāpati's sons are Govinda. Java, Aditya, Vasudhar, Vidyapati and Rudra Ojha. Ganapati son of Bhairava, is a highly influential man. His glory has spread as far as Benares. Padma, another scion of the Mukhati family, is a renowned scholar. The purity of his life is an inspiration for all Brahmins and good In points of respectability, purity, power and brahmacharya, the whole world acknowledges the Mukhati family as ideal.

"It was Sunday—the day of the Cripanchami festival in the auspicious month of Magha (the reading found in the MS. dated 1501 A.D. is said to be I which means the month of Magha complete, that is, the last date of that month; but I believe the reading to be I and not I are means auspicious), when Krittivāsa was born. At an auspicious moment did I come to the earth and my father covering me with a rich garment took me on his lap. My grandfather (Murāri Ojhā) was about to start for the south on pilgrimage and on the eve of his departure he gave me the name of Krittivāsa. When I had completed, my eleventh year, and just entered the 12th, I went

to Northern Bengal for the purpose of study. It was the latter part of the night of Thursday, a shortwhile before the dawn of Friday, when I crossed the Bada Ganga (the Padma). I began to study there. Wherever I went I found people engaged in learned discussions. I was inspired by Sarasvati (the goddess of learning) herself. and mastered several languages and the secreta of rhythmical lore without pains. When my education was complete, I paid my fee to my teacher. He was as great in learning as Vacistha, Valmiki or Chyavana. He had the fire of genius in him and looked like the great god Brahmā himself. Such was the teacher at whose feet I sat and received instructions. I took leave of him on Tuesday in the morning. While bidding me farewell he praised me in a very flattering manner before all. I aspired for the honour of being appointed His Majesty's Court Paulit. I wrote five verses in Sanskrit and sent them to the king through the gate-keeper. I waited at the gate expecting the king's order. When the clock struck seven in the morning the gate-keeper with a golden staff in his hand came back and exclaimed :--

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Seven o'clock' here does not mean 7 A M., but 7 dandas. Each danda = 24 minutes. In January when Krittivass paid a visit to the king of Gauja, the sunrise takes place at 6.40 A M. or so, and the clock striking 7 meant that 7 dandas or 24 m 7 s. had passed after senrise. This brings us to about 9.30 A.M.—the time when Krittiväsa was permitted an interview with the king.

"Who is the scholar Krittivāsa, a native of Fulia? His Wajesty has granted him permission for an interview."

"Through nine successive gates did I pass, and entered the Audience Hall where I saw the king seated on a throne, lion-like in majesty. On his right sat the minister Jagadananda and behind him was Sunanda, the Brahmin scholar. On his left was Kedāra Khān and on the right Nārāyaņa. The sovereign was talking gaily with his ministers and courtiers. Amongst these was Gandarva Ray, handsome as a Gandarva, and held in great esteem by the whole court. Three of the ministers stood near the king and his Majesty seemed to be in a humorous mood. On the right side was Kedāra Rāyand on the left were Tarani, Sundara, Crivatsa and other Justices of the peace.1 Mukunda, the court Pandit with attractive looks and Jagadananda, the son of the Prime Minister, were there. The Darbar of the king shone like the presence of the gods and I was charmed with the sight. The king, as I have said already, was in a jovial mood. Many people stood beside him. In several parts of the palace songs and dances were going on and there was a great concourse of the people. A red mat was spread in the court-yard and over it there was a striped woolen

<sup>&#</sup>x27;The word Dharmadhikarini does not mean a female justice of peace. Curiously like the word बाजा, धुन्नाधिकाञ्जिले in the current speech of those days meant a male, though the form of the words indicate female gender. It is probably a currupt form of sanskrit 'धुनाधिकाञ्जिल'

sheet. A beautiful silken canopy hung overhead and the monarch was there enjoying the sunshine in the month of Magha (February). I took my stand at some distance from His Majesty, but he beckoned me with his hand to come nearer. A minister loudly proclaimed the royal order requiring me to approach the king. And I did so in all haste. I stood at a distance of 4 cubits (6 feet) from him and recited seven verses in Sanskrit to which he listened attentively. Five gods inspired me, and by the grace of Sarasvati (the goddess of learning) the rhyme and metre came spontaneously. Sweet were the verses and varied were the metres. The King was pleased and ordered me to be garlanded. Kedara Khan sprinkled drops of sweet scented sandal on my head. The king presented me with a silk robe. He asked his courtiers what gift would best befit the occasion. They replied, "Whatever your Majesty may deem fit. Your Majesty is the paramount Lord ruling over the five Gaudas' and a recognition by you is the only true reward of merit." Then they all told me "Oh good

## ं सारव्यत चान्यकुसनी इनिधिनीत्वनाः। पचनीत पति क्याता विश्वीत्तरपासिनः॥

Săraswat (the Punjab), Kanuja, Mithila (Darbhanga district), (Judh and Bengal—these five provinces lying on the north of the Vindhya hills were called Pañchagauda (the five Gaudas). At the time of Krittvāsa, the proud title of Pañchagaudeçwars, the lord of the five Gaudas (or five Indies as Beal has translated it) was reduced to a mere customary title. But at one time the kings of the Magadha and Gauda were the actual sovereigns of these provinces.

Brahmin, seek whatever you may desire from the king." I replied:

"Nothing do I accept from any one. Gifts I avoid. Whatever I do, I do for glory alone. No scholar, however great, can blame my verses."

The king was pleased with my answer, and requested me to compile the Rāmāyaṇa (in Bengali). With this token of recognition from him I left the court. People from all parts of the capital thronged to have a sight of me deeming me a wonderful man. I had the sandalmarks on my person, the decoration I received in the court, and the people were overjoyed to behold me. They cried out:

"Blessed are you, oh scholar of Fuliā, you are amongst the scholars what Vālmīki was amongst the sages."

"By the blessings of my parents and with the permission of my Guru I composed seven cantos of the Rāmāyaṇa at the king's behest."

A good deal of controversy was raised in the Dacca Review, Vol. II, No. 12, March, 1912 (pp. 446-457) over the question as to who the King of Gauda was, referred to by Krittivāsa, and I changed some of the theories that I had put forth in my History of the Bengali Language and Literature, as Mr. H. E. Stapleton pointed out some inaccuracies particularly in regard to certain dates of the Mahomedan period. The matter was thoroughly discussed by means of letters and some details of these discussions

will be found in the Dacca Review, March, 1915. I do not think it will be of any use to dwell upon those points here at any considerable length. will give only a summary of the conclusions arrived at. If we can find out the date of Krittivāsa's birth, we shall be in a position to indicate who probably the Raja was whose patronage Krittivāsa succeeded in securing. I wrote in my History that he was Kansanārāyana of Tahirpur, but the pedigree of the Rājā supplied to us, makes the theory quite untenable. For if that pedigree is to be at all relied on, the Rājā lived at least 150 years after Krittivāsa. We presume that possibly the Rājā was Ganesh, the 'Kans' of the Mahomedan historians. But before we come to solve the vexed question, we shall first of all review the date of Krittivāsa's birth which, as I have said, will throw light on the date of the Raja—his patron

Krittivāsa's ancestor Utsāha was a contemporary of Vallala Sen (1100-1169 A.D.)

"Utsāha and Gardua of the Mukha (Mukerjee) family and Çiço and Kunda and Roṣākara of the Ganguli family—these illustrious persons who had formerly refused to accept the gift (of a golden cow) were honoured in the court of Vallala."—Kārikā by Vāchaspati Migra.

 <sup>&#</sup>x27;स्त्रम्यादनवर्ष्याती सुखर्गती प्रतिस्ति ।
 ताहीसीव जिल्ली नामा कृद्य गेपावरमध्या ॥
 राम सर्वे महान्यान; सनावा वद्यानम्ब च
 श्राप्तिता; कृष्ये वतिवद्य वगाव्यम्बः, अः

Krittivisa was 9th in descent from Utsāha If three generations are taken to cover a century, Krittivāsa was born about the year 1367 A.D. We find in the Kārikā of Dhruvānanda Micra that Devivara made a new classification of the Kulins in 1480 A.D. Mālādhara Khān, Satānanda and Gangananda (of whom the first named was the nephew and the other two the first cousins of Krittivāsa) figured in this classification as the heads of their own particular groups. In this list we find neither Krittivāsa nor any of his brothers receiving any recognition. Whatever may be said to the contrary on the hypothesis the poet and his brothers were not sufficiently distinguished perhaps to he reckoned as heads of mels, the omission of their names on the list of the worthies leads to a more natural surmise that Krittivāsa and his brothers were dead at the time (1480 A.D.) This also brings the date of Krittivāsa's birth to the end of the 14th century. Mr. Stapleton says in regard to this conclusion of mine, "From the pedigree I now conclude that Krittivāsa was probably born not later than 1380 A.D., a not very different date to the one given by Dinesh Babu in his reply to my first criticism." "From the same pedigree we see that Narasinha Ojhā probably lived in the latter part of the 13th and 1st quarter of the 14th century A.D. This makes him a contemporary of Danuja Ray of Sonargaon and I am therefore now inclined to

agree with Dinesh Babu in his identification of Danuia with the Vedanuja of Krittivasa's autobiography. The great disturbance that drove Narasinha Ojhā to Western Bengal was probably the subjugation of the hitherto independent kingdom of Sonargãon by Shamsuddin Firuz Shah who reigned from 1302 to 1322 A.D., as according to Thomas (Chronicles of the Pathan Kings, p. 194) he was the first Mahomedan king to issue coins from the Sonargaon court. Unfortunately the coin, Thomas refers to, is not dated. It is somewhat remarkable that the Oiha migrated to Fulia in the 24 Parganas, when the Targish Gazi Zafar Khan had been warring against the Hindus of the opposite side of the river Hughli and had erected the Trivani mosque in A.D. 1298 with materials from the Hindu temples. Fifteen years later, however, a more settled state of affairs is indicated by the same Gazi erecting a Madrassa; and from Mr. Money's story about his worshipping Ganga, it is possible that Zafar Khan as he advanced in vears found it desirable to adopt a more friendly attitude towards the neighbouring Hindus. From these considerations I conclude that the date of the migration of Narasinha Ojhā from Eastern Bengal is more likely to be about A.D. 1315 than in any earlier year." (Dacca Review, March, 1913, p. 455).

"I agree with Dinesh Babu in his final conclusion that the court at which Krittivasa

attended was probably that of the Hindu Rājā Ganeça, as, if he was born in 1380, Krittivāsa would have been 30 years old when Rājā Ganeça came to the throne." (Dacca Review, March, 1913, p. 456).

Prof. Jogeschandra Ray of Cuttack has calculated the date of Krittivāsa's hirth from the astronomical data furnished by his autobiography. The line আদিত্যবার ঞীপঞ্চমী পূর্ণ মাৰ মাস (Sunday, the 5th day of the waxing moon, the month of Magha complete, i.e., the last day of Magha) is the basis of his calculations. He writes that between the Çaka 1250 (1328) to Çaka 1450 (1528 A.D.) there are only two dates when the fifth day of the waxing moon, the last day of Magha and Sunday occurred together. These two dates are (1) the 30th of Magha of Çaka 1259 (1337 A.D.) and (2) the 29th of Māgha, Çaka 1354 (1432 A.D.), So Prof. Ray is certain that Krittivasa was born on one of these two days. He writes :-

"We must therefore fall back on either Çaka 1259 or 1354 (1337 A.D. or 1432 A.D.) Dinesh Babu has sifted historical evidences and considered 1440 A.D. to be the year of his birth. One of his chief arguments is that one of the groups (mel) formed of the Mukhati family in 1480 A.D. has for its head Mālādhara Khān and it was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I have since changed my views on the point. See Dacca Review March, 1912.

named after him as the Mālādharī mel. Mālādhar was the poet's elder brother's son. Dinesh Babu supposes that Krittivāsa was dead in 1480 A.D. "For had he been living" says Dinesh Babu, "then why should the group (mel) be named after his nephew and not after the uncle, which should have been the right course?" It may be that Mālādhar was a more influential man having attained distinction in the court as would appear from his title of Khān or it might be that Krittivāsa was childless. Whatever it be, the Çaka 1259 (1337 A.D.) is untenable. Krittivāsa, it seems certain, was born in the night of the 29th Māgha, Çaka 1354 (11th February, 1432 A.D.)."

"Krittivāsa tells us that he left home and started for the North for his education when he had just entered his twelfth year and that he did so in the night of Thursday. What was the date? I believe that he was born under the influence of the star Revatt in Caka 1354. He must have completed his 11th year on Saturday, the 28th Māgha, Çaka 1365 (1443 A.D.) The 29th of Magha was the 6th day of the waxing moon. The first, second, third and a part of the fourth Fälgun were inauspicious owing to the fault called Agasta Dosa, Naksatra Dosa, Riktā and Biskumbha Dosa, respectively. The night of the 4th Falguna (Thursday) was particularly auspicious for beginning education and journeying in the north; the moon and the planets were

favourable; the planet Mrgaçirā was ascendant that day. The next day (Friday) was also a favourable one for commencing education. Krittivāsa must have started from home on an auspicious day. Thus the date 4th of Fālgun, Çaka 1365 (1443 A.D.) is found."

All this is very well. But as I have already stated I think "purpo Māgha Māsa" is not the correct reading; it should be পুণা মাঘ মাস, i.e., 'the auspicious month of Māgha' and not the last date of Māgh,—the basis of Prof. Ray's calculations. পূৰ্ব মাঘ মাস is not a very familiar expression in Bengali and the meaning of it is not quite clear. Whereas পুণা মাঘ মাস is in common use and in old Bengali the words পুণা and পূৰ্ব are often so written that there is every chance of one being mistaken for the other.

So after all the date remains unsettled. From the account of the king's court in which Krittivāsa was present it appears that it was the court of a paramount king, even leaving a margin for all hyperbole like "পক গৌড় চাপিয়া বে গৌড়েশর নাজা" "the lord paramount of Gauda who rules over the five Gaudas" (from the Punjab to Bengal including Orissa); there were 9 successive gates through which the poet was led by a gate keeper who carried a golden staff and the king lion-like sat in majesty on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Translated from a Bengali article of Prof. Bay in the Sahitya Parigat Patriks, Part IV, B S. 1820.

the throne surrounded by his ministers and other officers of State. All these and the accounts of the personages who were present there seem to indicate that the king was the ruler of Bengal. His court, though stamped with Mahomedan influence as evident from the fact that some of the ministers bore the title of Khan, sayours of Hindu power and ascendancy. No Mahomedan officer or minister is named. The king appreciates Sanskrit verses recited by Krittivāsa, and sacred sandal is used in the court for scent. Krittiväsa after having completed his education aspired to win his laurels in the court of the King of Gauda of whom it is said that "If the King of Gaur, the lord of the five provinces, recognises merits, that is the highest reward one can aspire to," All these lead us to believe that it was Raja Ganeca, the only Hindu monarch of Gaur who ruled from 1398-1408 A.D. According to Mr. Stapleton he ascended the throne in 1411 A.D.

If Prof. Ray's calculations are true, Krittivāsa could not visit the court of the Gaur king earlier than 1452 A.D. which is much later than the period covered by Rājā Ganeça's reign.

We believe we have given all up-to-date information on the point. The difficulty arising

<sup>&#</sup>x27; "পঞ্জোড় চাপিয়া বে গৌড়েশ্বর রাজা। গৌড়েশ্বর পূজা কৈলে গুলেব হয় পূজা॥"

out of Krittivāsa's not naming the King of Gaur remains unsolved. But as the poet has named many of his courtiers and said much about his own family giving particulars about its influential members, about the time of his own birth, etc., there is a great chance of the dates in question being ascertained by future research.

Krittivāsa, I believe, did not live long. Already when he finished the Aranya Kānda he was in a very bad state of health. In one of the colophons attached to a chapter of his Rāmā-yaṇa we find the poet complaining of his serious illness and broken health. He died childless—a fact that will be seen from the genealogical records of the Kulin Brahmins. The Mahabaṇsa by Dhruba Miçra written in 1485 A.D. mentions that "Krittivāsa was of a quiet temperament, dignified in his demeanour and liked by all people."

Rural Bengal still lies under the spell of the simple beauty of Krittivāsa's poem. The grocer after his mid-day nap, when customers are scarce, reads it in a sing-song voice and the matronly widow of the village still gathers round her a band of gay companions before whom she chants the verses full of devotion. The fair listeners forget their meals as they listen to the tale of Sītā's sufferings. Childhood is ever ready to receive impressions and these are indelible. The writer of the present lectures recollects how as a child 1 or 5

years old he used to listen to the poem recited by his elder sister with a heart that sometimes beat quickly anxious for the safety of Rāma, carried to the nether-world by the stratagem of the wily Mahīrāvaṇa, and at others with jubilant pride over the heroism of the monkey-god when first entering the Asoka groves of Lankā. Similar experiences have been gone through by thousands of the boys of Bengal homes. The night advanced but we could not sleep and we vividly recollect the impression made on us when such pessages were read:—

"The five heroes fell. Atikāya beheld it. With his bow in hand he entered the field. In his innermost heart he prayed 'Oh Rāma, give me a place at your lotus feet; if this you will not do, because I am a son of Rāvaṇa, there will be a stain, oh kindness' self, in thy fair name."

As we heard such passages the stately figure of the Rākṣasa-hero with a large gilt bow, full of enthusiasm for meeting a heroic death in the field and yet full of devotion for One who stood in majestic wrath ready to slay him, passed like a

 <sup>&</sup>quot;পড়ে বীর পঞ্চলা দেখিবারে পার।
 হাতে বন্ধু সংপ্রামে প্রবেশে অভিকার ॥
 দর্প করি মনে মনে বলিছে তখন।
 শীচরণে কান দেক কৌশল্যা-নন্দন ॥
 রাবণ সস্তান বলি দরা না করিবে।
 দরাময় রাম নামে কলত রচিবে ॥"

glorious vision before our eyes. The adversary in this world was the only refuge of the next. The majesty and grandeur of this fight impelled by duty on the one hand, and a desire to submit to resigned death on the other, opened a world of spiritual beauty which still excites my imagina-Man fights with his God and yet longs for a death at His hands. Does not this occur every day in our lives? With the vile weapons of his passions he fights and desires evermore for death with tears of remorse. He transgresses the divine will, yet surrenders to it at the last moment and awaits the call of Death as his reward. The infinite pathos of this struggle, the ever weak flesh that revolts and the neverending appeal for mercy,-the consciousness that the human will cannot help until He, the Saviour, comes to the rescue of the erring child. is suggested by this war between Rāma and the Rākṣasa heroes. The eyes that were inflamed by passion suddenly betray a resigned tenderness, and the head that rose high with defiant pride suddenly bends low with humility. These accounts are full of moral suggestions which even in my childhood flashed before my mind and at 7 years of age, I had committed almost the whole of Krittivāsa's Rāmāyaņa to memory without any conscious effort.

Rural Bengal is still full of this influence. Her only poets are Krittivāsa and Kāçīdāsa and I believe that those two have elevated the morals of our rustic people giving them an insight into deeper problems of life and into spiritual beauty, making them not only a law-abiding, loyal and quiet people, but wise without schooleducation and capable of scaling philosophical heights without the help of the learned.

I shall here quote two passages from Krittivāsa. The first one is headed "Rāma bewailing the loss of Sitā." This is not a paraphrase of Vālmīki's text in Bengali. It is original in many points.

## Rāma beirailing the loss of Sītā.

"With the mighty bow in hand, Rāma was on his way back home. Here many inauspicious sights did meet his eyes. On his left a snake glided through the woody path and on his right a jackal yelled."

"Is it possible that Laksmana would leave Sita all alone in the house and come out at the Raksasa's call? The night-ranger imitated my voice, it is true, but will Laksmana be deceived by it? Will Providence heap sorrow on sorrow? Already the burden given me by my step-mother is heavy enough for me."

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Vālmiki does not mention these inauspicious sights dreaded by the Bengali village-folk. He only mentions a tremour in the lower lid of Rāma's left eye ''तसाधी वामनीचर्ण प्राव्हृश्य'' and makes a vague reference to some inauspicious sights in '' उपानस्य निमित्तानि''

"Rāma invoked the presiding deities of the hills and dales, and prayed to them saying, 'for to-day only do ye protect Sītā from all harm!"

"'His forebodings, however, proved too true, for here did he see Laksmana appoaching him in hurried speed. Struck with dismay did he make this anxious query:

"How is it, dear brother, that you have left our cottage-home leaving Sita all alone? I now see that a great disaster awaits me. Sītā has fallen a victim to the sinister device of the Rākṣasa whom I have just killed. She, my best treasure on earth, I left in your custody and safe did I feel, when coming out to pursue the stag. Where, oh custodian, is my dear treasure now? Repeatedly did I give you warning but you heeded not what I said. My heart tells me that no more shall I see her in our pleasant cottage-home. She is like gold-like a jewellike anything ever held dear by a man. Unguarded she has been left and surely seized by wicked hands. This Dandaka is a dreadful place haunted by Rākṣasas and animals ferocious and wild. There is no knowing at whose hands has she fallen to-day. The Rākṣasas particularly are our enemies here and I apprehend some foul play. The Risis have always warned us about the Rākṣasas who infest these fearful woods, and yet how strange that you did not mind the warning! No fault of yours, it is all due to our bad luck. For, you are known for your great wisdom and I

have always thought you more prudent than myself. The stag with golden stripes that you saw was a Rākṣasa in disguise. It was not a stag but Māricha of whom you may have heard. There see with what a terrible mace in his left hand does he lie low struck by my sure arrows.'

"As Rāma was telling his fears, the two brothers all in a hurry approached their cottage. And when at the gate they arrived Rāma cried out 'dear Sīta, come out.' The words were echoed in the wilderness, but no response did come from the cottage. Exhausted and unnerved Rāma, with his bow in hand, sat on the bare ground—like one lost to the outside world. A moment after he exclaimed:

"'How strange is it, where is 81ta gone? My life will I destroy if 81tā is not found out. She was in a lonely house and carried off by some stranger's hands. This has been even as I told you before.'

<sup>&#</sup>x27; The pathos of the original in the lines

प्रस्तितं दृष्यकारका या मामनुजनाम ह ।

क म नकाय वैदेषी या हिना अमिषानतः।

गान्तवस्य दीनका दृष्यकान् परिधानतः।

क मा दृःसमदाया में वैदेषी तनमाध्यमा ॥

या विजानान्तक वीर मृष्णमपि जीवनम्।

क मा प्रायक्षप्राया में बीता सुर-मृतीपमा।

्यदि मामान्यनतं वैदेषी नामिष्यकः।

पुरः प्रकृतिता मीता प्राचा कर्यानि सुक्य ॥

"

are not reproduced here. But the Bengali post puts altogether new things in the mouth of Rāma, such as would more effectively appeal to Bengali readers.

"All through the woody banks of the silvery Godavari they searched—each spot—each shade of tree. Each bower and lonely path, the hermitages of Risis, the woody dales and the depths of the forests did they enter. A hundred times did they each spot examine, coming back to the same place again and again to remove some doubts that had arisen. But nowhere was she-the apple of her husband's eyes-found. Tears choked Rāma's voice as he tried to address Laksmana. And at his sorrow even the birds that flew in the sky and the animals that grazed below seemed to be moved by sympathy. For the birds suspended their high notes in the air and the dumb animals ceased to graze in the meadows and lawns. Risis that dwelt in the neighbouring hermitages came to Rama and offered him advice, charging him to control his grief. But this bore no fruit. With a bewildered look did he exclaim 'Oh my darling, where art thou?' vacant gaze did he fix to the sky, and the next moment with hot tears sit on the bare earth exhausted.

"Recovering from his fit he thus addressed his brother:

"'Where shall I go and what shall I do, oh Laksmana? Who is there to give me tidings of her? Islshe playing a dodge with me, after all, in order to take me by a pleasant surprise, merely to see the fun of it? If so, find her out now by all means, oh Laksmana, for I am really unable to support my grief. It may be that without waiting for my consent like a sylvan deity she has gone to wander in the woods in company with some Risi's wife. Is she wandering about the Godavari banks with her face like lotus? There is quite a forest of lotus plants there, herself, the fairest of them all. Or it may be that the goddess Laksmi whose favourite abode is among lotuses, took a fancy for my beloved as she has a face like lotus, and there among the lotus-plants the goddess has hidden her. The demon Rāhu who burns with eternal thirst may have mistaken her for the moon and eaten her up as he does the moon. Or has the goddess earth, whose daughter 'SHA is, seeing her fallen in deep distress-her husband disinherited and worn out with grief, taken her back to herself? Though my kingdom have I lost. the presiding goddess of my royal house was with For a moment she did not forsake her husband's side. Oh what a grief that I have lost that goddess unheedingly in this wilderness! My step-mothers' wishes are now fulfilled. For, this is surely the cruclest cut of all. As the lightning hides itself in the bosom of the clouds,

According to the popular notion, the demon R4hu eats up the digits of the moon which causes her to wanc. During the Lunar oclipse she is completely devoured

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sitä is said to have been a daughter of the Earth whom Raja Jamaks found in the field furrow as a baby while he was engaged in ploughing

in what depth of forest has Sītā hidden herself? She was like a golden creeper in my humble hut, gladdening all who saw her. Who is it that has cruelly uprooted her from that home? Ye, Sun, ye Moon and ye myriads of Stars that dispel the world's darkness by day and night, ye cannot remove the gloom of my heart—I see darkness all around as my light has gone away. Vacant is the world in my eyes now she is the life of my life and is what its jewel is to the snake. I know thee, oh Panchavati, to be a shrine. I lived here in that faith. Fit reward hast thou given me for my choice. You trees and creepers and birds and animals that dwell in this place, tell me who is it that has carried off my dear Sītā?"

The next one is a purely Bengali tale, not copied from the original, carrying the dominant Bengali idea of fast, vigil and abstinence from all touch with women-kind, to a morbid excess. It relates that Laksmana had no sleep nor any meal for fourteen years; nor did he look upon any

woman's face for that period.

Lakeman's wonder.

These were, according to the Bengali poet, the requisite conditions for one who would slay Indrajita,

<sup>&#</sup>x27;The popular belief is that there is a species of snakes that carry jewels on their heads. It is said that the snake lays down the jewel on the ground for socking its prey by the light that comes from it. But if at that time any one takes possession of it, the snake dies of a broken heart.

Panchavat, was a part of the Daudaka forest where Réma and Lakemana had built their cottage.

Rāvaṇa's son—the great hero of Lankā. Rāma himself, whose companion Lakṣmaṇa was in the forest, did not know that the latter had done all this wonderful feat. So when the sages told him of this, he asked Lakṣmaṇa to produce all the fruits and sweet roots that he had given him for his meal during those fourteen years. By a curious charm effected by the touch of the mighty hero, these were preserved; so Lakṣmaṇa was in no difficulty in producing them before Rāma who counted the number of fruits, etc. supplied, and found them short by those of seven days. With this preliminary remark I shall here translate an extract from Krittivas's poem:

"The sage Agasta said 'There was no hero in Lankā, none in the world, who could be a match for Indrajita. One who did not sleep, nor took any meal, nor saw any woman's face for fourteen years, was alone capable of killing him.'

"Rāma said, 'This is absurd, oh sage, I have given Lakṣmaṇa fruits to cat with my own hands every day, during the fourteen years. Sītā was with us for all this time. How could Lakṣmaṇa avoid seeing her face? I and Sītā lived in a cottage, and there was another cottage close by reserved for Lakṣmaṇa. How is it possible that he did not sleep for fourteen years?'

"The sage said, 'Better summon Laksmana before the court and ask him.'"

"Laksmana was accordingly brought to the presence of Rāma. Rāma addressed him and said,

'Swear by me, Laksmana, that you will speak the whole truth. We three were in the forest for fourteen years, how was it that you did not see Sītā's face? You brought fruits for all of us, how is it possible that you did not take any yourself? A room was set apart for your rest, how was it that you did not sleep for four-teen years?"

"Lakṣmaṇa said, 'When the wicked Rāvaṇa abducted Sītā, we two weeping sought her in the forest. In the Riswamukha hills we got some of her ornaments, you asked me in the presence of Sugrīva 'See Lakṣmaṇa, if you recognise these to be the ornaments of Sītā.' I could not recognise her necklace or breast ornaments, but I at once recognised the anklets that she wore on her feet; for I had not seen her except in her beautiful fect."

"Listen to me, oh lord, how I was without sleep for 14 years. You and Did not sleep all Sītā used to live in the this time. cottage, I kept guard at the door-way with my bow in hand. On the first day sleep came to my eyes and it seemed to overpower me. I was angry and with the string of my bow bound the goddess of sleep and then released her only when she agreed to the condition I laid upon her. I said "for fourteen years Rama will be in exile, you must not come to me for all this period. When he will

be installed on the throne of Ayodhyā after fourteen years, and Sitā, the queen, will sit by his left side and I shall hold the royal umbrella over their heads, then may you come to me."

"Bear with me awhile and I will proveit to you. On coming to Ayodhyā when you and Sitā sat on the throne and I stood with the umbrella spread over your head, suddenly it fell from my hand; for sleep, true to her promise, had come to my

eyes then. I was ashamed and smiled at my fault. This you marked. Hear again, oh lord, how I abstained from food for fourteen years. I used to bring fruits from the forest and you would divide them into three shares. Don't you remember, oh lotus-eyed one, that each time you used to say, "accept these, oh Lakşmana?"

"I kept them in the cottage, you never asked me to eat and I refrained from doing so. These fruits of fourteen years' storing are preserved."

"Rāma asked Lakṣmaṇa to produce them before the court.

"They were accordingly brought and Rāma asked Laksmana to count them. Laksmana counted and satisfied Rāma about all the days, except only seven. Rāma said, 'You have then, my beloved, eaten fruits on those seven days, Laksmana replied 'Fruits were not at all gathered for these seven days. Just remember when you were in the hermitage of Viçwāmitra, and the news of our father's death

was communicated to us; no fruits were collected that day. The day when Sita was abducted none of us cared to

Account of seven gather fruits. This was also the case on the day when Indrajita

bound us by his magic noose, called the nagapāca. We had fainted and remained unconscious the whole day. Then remember the day when Indrajita cut off the head of the image that we had mistaken for the real Sitā, and we were lost in grief at the sight; no fruits could be plucked and gathered that day. The fifth day was that memorable one when we were taken captives into the nother world by Mahi Rāvaņa, Hanumāna knows it; we could have no food that day. Then there is the day, when Ravana pierced my breast with his spear the Cakticela and you were lost in gricf. It was I who used to gather fruits, your humble servant lay senseless in the battle field, who would gather fruits that day? The seventh day was that one when Ravana was killed, and in high-spirited jubilant glee we forgot all about our meal, and no fruits were gathered."

After Krittivāsa's remarkable recension of the Rāmāyaṇa was composed, nearly a century and a half passed before any one else attempted a similar task. The stories of the Rāmāyaṇa were constantly added to by ancient traditions spreading among the rural folk chiefly through Dravidian sources and no less by popular imaginativeness. The poem was sung everywhere and

new leaves were added to the old book owing to new ideals being presented by the progressive religious culture of the people. The songs were sung before large audiences consisting of the illiterate villagers for the most part, and the head singer or the gagen constantly aimed at amusing those people by humour. Kavichandra, the poet, in the 16th century introduced the humorous speech of prince Angada in the court of Rayana, a speech characterised by its pointedness, flashes of poetry and no less by its coarse wit, which, however appealed to the simple and illiterate villagers the most. New characters were introduced. Whether they were taken from ancient traditions, current in the country or from forgotten Sanskritic works it cannot be ascertained. They might have been created by the rural-folk propounding their new creeds or for presenting new situations from the old Rāmāyanic legend. The characters of Tarani Sen, Viravāhu and Mahi Rāvana were, as has already been said, altogether new. Even Tulst Das, who composed his Ramayana long after Krittivāsa, did not include the first two, and though the last-named hero's exploits find a place in the poem, he is called

of Lanks. Ahī Rāvaņa there and not Mahī Rāvaņa. The episode known as 'Kālanemir Lankā Bāta' (division of Lankā by Kālanemi) is a fresh addition and full

of humorous beauty. Kālanemi, Rāvaņa's

uncle, was deputed to kill Hanuman by a stratagem. Laksmana lay in a critical position. struck by Indrajita in the battle field, and Hanuman was appointed to bring some medicinal herbs known as an infallible cure for mortal wounds. Laksmana would die if Hanuman could be obstructed in his way; and if Laksmana died. Rāma was sure also to die or be paralysed by grief. So if Kālanemi succeeded in killing Hanuman, the city of Lanka would be safe from the enemy, and Rāvana promised Kālanemi half of his kingdom if the desired result could be achieved by him. Kālanemi's stratagems failed and he was killed by Hanuman, but before his tragic death, he had calculated his share of Ravana's dominions in the event of success much in the strain of the milk-maid of the Kathasarit sagara or of Alanaskar of the Mahomedan His soliloquey is humorous and becomes so being shown in contrast with his subsequent miserable end. He goes on thinking within himself:-

"I shall measure with a tape my portion in the north, south and west, but I shall by all means avoid the east, for in the east there is the embankment on the sea coast. There is certainly a risk of the embankment breaking there. I shall allow Rāvaṇa to retain as much portion in the east as he may desire"; and so on.

"Kālnemi's division of Lankā" has passed into a common phrase in Bengali indicating the

foolishness of calculating the prize before it is actually obtained. The character of Kukuā is another addition in the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas. We find it in the Rāmāyaṇa by Chandrāvatī. For five hundred years the stock of Rāmāyanic legends are constantly on the increase and the villages of Bengal have so completely assimilated them that they tell it over and again in their own language with their own additions largely derived from their own life and environments.

#### CHAPTER VII

- (a) Dyrja Madhukantha.
- (b) Chandravati's popularity amongst the rural folk of Mymensing.
- (c) Her life disappointment in love
- (d) The story of Kenarama, the robber.
- (c) Chandravati's tragic death.
- (f) Her Rāmāyaņa.
- (y) The influence of the Jain Ramayana on the Bengali Ramayanas.

## (a) Dvija Madhukantha.

Among the successors of Krittivāsa we find five most conspicuous. All of them lived in the 16th century. Chandrāvatī, Dvija Madhukantha, Kavichandra, Saṣthivara and Gangādasa Sen.

Of Dvija Madhukantha we know very little. Stray portions of his Rāmāyaṇa have been found, and some of the MSS, are quite old. In the Library of the University there is one dated B. S. 1072 (1664 A. D.) It is a portion of the Uttarakānda. The verses have a charm of simplicity about them, and the poet pays his respect to the pioneer in the tield—Krittivāsa—in the colophon. The copy was made by Kandarpa Çarmā of the village Kasyakula-Barakuda in the Samanta-bhum. Another MS, in which the names of

Madhukantha and Haricharana both appear as authors in the colophon is also in the University Library. It is about 250 years old. There are several other MSS, of Dvija Madhukantha's Rāmāyana that I know of, but I believe one in the possession of the Calcutta Sāhitya Pariṣat is the oldest.

## (b) Chandrārati's popularity amongst the rural folk of Mymeusingh.

The most conspicuous of this illustrious group, the successors of Krittivāsa in the field of Vernacular Ramāyāṇa, is perhaps Chandrāvatī, the poetess of Eastern Bengal. The romance of her love, her distinguished parentage, her purity of faith, her personal beauty and accomplishments and her sorrowful end all combine to create a great and almost pathetic interest in her career showing her as one of the most charming figures in our literary world. It is to be regretted that as yet no steps have been taken to rescue her works from oblivion by the Sāhitya Pariṣat or any other learned body who professedly avow to further the cause

She is not known of the old vernacular literato scholars. ture of Bengal. In fact, I am

afraid, to most of you, if not to all present here, her name will sound new. Yet this ignorance of her poems among the learned people of the province does not at all divest her of that glory

which attaches to true merit or detract any portion from it. Throughout the rural villages of the whole Mymensingh district the hoatman sings her songs, and they are on everybody's lips on festive occasions. Her songs are sung especially during marriage-time; the women, while carrying water in pitchers for bathing the bridegroom, sing them; when the bridal dress is worn and the barber's services are required as a part of the requisite rites of the marriage, Chandravati's songs must be sung by the women or there will be no joy. She has songs specially suited to the occasion when the bridegroom plays dice with the bride. Her songs on Manasa Devi and her Rāmāyana are the favourite subjects in which the whole rural population of the district delights. Her songs of Manasā Devi worthily supplement those of her father, the great poet Bansi Dasa whose name is well-known to the students of old Bengali literature. The conversion of the robber Kena Rāma, a subject she turned into a song, is full of lofty pathos which used to draw tears from the eyes of the village people. 'Her songs have flooded the whole of the Eastern Mymensingh' says Babu Chandrakumar De, the writer of her short memoir.

Chandravati's father Bançi Dasa was born

(e) Her life. in a small village named Patwari in the subdivision of Kishorganj (Dist. Mymensingh). Bançi Dāsa

as I have just said, is one of the most illustrious of those poets who have written on Manasa DevI. His poem was finished in Çaka 1497 (1575 A.D.). The popularity of this poem is not restricted to Mymensingh alone. It used to be sung and read all over Bengal. The Battala-Presses of Calcutta have brought out several editions of Bañçi Dasa's work. For specimens of the poetical composition of Bançi I beg you to refer to my Typical Selections from old Bengali Literature published by the University of Calcutta pp. 207-249. Bançi Dasa had already passed his youth when he finished his great work, for we find his daughter co-operating with him in his composition.

Chandravati was Bançi's only daughter. She was not only a genius but a reputed beauty. She gives the following autobiographical notice in her Rāmāyaņa:

"The river Fulegwar! (lit, the queen of flowers) flows in her dashing course; there on her bank lived Jādavānanda, a Brahmin of the Bhattacharya family. His wife's name was Anjanā. The pair lived in a straw-roofed hut supported by bamboo posts. He was a worshipper of Manasā Dev!; hence Laksm! (the goddess of wealth) left him in anger.

"By the grace of Manasā Devi a son was born to the pair, and that son is no other than Bançi Dāsa whose fame as a poet and a singer of the glories of the Manasā Devi is spread all over the world. But inspite of his fame he is so poor that there is no straw on his roof nor rice in his store. When the flood comes it washes the hut away. My father sings songs on Manasā Devī, and the rice and cowries that he earns thereby, he brings to the house. As an addition to his worry and misfortunes the wretched Chandrāvatī was born in his house. He worships the goddess Manasā Devī with warm and sincere devotion, and by her grace earns a small portion of rice and cowries. The goddess appeared to him in a dream and advised him to compose songs in her honour, promising that this would remove his poverty.

"Here do I bow to my mother Sulochana and to my father Dvija Bançī who has educated me in the Pāuranic literature. I bow to the goddess Manasā Devī whose mercy. feel in my heart assuaging all my pain. I again bow to my mother for it is owing to her that my eyes have seen the world. Before I commence my song I bow to the great god Çiva and his consort Pārvatī and I bend low paying my obeisance to the river Fuleçwarī that has from childhood up quenched my thirst.

I sing this song by the order of my father."

 <sup>&</sup>quot; ধারাস্রোতে ছুলেখরী নদী বহি বার।
বসতি বাদবানক্ষ করেন তথার ॥
ভট্টাচার্ব্য বংশে কয় অয়না বরণী।
বাশের পালার বর ছনের ছাউনি ॥

Chandravati must have been about 25 years old to be able to co-operate with her father in producing the masterpiece on Manasa Devi, which as I have already said was completed in the year 1575. The poem was no doubt begun a few years earlier. Considering the voluminous size of the work we take it that the poem on Manasa

वर्षे वनाहेबा नमा भूटक मननाव। কোপ কৰি সেই হেডু দল্লী ছাড়ি বার॥ विक वरनी श्रव देवना मननाव वरव । ভাসান গাইবা বিনি বিপাত সংসারে ॥ चरत नाडे धान हान हारन नाडे डानि । बाक्व (डिव्स भर्ड डेब्डिनाइ भानि ॥ ভাসান গাছিয়া পিডা বেডান নগৰে। চাল কডি বাছা পান আনি দেন হবে # বাড়াতে দরিত জালা কটের কাহিনী। তাৰ ঘৰে জনা লৈলা চন্ত্ৰা অভাগিনী ॥ সদাই মনসা পদ প্ৰভি ভক্তিভৱে। চাল কড়ি কিছু পান মনসার বরে 🛭 দ্রিতে দারিত ত:৭ দিলা উপদেশ। ভাসান গাহিতে বথে করিলা ভাবেশ। স্থলোচনা মাত: বন্দি ভিতৰংশী পিছা। বার কাছে ওনিবাছি প্রাণের কথা ॥ মনসা দেবীরে বন্দি করি কর ভোড। याहात अमार्ग देश मुर्क छ:थ पृत्र । মারের চরণে মোর কোটা নমস্কার। বাহার কারণে দেখি জগং সংসার ॥ লিৰ শিবা বন্দি গাট ছুলেখনী নদী। वात करण उका एत कति नित्रविध : বিধি মতে প্রণাম করি সকলের পার। পিতার আনেশে চন্তা রাষায়ণ গার 🗥

Devi was begun in 1570 A.D. and if Chandra-vati was 25 years old at the time, the date of her birth would be 1545 A.D.

She has pleasantly told us some beautiful anecdotes of her own life and some that referred to her father. One of the latter is the charming story of Kenā Rāma, a robber afterwards changed to a devotee and singer of the Manasācult. In this poem Chandrāvatī incidentally gives us a glimpse of the political condition of the country

"The people in fear of plunder keep their wealth buried under the earth. The decoits use nooses to strangle people to death and forcibly take away all they possess. The decoits are the true masters of the country, and no one believes in the Emperor's power. The Kāzī's rule has ruined the people In great fear many of them have deserted their village-homes. Says Chandrāvatī, "the property and lives of people are at stake."

But the fertile district of Mymensingh yielded large crops and "the straggling herds of buffalos and oxen in the meadows and cowsheds exceed all calculations."

টাকা প্রসা রাখে লোক মাটিতে পুতিরা।
ডাকাতে কাড়িরা লয় গামছা মোড়া দিরা।
ডাকাত দেশের রাজা পাতসার না মানে।
উজার ইইল রাজা কাজির শাসনে।
দৈহত পাইরা সবে ছাড়ে লোকালর।
ধনে প্রাণে মরে প্রজা চক্রাবতী কর ম

When the fate of the country was such. Bançi Dasa with his party was one day travelling through a wild tract of jungly

The story of Kent Rama - the rob land when they confronted a band of robbers headed by

Kenā Rāma, whose very name chilled the lifeblood of the innocent rustic folk. For, of the robbers who infested the country at the time. none was more dreaded than he Kena Rama demanded of Banct Dasa and his party all that they had. "Nothing have we to offer you" they said and submitted themselves to the scrutiny of the robbers. Disappointed at not getting anything from them, Kenā Rāma said "No matter, we will kill you, for, killing is our profession." Bançi Dāsa said, " I am a Brahmin." Kenā Rāma did not attach any importance to this statement, but carelessly asked his name. On being told that he was Bangi Dasa the robber chief expressed his wonder "Are you that man the pathos of whose songs is said to melt even a stone." "But even if it were possible to melt a stone it is not easy to melt a stony heart" was the retort of the celebrated poet and singer. Kenā Rāma felt that the remark was aimed at him. There was some further conversation between the poet and the robber. The latter, however, seemed inexorable and expressed his determination to kill every one of the party. Banci said, "If you are really bent on killing me, do so; but permit me once for the last time to sing the glory of Manasā Devī before I die." Permission was granted and the party commenced the song. The sorrows of Behulā, sung by the poet with the melody enhanced by the joint voice of the chorus, and pathos, heightened by the resigned faith of the poet in that critical situation, sounded in the solitude of that jungly land, like a strain that came down from heaven.

"It appeared" writes Chandrāvatī "that the very sky was the canopy and as though the birds that flew above and the cattle that grazed below silently listened to the music. Kenā Rāma placed his sword by him and sat there in mute wonder. The birds that were flying came down to the nearest tree and sat on its boughs; for it was Baṇçī, the son of Anjana, who was singing the song of Manasā Devi."

The meadow in which the encounter with the robber took place exists up to this day and is called Jalia Hāor. It is an area of about 20 miles covered with reeds.

The song continued till the highest pitch of pathos was reached. The singer described the

 <sup>&</sup>quot;জাকাশ টালোয়া হৈল ওনে পও পাবী।
কোরায় বসিল হাতের বাঙা রাবি।
উদ্বে বায় পাবী আসি বসিল ডালেতে।
য়মসাভাসান গায় অয়নায় হতে।"

<sup>&</sup>quot; "লালিরা হাওর নাম ব্যক্ত ত্রিভূবন।
ছিলেকের পথ ভূড়ি নলগাঁগড়ার বন ।
ভারার গাইতে শিতা বান দেশান্তরে।"

widowhood of Behulā, and her determination to carry her husband's dead-body through the waters of the Gangura. She would go all alone in order to propitiate the goddess by fast and vigil, so that her husband might be restored to life. Writes Chandravatī,

"Banct sang of Behulā becoming a widow. Kenā Rāma's eyes overflowed with tears; but when my father described her sufferings on the rafter that carried her over the waters, Kenā Rāma threw his sword away and cried aloud."

The next stage in the coversion of this robber may be easily conceived. He offered all his wealth to the poet, and wanted to learn the songs, for the robber was gifted with a soul-stirring charming voice. Banei rejected the offer of money for it was stained by blood; but feeling that Kenā Rāma was truly repentant, took care of him and admitted him as one of his party. So fully was Kenā Rāma trained in the songs of Manasā Devi that from shortly after this time he earned a pittance himself for his master's family by his songs while Banei retired. Writes Chandrā "So were the songs of Manasā Devi popularised in the country. Even stone melted and tears flowed on all sides when Kenā Rāma

<sup>&#</sup>x27; "বিজ্ঞবংশী পীত গায় বেকলা হৈল রুঁাড়ী। কেলারামের চকুর জল পড়ে দরদরি ॥ যথন গাহিলা পিডা বেহুলা ভাগান। হাতের থাপ্তা ভূঞে খুইবা খাঁলে কেনারাম ॥"

sang. The very leaves of trees drooped low, as it were, in admiration. This the daughter of Bançi Dāsa sings in the Payār metre."

This was the poetic and spiritual environment in the midst of which Chandravati had her early training. She was a beautiful girl and the fame of her talents had Chandravati's disspread far and near. Even as a child "she lisped in numbers for the numbers came." Bançī Dāsa, her father, received many proposals for her marriage from suitable parties. But Chandravati had set her heart on a gifted young man named Jayachandra with whom she used to read in the village-Pāthcālā when very young. Jayachandra himself was endowed with poetic powers and they used to interchange verses of their own composition which showed their cleaverness in rhyming. In the Padmāpurāņa by Bançî Dāsa, we find verses written by both. Bançî Dāsa encouraged these romantic sentiments and Chandra and Jayachandra were betrothed.

But this is the curse on true love that it is scarcely requited. One of our poets has sung that if a true lover would have a return of love in this world, the happiness of it would be like the achievement of impossible things; it would be like the sandal tree bearing flowers or sugar-cane bearing fruits. Jaychandra proved fickle and untrustworthy. While still outwardly professing his feelings for Chandra, he had been paying attention to a Mahomedan girl, and the exotic

charm of this love proved so powerful that a few days before the day fixed for wedding, he turned a Mahomedan and married the Mahomedan girl. So were the prospects of wedded life and its happiness pictured by the lovely poetess blasted for ever. She took the vow of life-long maidenhood and her father erected a temple of Civa on the banks of the Fulegwarf, in which Chandra spent most of her time engaged in devotional services to the great God. Here also did she begin to write the Ramayana which gained an extraordinary popularity within a short time. Her own sorrows, disappointed feelings and her resigned devotion became a living fountain of pathos in the description of her Sītā, and people read this Rāmāyana with tears in their eyes.

Years of austere hardship, of unflinching devotion to the great God, nuited her mind to some extent, but a change which was sadder still, came over the spirit of her unfortunate career. Jayachandra became repentant and wrote her a letter begging pardon and requesting an interview. She shewed the letter to her father who advised her to give a polite reply but refusing permission to see her. She accordingly wrote him a letter in which she could ill disguise her long pent-up feelings but it was written with a great control over her mind and was full of sound spiritual advice. Jayachandra maddened by

remorse and ardent desire to see Chandra came to the village Patwari—the scene of his child-hood and of his romantic love, and though permission was not granted, came to the temple of Çiva where Chandra was. He, however, found it shut from within and the frenzied lover dared not ask her to open it for him. The beautiful mālatī flowers—the sandhyā mālatī that bloomed in the evening grew abundantly in the courtyard of the temple. With the purple juice of the flower Jayachandra wrote some verses on the temple door and then turned to the river Fuleçwarī where he drowned himself in disappointment. The temple stands there up to now.

After this catastrophe Chandra had not the heart to compose any poetry. So her Rāmāyaṇa remains unfinished. She had brought it down to the episode of Sītā's exile and there it ends. A short time after Chandra herself passed away from this earth. No disease troubled her except that of the mind; she was in the temple absorbed in the contemplation of Çiva, her last refuge in distress, when suddenly did her breath stop and people knew not if it was a trance or death till all signs of life gradually faded away. Thus the tender-hearted lovely poetess fell a victim to the infallible arrows of the god with a flowery bow.

In the Ramayana of Chandravati, a new character, that of Kukua, has been introduced.

She is a daughter of Kaikeyl and it is said she received her training from that Her Ramayana. wilv and wicked maid-servant Manthara who was the fountain-head of all mischief and whose sinister purpose had brought ruin upon Ayodhyā. Kukuā is represented as a second lago. We shall here quote a passage from the episode of Sītā's exile. Rāma had just heard the scandal that was then the topic of the Ayodhyā people. How could be accept Sitā as his queen, after she had been taken by force and made to stay at the harem of Lanka for days and Rāma was sad at heart at the report months of this scandal and meditated what he should do to keep the pure fame of the throne of Ayodhya from stain, convinced though he was of the perfect innocence of his queen. Meantime the following incident took place in the apartment of the queen herself.1

<sup>া</sup> শন্তন-মন্দিরে একা গো দীতা ঠাকুরানী।
দোনার পালছ'পরে পো ফুলের বিছানী।
চারিদিকে পোচে তার গো ফগজি করল।
ফুরর্ণ ভূজার তরা গো সমযুর ফল ॥
নানা ফাতি ফল তথা গো ফুগজে বাসিয়া
বাহা চার চারা বের গো সথীরা ফানিরা।
খন খন হাট উঠে গো নরন চঞল।
আন খবস অফ গো মুখে উঠে ফল ॥
উপক্থা সীভাবে গুলার আলাপনী।
কেন কালে আস্লো তথার গো কুকুরা ননদিনী॥
কুকুরা বলিছে সো ববু বোর বাকা ধর।
কিরণে বঞ্জিনা ভূমি গো রাবণের খর॥
জ

"On a golden couch upon which was spread a bed of flowers was Sītā in her private chamber. The sweet-scented lotuses smiled on all sides and golden cups shone with water of the Sarayū. On the plates lay a variety of fruits and the maids were in attendance there to serve the least wishes of their deer queen. A story-teller

দেখি নাই বাক্ষ গো গুনিতে কাঁপে ছিয়া। দশ মণ্ড রাবণ বাছা গো দেখাও আঁকিয়া॥ মজিছ তাহইলা সীতাবাবণ নাম গুনি। কেহ বা বাভাগ দেয় গোকেহ মথে পানি ৷ স্থীগণ কুকুষাৰে কবিল বাৰণ। সমুচিত কথা এমি বল কি কাৰণ। বাজাব আদেশ নাই বলিতে কুকণা। ভবে কেন ঠাকুবাণা গে: মনে দিলে বাথা।। প্রবোধ না মানে গো কুকুয়া ননদিনী। বার বাব সীতাবে বলয়ে সেই বাণী॥ সীতা বলে আমি তাবে গো না দেখি কখন। কিরপে আঁকিব গো পাপিষ্ঠ বাবণ ॥ যত কৰি ব্যান মীতা গো কুকুয়া না ছাডে। হাসি মথে সীভাবে ওধায় বাবে বাবে ॥ বিষ লভাব বিষ ফল বিষ গাছের গোটা। অন্তবে বিষেব হাসি গো বাধাইল লেঠা n সীতা বলে দেখিয়াছি গো ছায়াৰ আকাৰে। जिल्ला वश्रम जुड़े नास यात स्थादन ॥ সাগৰ জলেতে পড়ে গো ৰাক্ষসের চায়া। দশ মুণ্ড কুড়ি হাত বাক্ষসেব কায়া n বসি ছিল কুকুরা গো ওইন পালক্ষেতে। আবাৰ সীতাৰে কয় গো বাবণে আঁকিতে॥ এড়াতে না পাৰে দীতা গো পাখাৰ উপৰ : আঁকিলেন দশ মুগু গো বাছা লক্ষেব। শ্রমেতে কাতৰ দীতা গো নিদ্রার চলিল ৷ কুকুরা ভালেব পাখা ব্বে ভুলে দিল ॥

—a maid who had a rare gift in that respect—was near her, amusing her by reciting a romantic fable, when Kukuā, the queen's sister-in-law, paid her a visit. Kukuā said:

"'Listen to me, queen, will you tell me how you spent your time in Rāvana's house? We never saw the Rākṣasa chief. We have heard it said he had ten heads and twenty arms. Will you draw a picture of the king of Lankā and satisfy my curiosity?'

"SItā was quite unnerved at this request. The maids fanned her and sprinkled cool water on her face, and said to Kukuā. 'Do not, oh noble lady, make this improper request; it is the king's order upon us to see that nothing of a painful nature is spoken to her, specially as she is enciente.'

"But the sister-in-law was persistent and would not be dissuaded, and Sītā said: 'I never had a clear sight of him. How can I draw a picture?' But though the queen tried to avoid, Kukuā still persisted in her request, and with a smiling face again and again made entreaties. Sītā said: 'I saw only the shadow of the king of Lańkā on the sea when he carried me over it. There I remember to have seen his 20 arms and 10 heads.' Kukuā now laid hersalf on the couch beside Sītā, and again and again requested her to draw a sketch of Rāvaņa as she had seen him. So the queen could not avoid her and drew a picture of Rāvaņa on the fan. After

kukuā placed the fan with Rāvaṇa's portrait painted therein on the breast of Sītā. Then she went to the king and said: 'Dear cousin, you love Sītā better than your own self. Just come and behold your loyal queen. She cannot forget Rāvaṇa. She has drawn his portrait on her fan and placed it on her breast and shut her eyes to contemplate him more vividly in her mind.' Just then the spy Durmukha had brought a report of the scandal, and the king's mind was in an excited condition. Led by Kukuā he entered the chamber of the queen and found that actually Rāvaṇa's picture drawn by her lay touching her bosom as she gently slept."

Shakespeare conceived the character of Tago in 1614 A.D. and Chandravatī of Kukuā in 1575. Iagos there are in all societies and I do not mean to say that Kukuā, though she approaches the English poet's character in some respect, was a perfect knave like the one who caused Othello's ruin. The passage that I have quoted is a typical one. It only proves the existence of a popular notion in India that there should be some little flaw—the basis upon which the devil could work. Desdemona's persistent entreaties in behalf of Cassio, though her husband showed a growing violence of temper, were exaggerated by the immortal bard of Avon only for the sake of arousing Othello's jealousy to the highest pitch. According to the Indian opinion of later times

a fault, though it may not be a true one, is often introduced in an ideal character for defending the justice of divine dispensation, such attempt in many cases being extremely puerile. the same spirit which led to the creating of the fable as to how the woes of Crivatsa and Chinta were brought about. Sita must do something, however innocent and pure she might be, which would give some real cause of doubt. She must be made to draw a picture of Ravana on the fan and it must be placed on her bosom in order to give her husband a cause of jealousy. I shall presently show that the spirit of stories like these was imbibed from the Jain sources and the Jains had no conception of the ideal virtues of the king Rāma. These stories undoubtedly lower the great king of the Sanskrit epic, who sacrificed his whole happiness, knowing SItā to be perfectly blameless, for the sake of giving satisfaction to his people; and this he could do by no other means. The morbid idea of chastity prevalent in the age attributes to Sitā a false innocence that she never saw Ravana. This takes away the force of the high character of Sita described by Valmiki, reducing the great heroine to a moral sickling, afraid of encountering the sight of a man as if it were contagious.

Thirdly, there is evidently that spirit of exaggeration which we find so much at work in the supplementary chapters added to Vālmīki's original. All evil must proceed from Kaikeyī, her

own evil character is not enough; vices should produce a brood and be exaggerated. It was not considered enough merely to follow the footsteps of Vālmīki, the later poets imagined situations far beyond what had been created by the Epic-master with a view to excel him on his own lines. It is this spirit which made them send Sitā and Laksmana to exile in the Uttara Kānda, a story latterly engrafted on the original epic. Because Rāma had given up his kingdom once to prove that he was a loyal son, he should be made capable of undergoing similar sacrifices to prove that he was an ideal monarch, true to his people and to the Brahmanic traditions. The spirit of Valmiki was exaggerated throughout the Uttara Kānda, and Kukua was a fresh growth, though a morbid one, yet in concord with the above The jealousy, attributed to Rāma, does not find a place in the Sanskrit Uttara Kānda. When the ceremony of the horse-sacrifice, that Rāma performed after Sītā's exile, required him to sit near the sacificial altar with his queen, people believed that he would marry again. For, in the royal race of the Iksākus almost all the kings had each a number of wives. But Rama placed a golden image of Sītā beside him to fulfil the condition of the religious rite to the letter, a silent but unmistakable vindication faith in Sītā's innocence though he was forced to send her to exile as he could not prove his own conviction to others. This is not at all like the

divorce of Josephine, whom Napolean knew to be pure, brought about by a weak political motive leading him to marry again. But here the husband and wife were both loval to each other and the sorrows of separation were borne by each to the same extent. The pathos of this situation has suffered by the depicting of Rama as a jealous husband in the Bengali works. I have said that the passage quoted is a typical one showing the trend of Bengali thought. It is not meant to illustrate the poetical merits of Chandravatt. Her style is simple and unassuming, and her poems full of the beauties of the rural life. She had a ready command over pathetic expressions and her verses bring forth tears from readers, specially when she describes the lots of suffering women as in the cases of Sita and Behula.

I write at some length of this poetess, because she is unknown to the educated Bengalis, and as no mention of her has been made either in my History of Bengali Language and Literature or in the Banga-Vāṣā-O-Sāhitya. She was unknown to me when I compiled the Typical Selections in 1914. So I could not give any extracts from her poems in that book. Her poems are as abundant as are the field-flowers in the meadows of the Mymensingh District. Besides many poems meant to be sung on bridal occasions, she is the writer of several stray poems on Manasā Devi,—the ballad of Kenārām the robber,—Kāzir Bichāra (the judgment of Kāzi),—Bādsār Çāsana

(the rule of the Mahomedan Emperor).-the Dewan Badha (the murder of the minister) and other noems. Her Rāmāyana is certainly the largest of all her poems. It is a pity that no attempt has yet been made to recover these excellent poems which still enjoy an extensive popularity among the rural folk of Eastern Bengal. Chandra's Ramayana is a work free from Sanskritic dependence and is full of homely beauty. written in an unadorned and flowing style which marks it out as an achievement of unique merit. This remains unpublished up to now. I beg to recommend that the Sahitya Parisat or some other body interested in the cause of the vernacular literature should at once undertake to publish Chandravati's poems. Her biographer Babu Clandrakumar De writes of this Rāmāyaṇa, "On the day of the Sun-worship, the women-folk of Eastern Mymensingh sing the Ramayana by Chandravatī from sun-rise to sun-set ".1

We have observed that the jealousy of Rāma

The influence of the Jaina Ramayana on Bengali pooms.

aroused by Sītā's drawing a picture of Rāvana, as told in popular legends of Bengal, is a deviation from Vālmīki's epic.

Not only Chandravati, but many other Bengali writers have described this episode. Krittivāsa made Sītā draw the sketch on the floor of her room upon which she slept. In that poem she drew the picture, not induced by Kukuā, but

<sup>1</sup> The Saurava, No. V, Fulgun 1320 B. S. P. 150

by some other female associates of her, who persuaded her to do so not out of any sinister motive, but from a mere curiosity. From the manner in which this legend has been described, it appears that this or a similar story was already current in Bengal, and the poets drew from a common source. This has not at all elevated the character of Rama or of Sita, but has rather demeaned both, by making the hero, whose sincere love for his consort unblemished by distrust forms one of the great features of his manly character in the Sanskrit epic, yield to petty freaks of jealousy, worthy of a brothel, and by taking away all grandeur and grace from the character of Sītā, who is reduced to a weakling afraid of uttering Rāvaņa's name and yet foolishly led by persuasion to draw a picture of him.

We shall presently see that these stories were not invented by the Bengali poets but were incorporated in their works simply because these had already such a widespread celebrity in the country that it would have been a positive omission in the eyes of the people if the vernacular poets had left them out from their accounts of the Rāmāyanic legend.

We have noticed in a previous lecture that in the Jain Rāmāyaņa by Hemchandra Āchārya Rāvaṇa and the monkeys are the most prominent figures. It is with their account that the poems open. The account of Rākṣasa

and ape-dynasties alone occupy 140 pages and up to Rāvana-Badha there are only 300 pages in all. The latter 160 pages are occupied with the story of Rama interspersed with that of the monkeys and Rāksasas, somewhat on the lines of Valmiki: for in the 12th century when Hemchandra Āchāryya wrote the Rāmāyana, Vālmīki's epic was known throughout the Indian Peninsula. In the short account given of Rāma by the Jain poet we are struck with the poet's elevated notion of Ravana and the monkeys on the one hand and with his poor conception of Rama and of his brothers on the other, quite unlike the cherished traditions of the people, now prevalent in this country and derived chiefly from Valmiki's epic. This suggests, as we have already stated, that in the south Ravana's character had aroused popular admiration and not of Rama-that the former was the main hero there and the latter's story was probably an engraftment and added supplement in which the introduction of Rama in a half-hearted and apologetic tone only showed that the poet was not at all inspired by him.

Now to return to the subject of Rāma's jealousy. We find it first in the Jain Rāmāyana. It is well known that Bengal was at one time a great centre of Jain activities. Twenty-three out of the twenty-four Tirthankaras of the Jains had attained Siddhi in Sametçekhara—the Paresh nath Hills, in the District of Hazaribagh in

Bengal. And some of the Tirthankaras such as Sriranganath, and Vasupujya were born in Bengal. The greatest of the Tirthankaras Mahāvīra spent a number of years preaching his faith in the Rāda Desha (Western Bengal).

That Jainism once held its sway in this country has been proved by the statues of the Tirthankaras recently discovered in Bikrampur and other parts of Bengal. The legend of the Rāmāvaņa as described by the Jain poets must have at one time been current in this province. Hence it is that some of the additions which we find in the Bengali Rămāyanas contain matter popularised here by the Jainas. These have become inseparable from the popular conception of the Ramayanic legend. But it will be seen that while incorporating some materials of the Jain version, the Bengali poets were not wholly swayed by them but exercised a certain discretion which saved the great heroes from being absolutely degenerated as we find in the Jain story.

In the Jain Rāmāyana we read of a love—between Lakṣmana and princess Vanamālā—a daughter of king Mahīdhara by his queen Indrānī. Mahīdhara was the king of Bijayapur. This love-affair is said to have occurred during the time of exile. Naturally the strictly ascetic character of Lakṣmana has suffered in this episode. But there are yet greater surprises in store. Returning to Ayodhyā from exile

Laksmana takes eight wives and Rāma three in addition, of course, to Sītā. "Not only these," writes the Jain poet, "but there were 1600 ladies in the harem." This seems to be as it were out of vengeance for the austere lives led in the Dandaka forests. Laksmana's eight queens were Viçalya, Rupavati, Vanamala, Kalyānamālikā, Ratnamālikā, Gītapadma, Bhayavatī and Manoramā. Rāma's four queens were Sītā, Prabhāvatī, Ratinibhā and Crīdāmā. last-named three queens conspired against SItā out of jealousy for Rāma's greater attention to her and persuaded her to draw a picture of Rāvaņa in this way: "Draw a sketch of Rāvana and show us what sort of appearance he had." Sītā said, "I did not see his whole body, I only saw his feet; how can I draw his picture?" "Draw his feet only, our curiosity will be satisfied," they said. Upon which Sītā out of the innate sincerity of her nature painted the feet of Rāvaņa. Rāma came there and they told him in private, "Your dear Sītā's mind is still fixed on the feet of Ravana. Just look at the picture of Ravana's feet drawn by Sita's own hand; oh king, know that Sītā still worships him as the lord of her heart." The co-wives then circulated scandal about Sitā by means of their maidservants and this reached Rama's ears and poisoned his mind.

We have stated that in the celebrated Sanskrit epic Rāma had a golden statue of Sītā

made and sat by its side to perform the horsesacrifice ceremony proving to his subjects the great confidence and faith which he had in his wife, though he had sent her away to exile. About Rāma, Kaikeyī had once said "Rāma does not look at the face of any other woman besides his wife'." This Rama in the Jain version is victimised by the intrigue of a number of queens! The Bengali poets could not bear the idea of Rāma's having any other queen than SItā though they inserted the story of Rama's suspicion in their poems, as it was no doubt attached to the popular legend of their times. Krittivāsa says that it was Rāma's brothers' wives who had in a careless moment heedlessly requested Sitā to draw a sketch of Ravana and (handravatī introduces the character, altogether new to us, of Kukuā said to be a daughter of Kaikeyi, who trained in villainy by the wicked Manthara, plays the part of Iago in creating jealousy in Rama. But these poets could by no means bear the idea of Rama being represented as a demoralised oriental monarch led to eternal follies by the intrigue of sixteen hundred mistresses of the harem.

Krittivāsa shows the high character of Rāma, and his intense devotion to Sītā in the following incident. This relates to the occurrences after Rāvaņa's fall in the battle and Bibhīṣaṇa's installation on the throne of Lankā.

<sup>1</sup> Bāmāyana, Ayodhyā Ch. 72 verse 40.

"The monkeys stood around and the King Bibhīṣaṇa with joint hands approached Rāma and addressed him thus:

'Oh lord, for long years hast thou suffered from fast, vigil and hardships. I wish that the beautiful damsels of Lanka be thy attendants and minister to your comfort. A thousand fair ones have I in my harem. They will bring sweet scented kasturi and Bhibhisana reproved. sandal perfumes and anoint your levely body, now covered with dust of the forest-path. These women will serve you and feel extremely happy if they are permitted to do so.' Rāma said in reply 'People know you, Oh lord of Raksasas, to be a virtuous soul; but in your heart of heart you seem to cherish a sexual lust. For myself I care not to look at the face of any other woman than Sita's. I not only avoid their touch but would not so much as have a sight of them. If you bring a million of fairies from heaven, my Sītā is by far fairer to me than all of them.

"I also beg to remind you that though born of royal blood, Bharata my younger brother is unhappy; he wears the rag of an ascetic and lives in great sorrow owing to my exile. It is for

the sake of my exiled life that he has courted all these austerities. When I shall once more embrace my dear brother, it will then be my time

to scent my body with sandal and other perfumes.'

I cannot say if according to the stern European point of view the above passage should not be condemned as wanting in decency; but if the rural poet has not followed a strictly puritan standard in the address of Bibhīsana to Rāma, there is certainly that elevated notion of sexual love apparent on the face of the passage.

I surmise that from sources like that of the Jain Rāmāyana some of the Bengali poets have introduced episodes describing Laksmana's lovethe love-making of Laksmana, making. a point for which there is apparently no clue in the Sanskrit epic. One such will be found in a work called Laksmaner Digvijaya by the poet Bhabanicharana, written early in the 18th century. Here Laksmana is represented as deputed by Rāma for conquering the three worlds, but happens to meet Chandrakalā, a daughter of Indra, bathing in a tank near a lonely hermitage. As he sees the damsel, he becomes at once enamoured of her and throwing his bow on the ground delivers a speech worthy of an eighteenth century dilettante, to his friends among whom the chief was the Ape-god Hanu-His effeminate prattles remind us of the Jain hero rather than the stoic personification of brotherly love that Laksmana is in the great Sanskrit epic. He tells Hanuman, as the hero of Bharat Chandra might do to the flower

woman Hirā "Adieu to fight for ever; return to Ayodhyā, my good friends. Here I shall take the ascetic's vow and henceforth wander in foreign lands—a disappointed man. Tender my respects to the feet of Rāma and Sītā, and tell them that Lakṣmaṇa has taken the vow of an ascetic. Hear me, Angada, and all ye that are present here, go to Kiskindhyā back and to your respective homes after interviewing Rāma," saying so he threw his bow on the ground.

And all this gibberish, simply because he saw the fair damsel Chandrakalā only once while she was bathing!

## BENGALI RAMAYANAS

### CHAPTER I

- (a) The early Rama legends, the materials of Valmiki's Epic.
- (b) The Daçaratha Jataka-its relation to the Ramayana.
- (c) The Sama, Vessantara and other Jatakas
- (d) Home and monastery.
- (c) The Southern Legend—the Jama Ramayana
- (f) The question of a foreign origin of the Rama legends.

# (a) The Early Rāma legends,—the materials of Vulmīki's Epic.

It will certainly be wrong to suppose that

the Bengali Rāmā.

The Bengali Rāmā.
yaṇas—not mere tranplations.

the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas are
mere translations of the great
Epic of Vālmīki. On the other
hand, we have, in these indigenous stories of

hand, we have, in these indigenous stories of Rāma, unmistakable evidence of the existence of traditions and ballads which may be traced to a period even earlier than that of Vālmīki. The great epic of Vālmīki, it must be admitted, embodies stories of great antiquity which were neither collected by the poet from the events of

contemporary history, nor were they in any considerable degree the invention of his own fancy. The epic poet in all ages and countries gives expression to the ideas which float in the air around him-transmitted to his nation often from immemorial times. The national ideal and civilization claim him as their most eloquent expenent. Stories of heroic deeds, of unspotted virtue and ideal manhood which from age to age inspire a race, are garnered up, so to speak, in the common store-house of the Epic poem. The old traditions and tales may get a new and up-to-date interpretation at the hands of the epic master, or otherwise undergo some change or modification in his poem as far as details are concerned, but it is the old story told againand there is no doubt of this-with greater eloquence, force and refinement than everbeing interpreted in the light of contemporary thought. The more the poet forgets himself and loses himself in the life of the nation, the wider will be the circle of his admirers and the more lasting his performance.

This which is true of all epic masters is pre-eminently applicable in the case of Vālmīki, one of the greatest of those who have told a connected story of human events in the language of a true poet and seer.

Before the time of Vālmīki there existed stories and ballads of Rāma, of the Ape-god Hanumāna and Rāvana and of other prominent

characters of the Rāmāyaṇa. These served as materials upon which Vālmīki.

Materials used by worked. While we shall always pay our tribute to him as one of the earliest and noblest of our poets, we cannot endorse the absurd orthodox notion that Sanskrit poetry, at least in the annatupa metre, did not exist before him. The existence of a great poem like that of Vālmīki carries in itself the unmistakable proof that Sanskrit poetry had made considerable progress before him, and that there had been a preparatory stage in our national literature to create a field for the advent of the

- In dealing with the materials upon which Vālmīki built his noble work, we light upon certain facts of literary and social history, which are to be found in the Pali and Prakrit literatures, and curiously, in however crude a form, even

Bengali Ramāyaņas contain legends older than Vālmiki's Epic

great Master.

in the old literature of Bengal. This is very interesting, for it shows that our literature

not only owns its inevitable kinship with Sanskrit and Prakrit literatures, but traces of the Dravidian and other non-Aryan civilizations which flourished in pre-historic times are also to be found in it. It does not matter that the poets who have given us relies of such early traditions lived only four or five centuries ago, when we find it proved that such stories and traditions were transmitted to them from a

hoary antiquity. The Bengali literature is thus found linked with the thoughts and ideas of a pre-Sanskritic period, and in some of its early phases may be traced to be an expression of human thought of a much earlier date than the 8th or 9th century A. D. from which its written specimens have come down to us.

Gentlemen, I shall in the course of my discourses tell you that it is very likely that versions of the story of Rama earlier than that of Valmīki still exist in the Indian literature. that one of such stories was expanded by Valmiki, for which he alone is not responsible. By the time when he wrote, there had grown a hundred ballads about Rāma not anticipated in the original story, and these minor streams latterly flowed into the great ocean of the Epic poem. We shall also see that traces of Rāmāvanic episodes prior to those of the period of Vālmīki are to be found in the Bengali Rāmāyanas. In dealing with this historical question we shall have to abandon some of our deep-rooted and orthodox sentiments; but these are inevitable in the field of historical research, and for all this, gentlemen, I should first of all crave your indulgence.

# (b) The Daçaratha Jālaka—its relation to the Rāmāyaṇa.

In many of the commentaries of the Sanskrit Rāmāyaņa, there seems to be a definite hint indicating the existence of Rāmāyaņic ballads earlier than the great Epic.1 The ourlier legends The commentator Ramananda refers to Agniveçya Rāmāyaņa and Vimalabodha Baudhāyana's Rāmāyana; these were probably versions of the story other than those of Vālmīki. Weber has proved that the original Rāmāvanic story is contained in the Buddhistic legend called the Dagaratha Jātaka." It is mentioned in the Chulla Vagga, Samanta Pasādikā, Mahāvainsa, Paramatthadipikā and other early sacred books of the Buddhists that the Jātaka stories which are 550 in number, were recited at the first council of the Buddhist monks in 543 B.C. These were again recited at The probable date

the next council of the Buddhists held at Vaisali in 443 B. C. They were recited

a third time at the third Buddhist council called by King Acoka at Pataliputra and were reduced to writing at the time of Devanam Piya Piyatissa of Ceylon (247-207 B.C.). Some scholars are inclined to deny altogether the sitting of the first Buddhist council in 543 B.C. But it is universally admitted that most of these Jātaka stories were not only current at the time of the Buddha who, according to the Buddhists, himself related them to his disciples, but were

<sup>1</sup> The Viçvakosha, Vol. XXVI, p 527, L III.V.

<sup>1</sup> The Indian Antiquary, 1872

transmitted from a much earlier period. The Daçaratha Jātaka written in Pali, in which Sitā is described as the uterine sister of Rāma and afterwards his bride, is one of those stories which possessing a naive and primitive simplicity, are to be traced to a period of remote antiquity. Prof. Rhys Davids says that the earliest Jātaka stories are those in which the Buddha is identified with some sages and teachers of olden times in his previous births, and "not with an animal." Prof. Bühler, a high authority on Indian History, says that these earlier Buddhist Jātakas " do not describe the condition of India in the third or fourth century B.C., but an older one." Another unmistakable evidence regarding the date of Daçaratha Jātaka is the one furnished by the bas-reliefs on the Bharut and Sanchi stupas (2nd century B.C.). Among the carvings on the railings round these stupas are several scenes of this Jātaka. This Jātaka gives us the story of Rāma as prevalent in the country in ancient times. The Buddha connects this story with one of his previous births as he does the rest of the Jatakas. What the date of the origin of the Daçaratha Jātaka is we cannot definitely ascertain, but from the manner in which the story is related, it is natural to surmise that the story was already an old one at the time of the Buddha.

<sup>1</sup> Buddhist India.

Oldenberg's table published in the Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. XVIII, 1897

The statement in the Jātaka that Rāma ruled his kingdom for 1,600 years proves that the story had already grown mythological in character in the 6th century B.C., when it was probably transmitted to the Buddhists. If not actually in the very form in which we find the Daçaratha Jātaka, the legend of Rāma was akin to the story of the Jātaka in its main features in a much earlier age. Now let us discuss the conclusions arrived at by some of the recent European writers as to the age of Vālmīki.

The latest scholar who speaks with authority on the date of Vālmīki's RāmāThe Dagaratha Jāta ka probably eather than the Epo vana is Dr. A. B. Keith. According to him the 4th century

B.C. is the probable date of composition of the Rāmāyaṇa.¹ He successfully refutes the arguments in favour of an earlier date which Jacobi assigns to the Epic on the strength of certain astronomical calculations. Weber places the poem in the 1st or 2nd century B.C.² So the evidence is clear that the Jātaka story is earlier of the two, though Dr. Keith is in favour of the theory that both the Jātaka story and the Epic used an older source.³ The crude early form of the Jātaka story is apparent and marked, and leaves but little doubt that it represents the earliest form

<sup>1</sup> Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, April, 1915.

<sup>\*</sup> Weber's History of Sanskrit Literature, translated by J. Mann, p. 194 (1882)

Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, April, 1914, p. 319.

in which the tale of Rama originally existed among the people. Apart from the chronological data, the internal evidence of the epic will substantiate the truth of the statement that the Jātaka story is much earlier. Had Vālmīki's great Epic been composed before the time of Dagaratha Jātaka, the author of the latter could not but have introduced into it some of the great embellishments of the Epic master's story. The Jaina Rāmāyaga by Hemchandra Āchārva composed in the 12th century A.D. could not help doing so. In fact if such a wonderful epic as the Ramayana had existed, the Jataka story could not have been so simple, so colourless and unassuming and devoid of some of the greatest names of the Epic as those of Kaikevi and Kauçalya, Ravana, Hanumana, Sugriva, etc. And the fact is striking that Vālmīki's Rāmāvana contains some verses which are mere Sanskrit translations of the Pāli verses of the Dacaratha Jātaka, m case it is proved that the latter is earlier than the Epic. By comparing the Epic with the legend of the Buddhists one cannot but be struck by the improvement made on the crude story by the touch of one of the greatest of world's master minds. For the sake of comparison I shall first of all reproduce the Buddhistic story, a little abridged from its original. The theory is untenable that the Daçaratha Jātaka was an attempt on the part of the Buddhists to treat the Brahminic legend with contempt.

Such a theory is absurd on the face of it, as a perusal of the former will clearly show that in it a high regard for Rāma forms one of its chief features, the Buddha himself announcing that in a previous birth he was born as Rāma and his father Çuddhodana as Daçaratha. I have prepared the following translation of the Daçaratha Jātaka with the help of my friend Samana Punnananda, Lecturer of Pali in our University.

#### DAQARATHA JĀTAKA

There was a King of Benares named Daçaratha. He had 16,000 queens of The story of the whom there was one who was the chief. She had two sons Rāma and Laksumana-kumāra and a daughter named Sītā. The chief queen died in course of time, whereupon Dagaratha the King chose one of his remaining wives as the chief queen. The latter became very dear to him. She gave birth to a son named Bharata-kumāra. The king offered a boon to the queen out of his love for her. But she said that she would want the boon on some future occasion. So she waited till Bharata, her son, became six years old. Then she sought the boon from the king her husband. She wanted the kingdom for her son. The Raja was very angry and said that it was very wicked of her to ask such a boon, when he had two grown-up sons born of his first wife. The queen

was frightened and retired to her own private chamber. But on another occasion the queen sought the same boon from the king, whereupon he thought within himself that the wicked queen being in power, might do harm to the princes. He, accordingly, advised his two sons to leave the capital and seek help of some other powerful chief, or dwell in the forest till smoke would issue from his funeral pyre (i.e., till his death). Then they should return and take the kingdom by force. He consulted the astrologers as to how long he would live. They said that the span of his life extended to 12 years more. He now directed his two sons to return to the capital after 12 years and seize the royal umbrella. They complied with the wishes of their royal father and left the palace weeping Sita also accompanied her brothers. A vast assembly of people followed them with tears in their eyes, but the princes by sweet words persuaded them to return to the capital. Continuing their journey till they reached the Himālayas, they selected a spot where fruits and nourishing vegetableroots were found in plenty and made an açrama there, and lived like hermits. Here Laksmana and Sitā addressed Rāma and said, "You are the eldest of us and we honour you as father. Stay in the açrama and we will gather roots and fruits for you." So Rāma used to stay in the acrama, and his brother and sister went to the forest every day in quest of food. After 9 years

Daçaratha, unable to support any longer the grief of parting with his children, breathed his last. The queen now made preparations for the installation of Bharata on the throne of Benares. The ministers objected saying that the royal umbrella belonged to the elder brothers. Bharata himself said that what the ministers had spoken was fair and just, and that he should himself go to bring his brothers back from the forest. So Bharata, the young prince, marched with his army and with the people of his city to find out his halfbrothers. He encamped near Rāma's agranus and approached him with tears in his eyes. He fell at the feet of Rama and wept as he communicated the news of the father's death. Rama did not lose control over himself, nor did he betray any emotion. In the evening Laksmana and Sita returned with fruits and Rama Pandit thought that if he would give out the news of his father's death at that moment, the effect of grief might well nigh prove crushing. So assuming an air of anger, he said, "Why have you been so late to-day? As punishment for this, go and bathe in yonder stream." When they enjoyed the cool bath, he informed them of the death of Dacaratha. They bitterly wept at the news. But Rama Pandit did not show any sign of grief. Bharata asked Rāma as to how the latter could control his passions.

Here Rāma gave him a philosophical discourse on the fleeting nature of things in a few

couplet of verses. One of them runs as follows:

## "फलानां इव पकानं निश्चं पपतान भगं एवं जात नं सञ्चानं निश्चं सरवतीभगं।"

His advice and philosophy removed their grief.

Bharata entreated Rāma Pandit to come to Benares and accept the kingdom; whereupon Rāma said, "Go with Lakṣmaṇa and Sītā and rule the kingdom." Being asked why he should not himself go, he replied, "My father ordered me to return to the capital after 12 years. That time is not yet over. I cannot violate his order." Bharata asked who was to reign in Benares for the intervening time. Rāma Pandit thereupon advised him to return to the capital with his straw-slippers which, he said, might be placed on the throne, and the brothers might rule the kingdom as regents.

So they returned, and the ministers used to dispense justice and decide cases in the presence of the throne on which Rāma's slippers were placed. If their judgment was wrong, the slippers would of themselves move and strike each other; if right they would remain fixed in their position.

¹ Cf. The Ramayana, Ayodhyakanda, Verse XVII. " स्वा प्रशानां प्रकारों नाव्य पतनाहर्व । एवं नरका जातक नाव्य सरवाहर्व ॥"

After three years Rāma came to Benares, and as soon as the news reached them, Bharata, Laksmana and Sītā with all the citizens of Benares went out and met him in a garden on the outskirts of the city. Here Rāma was duly installed as king, and Sītā was made his chief queen. They then entered the city being carried in a richly decorated chariot with great pomp. Rāma was an ideal monarch and ruled the kingdom justly for 1,600 years.

# "दस वद्या सङ्ग्रानि मिं वन्म सतानि व कम्बृनिव मङावाङ्ग रामो राज्यं सकारयि ॥"

In his previous birth Çuddhodana was Daçaratha, Mahāmāyā was the mother of liāma, Sītā was Rahula's mother, Bharata was Ānanda and Lakṣmaṇ Sariputta. The people devoted to Rāma were those who have followed me in this life and I was Rāma."<sup>2</sup>

There is no mention here of Rāma's going to the Deccan, of the abduction of Sitā by Rāvaņa or of the great fight between Rāma and the King of Laṅkā. In this story we learn that Rāma was a popular prince who went to the forest with his brother and sister not accatdnt

¹ Cf. i he Rāmāyaņa, Lafikā Kāṇda, Verse 104 : ''दझ वर्ष सङ्खानि दक्षवर्षेत्रतानि च । वाद्यक्षि: सहित: त्रीमान् रामी राज्यसकारयन् ॥''

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Jötöka, edited by V. Fausboll, Vol. IV, Ekadash Nipataka, pp. 128-130.

by any lofty ideal, as we find in the Epic, but by what may be called the instinct of self-preservation. The only occasion on which he showed a spirit of renunciation was when he declined to return to the kingdom and ascend the throne for three years after his father's death. This he did in conformity with the letter rather than the spirit of his father's words. His attitude of composure at the news of Daçaratha's death and the philosophical discourse delivered to his brothers on the occasion are further points showing him as endowed with great wisdom. Sitā is described as sister of Rāma and Lakṣmana. The fact of her marriage with Rāma in the concluding portion of the story certainly

Marrying one's own sister—an old custom with the Egyptians, the Qakyas and other races

sounds strange. But students of ancient history know very well that this was the practice of Egyptians and Babylonians. This was also the special

feature of the Çākyas amongst the royal dynasties of India. The Çākya kings preferred to marry their own sisters. They were very particular about preserving the purity of royal blood and would not allow foreign blood to be mixed with it. In fact, it is said of one of their earliest kings that he referred this point to the councillors of his court and asked if for preserving the sacredness and purity of royal blood, marriage between brother and sister could be allowed. The councillors said "Sakkate"—

i.e., it was allowable, and from this word of the councillors the dynasty came to be known as Çākya. It was at first a nick-name, much as the Hīnāyaṇa is, given to the dynasty by the other races of Indian kings who looked upon this practice with abhorrence.

This story thus relates the legend that was prevalent in Northern India about Rama at a very early period of history. Dagaratha was the King of Benares and Rāma spent his life of exile in the Himālayas, so it is a purely Northern legend. How the story of a Dravidian king abducting a princess and that of a fierce war fought mainly between the two non-Aryan tribes - the Raksasas and monkeys--was engrafted on the simple story of the Jātaka is not yet clearly known, but may possibly be traced by future research. We know, however, for certain that in the Buddhistic world, in the centuries when Ajātaçatru, Chandra Gupta and Açoka were the monarchs of Northern India. this simple legend was believed throughout the length and breadth of the favoured seat of the Indian Arvans.

#### (c) The Sama, Vessantara and other Jatakas.

It will appear from a critical study of the birth-stories that they represent the earliest versions of the mass of legends current in the Pre-Buddhistic India. We find these stories,

one and all, associated with the Buddha and the fact is significant inasmuch as it shows that the Buddhists aimed at popularising their Maste and enhancing the value of these highly instructive but fragmentary tales in the eyes of the people. Just in the same manner the Hindu revivalists introduced their gods and goddesses into the different Purāṇas. The Paurāṇika legends, again, present many notable aspects of similarity with the Buddhist birthstories, a fact which speaks much in favour of the theory that the Buddhists and Renaissance scholars used a common stock of Indo-Aryan legends suiting their own individual purposes and religious opinions.

I have already given reasons in support of my belief that the Daçaratha Jātaka forms the ground-work of Vālmīki's epic. But this is not all: strewn over various stories of the Jataka literature are to be found materials with which the details of the Rāmāyana seem to have been worked out. The name of the Sami Jātaka deserves special mention in this connec tion. Here we have the story of a blind coupl whose only son, Sama by name, while engage in filling a water-jar from the Migasammat river was shot by the poisoned arrow o Piliyakkha, the King of Benares, who had take him for a strange animal. So close a paralle this Jataka offers to the story of the blind sage found in the Ramayana, that in many respect

the one seems to be but a reproduction of the other. Sama was the only prop of the blind couple, so was the son of the blind sage as described in the Ramayana; the former belonged to a non-Brahmin caste and so did the latter. Both of them were shot under the same circumstances by kings labouring under the same wrong impression, and the parents of both

were ascetics. It was the King of Benares who first appeared before the parents to tell the disastrous tale in the same fashion as did the King of Ayodhya in the Rāmāyaṇa. The expressions of grief and lamentation found in the Rāmāyaṇic episode and the Jātaka are in many places exactly similar to each other. Thus laments the father of the wounded Sāma:

"Who now will sweep the floor for us. Or bring us water, hot or cold? Who fetch us forest-roots and fruits, As we sit helpless, blind, and old?"

Cowell's Jataka, Book XAII, p. 50.

Vālmīki has the following parallel couplet for the blind sage, the Andha-muni:

### "कन्द्रमूलफर्स चला को मां प्रियमिवातिचिन्। भोवयिचलक्षेत्रसम्प्रममायकम्॥"

Ayodhyākānda, 64th Canto, çloka 34.

In the Vessantara Jātaka, again, we meet with a situation, which at once reminds us of the scene as depicted in the Rāmāyaṇa where Sītā, on the eve of Rāma's banishment, uses a tender and pathetic language to persuade her husband to accept her as a The Vessantara companion of his exiled life. Vessantara in the Jātaka gives a realistic picture of the dangers and inconveniences of forest-life before his wife Maddi essentially in the same strain as does Rāma before Sītā. Maddi declares

"Kindle a blazing fiery flame
The fiercest that can be,
There I would rather die the death
Than live apart."

Cowell's Jataka, Book XXII, p. 257.

just as Sītā says

# "यदि मां दु:खितामेवं वनं नेतृं न चेच्छिसि विषमम्बं जलं वाद्रमास्त्रास्त्रे सत्त्रकारकात्।"

.lyodhyākānda, 30th Canto, cloka 21.

Vessantara, before leaving his father's kingdom, distributes charity in the same manner as does Rāma, which is another interesting point of similarity.

There is a remarkable concord of sentiments between the lamentations of Phusati, the mother of Vessantara, and those of Kausalyā and Bharata, after Rāma's banishment. For Kausalyā's lamentations I refer my readers to the Ayodhyākaṇda of the Rāmāyaṇa (61st Canto, glokas 3-10) and quote the following groups of verses from the Vessantara Jātaka and Bharata's speech, which will at once show the close affinity between the two. Says Phusati:—

" Bright yellow robes, Gandhara make, once round about him shone,

Or glowing scarlet as he went, as he went, today he goes alone.

Once hosts of men escorted him with goodly banners flower

Like forests full of flowering trees, today he goes alone. With chariot, litter, elephant he went in former days, Today the prince Vessantara afoot must tramp the ways He once by sandal scent perfumed, awaked by dance and song.

How wear rough skins, how are and pot and pingo bear along,

How can a banisht prince put on the robe of bark to wear

To dress in bark and grass how will the princess Maddi bear?

Maddi, who once Benares cloth and linen used to wear And fine kodumbara, how bark and grasses will she bear?

The finest slippers she could wear would hurt her feet of late

To-day how can the lovely one afoot now go her gait?

How can the timid beauteous one now walk the forest glade?"

Thus runs Bharata's speech:-

"महाराजकुलीनेन महाभागेन धीमता। जातो दशरधेनोळ्यां न रामः खमुमहित॥ प्रजिनोत्तरसं खोणं वराखरणसभ्ये। प्रयिखा पुरुषधान्नः कयं ग्रेते महीतले॥ प्रासादायिकानेषु वलभीषु च सर्व्यदा। है मरजतभीमेषु वराखरणशालिषु॥ पुष्पस्थयचित्रेषु चन्द्रनागुरुगन्धिषु। पाष्डुराश्वप्रकाग्रेषु ग्रुक्तमङ्ग्वतेषु च॥ प्रासादवरवर्षेषु गीतवत्म, सुगन्धिसु। एतिका मेर्कलेषु क्रतकाखनभित्तिषु॥ गीतवादित्रनिर्वाषवेराभरणनिःखनैः। सदङ्गवरशस्य सततं प्रतिवीधितः॥ वन्दिभवेन्दितः काले वहुभिः स्तमागर्षः। गाथाभिरनुद्रपाभिः सुतिभिष्य परम्तपः॥

The persuasive entreaties of Maddi, the princess, for being permitted to accompany her royal husband in his exile will at once remind the reader of the Rāmāyaṇa of the memorable speech of Sītā on a similar occasion. I make a short extract from the Jātaka story.

"It is not meet and right, my king, that thou alone shouldst fare;

Whatever journey thou shalt go, I also will be there.

Give me the choice to die with thee or live from
thee apart,

Death is my choice, unless I can live with thee where thou art.

The wood-land glades, the roaring beasts and every wished-for thing

When you behold, you will forget that ever

you were king.

The deer that come eventide, the varied flowers

that spring,

The dancing frogs, you will forget that ever

you were king.

When you shall hear the rivers roar

the fairy creatures sing,

Believe me you will clean forget that

ever you were king.

When in the winter you behold the trees all flowering The bembajal, kutaja and lotus scattering abroad

their odours

You'll forget that ever you were king.

We have to mention another birth-story, named Sambula Jātaka, where a goblin proposes love to Sambula, the chaste wife of Prince Sotthisena of Kasi. Thwarted by the determined attitude of Sambula, the angry goblin threatens to cut her to pieces, which, he says, will serve as his breakfast. Does not the story remind the reader of Vālmīki, of the unsuccessful attempt, made by Rāvaṇa to seduce Sītā during her unfortunate stay in the Açoka-grove? Vexed by her persistent refusals the powerful king made the same monstrous proposal.

There is no use of multiplying instances of similarity. It is necessary that the respective

claims of the Rāmāyaṇa and the Jātakas to priority of date should be impartially considered and an unbiased judgment passed after a critical balancing of evidences. Now, if it is urged that the Jātakas were put into their present form after the composition of Vālmikī's epic, how are we to explain the object involved in such an attempt? What earthly benefit could be derived from such a grotesque effort at dismantling a monumental

The priority of the Jätaka stories

building—at breaking a connected account such as the

epic presents into a thousand pieces and in taxing imagination for inventing new names for the various characters in these numerous fragments of stories? Would it not, on the other hand, be more rational to conclude that these Jatakas constituted the original materials out of which the poet built up his immortal epic, which was a complete performance, with the details supplied by this legendary lore merged in the vastness of the epic-master's infinite scheme? It may be also true that the Jātaka writers and Valmiki were equally indebted to a common stock of legends. In that case I am firmly convinced from the crude and primitive nature of the Jataka-narratives, that they were composed at an earlier date than Vālmīki's Rāmāyana.

That the Brahminic Renaissance tried to establish the priority of Vālmīki's epic over earlier Rāma legends by creating monstrous

fables is evidenced by the existence of a declaration widely known in this country that Vālmīki had composed the Rāmāyaṇa 60,000 years before Rāma was born. There can be no rational explanation for the origin of this fable unless we admit it to be a device for silencing those amongst whom a knowledge of earlier Rāmalegends such as the Daçaratha Jātaka still lingered.

#### (d) Nome and monastery.

Buddhism differred from Brahminism in one essential point. Mercy for the lower animals is not to be met with in the Buddhistic religious books alone. The rituals and sacrifices vary among the different sects of a common religion. In these points Buddhism does not show any marked difference from the established religion of the Rishis. The Buddhist theology has been so completely traced to the philosophical school of Kapila that many scholars believe the former to have evolved out of the latter. But there is a difference. Buddhism and Jainism have an originality which is undeniable. This lay in organising the Monkish orders. The Rishis of the Upanisadas recognised the training of the Garhasthya ācrama as essentially necessary for completing the spiritual life. Spiritual culture in India could not be complete without one's passing through the training of the domestic life.

Buddhism started the theory that salvation was attainable by adopting the vow of celibacy. It is true that stray cases of celibacy are to be found in pre-Buddhistic period, but the first organisation of celibate life in monasteries was an achievement of the Buddhists. This was latterly adopted by Hinduism and Christianity. But when sons of noble families first turned monks and sought salvation or Nirvana in the wilderness and in monasteries, a wide-spread alarm was caused in society, and the revival of Hinduism, which was almost co-eval with the rise of Buddhism. counted it as its foremost object that the domestic ties should be vindicated. So it greatest possible stress on domestic virtues by declaring that a son could attain salvation if he only proved absolutely obedient to his father; that for a brother salvation lay in following his elder brother to exile or even to death. The wife supremely devoted to her husband gained the highest goal. Even the servant of a family would rank as the greatest personage if he carried out the orders of his master with implicit submission. This is the gospel which the Rāmāyaņa has striven Home is the altar, the battle-field where the highest laurels are to be won. One need not look for salvation elsewhere. the paradise of all virtues. If one goes to the forest here, it is not in the spirit of a solitary, half-starved Bhikau or the monk, who is always contemplating as to how to sever his ties with the

rest of the world; the pilgrim of domestic paradise journeys by sea and land, but on his brow shines the mark of love for his family. Indeed he owes his fealty to none other. The prince here too, like the Buddha, leaves aside his royal robes and or rags, -not against wears bark the of his royal father-but to keep his sacred The Rishi prince stands in ascotic's pledge. robe with the royal umbrella unfurled over the straw-slippers of his elder brother. He does not do so contemplating the vanity of human wishes, but surrendering himself to the force of that love within himself which seeks not a visionary ideal but the feet of his elder brother. Fierce war is fought and the sea is bridged, not for the sake of keeping prestige of a princely family or for national honour, but for saving a virtuous wife whose love adorns the brow of her devoted husband as its crowning glory. The whole Rāmāvana breathes a high spirit of renunciation but it is quite different from that which inspires a Bhiksu or monk. The watch-word of the epic is domestic love and not struggle for suppression of desires.

Thus do we see how a simple legend, which narrated the story of a prince in trouble owing to court-intrigue, was elevated to the grandest epic of domestic life. It was the noble voice of the family-bond raised against the clamour of monasteries crying hoarse over

renunciation and severance of all earthly ties. The Rāmāyaṇa is the great teacher of Hindu life, holding the banner of reaction against Buddhist asceticism. As a further step gained, the domestic virtues preached in it have been spiritualised in the later forms of Vaiṣṇavism where the home ties stand only as the symbol of those in which man is bound with his God.

#### (d) The Southern legend—the Jaina Rāmāyaṇa.

You are perhaps wondering, gentlemen, that I have hitherto made but scanty references to the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas which is the subject chosen for my lectures. I shall first of all take into account the whole cycle of Rāmāyaṇic legends in order to show their bearing on the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas. My introductory chapters will deal with materials other than those found in the Vernacular literature of Bengal, as the proof of the antiquity of some of the stories and traditions embodied in the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas lies in the literatures of the Buddhists and of the Jainas. For the purpose of sifting these evidences it is necessary to explore the latter, so far as they give us the legends of the Rāmāyaṇa.

We have seen that the early Northern legends about Rāma in the Buddhistic sacred books—which represented the learning and culture of the Aryans living in Upper India in the age of Buddhist supremacy—had nothing to do with

the story of Rāvaṇa or of the abduction of Sītā or of the great war. There is no mention there of the non-Aryan allies of Rāma described as apes.

We shall presently see that there is a Southern version of Rāma's story which though comparatively modern gives us a historical clue to the traditions that were extant in the Decean in the olden times. The great Jaina scholar and saint Hemchandra Ācharya Hemchandra was born in Ahmedabad in 1089 A.D. He was a contemporary and friend of Kumar Pala Deva who was initiated into the Jaina faith by him. Hemchandra Āchārya died in 1172 at the age of 83. He is the author of many works well known to scholars. But I shall here only refer to his Rāmāyaṇa which gives the Jaina version of Rāma's legend.

At the time when this Jaina Rāmāyaṇa was written, Vālmīki's Epic was known and read all over India. So it is but natural that the story as given in the latter is found incorporated in the Jaina work. But the striking feature of this book is the elaborate description to be found in it of the dynasties of Rākṣasas and apes. The story of Rāma is rather short. The descriptions of the Rākṣasas and monkeys occupy a very considerable space, and many legends and stories about them are found in it which are not mentioned in the great epic. This shows that in the Dravidian traditions the Rākṣasas

and monkeys had a far greater hold on popular fancy than the story of Rāma itself. The character of Rāvaṇa as given in this book rises to

The elevated notion about the Rakşasas and monkeys in the Southern legend

heights not scaled by the Rāvana of our national magnum opus. The 'tapasyā' or the austerities passed through by

the three brothers Rāvaṇa, Kumbhakarṇa and Vibhīṣaṇa for the attainment of their ambitious objects, as described in this book, invest them with a spiritual grandeur which is striking; specially those undergone by Rāvaṇa, show his high character and a majestic command over passions, worthy of a sage, which unmistakably prove him to be the real hero of the Dravidian legend.

When with the object of recovering Lankā from the hands of the Yakṣas, Rāvaṇa and his two brothers betook themselves to the forest for tapasyā at their mother's command, some of the Yakṣas transformed themselves into beautiful damsels, who by their lovely ways tried to win them from their avowed course of austerities. The damsels smiled and appealed to the brothers in the most fascinating words of love, but they did not swerve in the least degree from their

Ravana's character depicted as noble and grand.

great spiritual vows. "Without change, the more confirmed in their attitude of calm, they

spoke not any word." Failing in their purpose

<sup>े</sup> निकिसारान् किराकारां नुषिकान्, etc. The Jaina Ramayapa by Hemchandra Āchārya.

the Yaksas turned into lions, jackals, snakes, bullocks and cats and surrounded the brothers. They all set up a loud uproar and tried to frighten the ascetics, but to no purpose. Then the illusion of their father Ratnasravā, mother Kaikasā and sister Chandranakhā appeared before them. They piteously appealed to them for help saying that they were horribly oppressed by the beasts, and requested the Raksasa chiefs to come to their rescue. But these illusions also The lions and could not move the brothers. other beasts next tore their parents and sister before the very eyes of the yogis. Though it was a heart-rending sight, they were not moved, but sat steeped in their vow of yoga-concentration. Next, all on a sudden, fell before Kumbhakarna the heads of Rāvana and Vibhīşana, severed from their trunks by some unknown hands. Kumbhakarna felt a sudden thrill but gradually controlled himself, and Vibhīşaņa also did so when he was confronted similarly with the heads of Ravana and Kumbhakarna mysteriously thrown before him. But Ravana proved the most heroically calm of the brothers when he faced similar apparitions. "He, the knower of supreme bliss, did not care about the danger, but remained absorbed in his high contemplation, immovable like the prince of mountains." The Yakşas and

<sup>े</sup> रावच: परमाधेश:समनर्धनियमधन् निविटो ध्वाननिष्ठोऽभृत् विरीकः इव निवनः''

the kinnaras—the powers appointed by the Yaksa Prince---fled. Then the virtues-the Vidyāsappeared before Rāvaņa and yielded to the great Rāksasa chief: - prajnā, esoteric knowledge, anima and laghima-powers by which the body can be expanded to enormity of shape and reduced to the smallest size so as to be unseen by others: aksovya, unconquerable will, manastammanakārinā, the power to cast spell on others, nabhasanchāriņī, the power to travel in the air, dinaratribidhāyinī, the power by which day and night can be produced at one's will, adarshini, the power to hide oneself from others' view, analastamblini, power of making oneself fire-proof, togastambhini, of making oneself water-proof, abalokini, power to see through all things, bhujangini, the power to live upon air, mochani. power to extricate oneself from all kinds of bonds and fetters, baçakarini, the power to charm, and bhitipradarcini, the power to frighten. Through प्रास्त्रतककेषा, the virtues acquired in a previous life, Ravana attained the above powers by his tapa in a short time. Next we find Kumbhakarna and Vibhtsana also acquiring some powers which are mentioned in detail in the book.

It is true that the Tantrik influence, which was prevalent in the 11th and 12th centuries, contributed some points towards the development of ideas indicated in the above description. But the surmise is natural inspite of it, that Rāvaņa's

character had the greatest hold on popular fancy in Southern India, and this led the writers of different epochs to ascribe to him fresh laurels and new glories from age to age in conformity with progressive culture.

Another significant point at which I have already hinted is that the Jaina Ramayana begins with the description of the Rākṣasas and monkeys and introduces Rama only in the later chapters. This is quite unlike what we find in the great epic. The Rāmāyaņa, as a matter of course, should give the story of Rama first. The supposition naturally grows strong that in Southern India the story of Ravana and of the monkeys had been widely known, and the Northern legend was introduced, later on, as a supplementary story. In the Jaina work the quarrel between Ravana and the Yaksas is based on a more rational basis than what we find in the Uttarākānda. It is mentioned in the former that the quarrel between Indra and Ravana originated in the circumstance that Kuvera, an ally of the gods, was molested by Rāvaṇa. The quarrel between Rāvaņa and Vālī broke out on certain questions of possessions. In fact what we find in the Uttarakanda of the great epic is told in the Jaina Ramayana with a far greater human interest and a less excess of imaginativeness and supernaturalism.

That Rāvaṇa, the King of Lankā, was a noted personage in the early traditions of the Deccan

appears also from the fact that the Sanskrit work entitled Lankāvatāra Lankävatära Sūtra<sup>1</sup> composed in the 2nd Sütra. or 3rd century A.D. is entirely devoted to a description of the discourse the Rāksasa chief is said to have held with the Buddha. Lankāvatāra Sūtra mentions the grandeur of Lankā as the capital of the Rākṣasas and alludes to the unmatched learning of Rāvaṇa and of the wisdom and erudition of his councillors Cuka and Sāraņa. The discourse of Rāvaņa with the Buddha shows the former's wonderful grasp of theological problems. The celebrated chariot by which he travelled in the airthe Puspaka-is also mentioned in this work. The book, however, further takes us by surprise by the statement that the Rākṣasa chief belonged to the Mahāyāna school of the Buddhists. There is no mention in this work of Rāvaṇa's encounter with Rāma or any of his heroic exploits. It lays stress on his superb learning and shows him as an earnest spiritual inquirer. The existence of the Lankavatara Sütra is another strong point in our argument proving the tradition that the Rāksasa chief had already been a conspicuous figure, in the popular belief of

¹ The Lankavatāra Sūtra, a complete MS, of which is in the Library of the Sanskrit College, Calcutta, has not yet been published as a whole. The first two parts of the book were edited by Mahamahopadhyay Dr. S. C. Vidyabhusan and Baba Sarat Ch. Das, C.I.E., and published by the Buddhist Text Book Society in 1900.

Southern India, before he achieved notoriety in the account of the Rāmāyaņa. The Buddhists have not only claimed him as their own but the fact of his having held such a long and solid religious discourse with the Buddha has given him an undoubted position of honour in the

The Ravana legend without any connection with Rama, and the evidence of Dharmakirtii

estimation of the former's followers, particularly of the Mahāyānists. It may not unlikely be the very reason for the growth of the Brahminical

tradition narrated in the epic of Ravana's being hostile to all religions. We have already observed that in the earliest version of Rama's story current in Northern India, there is no mention of Rāma's encounter with Rāvana and in the Southern legend evidence of which we find in a late historical epoch, Rāvaņa's character appears in all the glory of a real and genuine hero, and Rama's story is introduced in a half-hearted apologetic way. We shall later on show that the conception of Rama's character as depicted in the Southern legend is poor and undignified. Rāma is a far less heroic character in these legends. The Lankavatara Sutra shows Ravana as shining in all the glory of a high spiritual life and there is no trace in that work of the foul deeds ascribed to him by Brahminic writers.

Dharmakirtti, who flourished in the 6th century A.D., is indignant against Brahminic

writers for staining the fair name of Rāvaṇa, the ideal Buddhist king, in their Rāmāyaṇa, by attributing to him deeds which are not true. Rāvaṇa appears in the colophons of some very old verses and aphorisms current amongst our people as a saint—this is in conformity with the wisdom attributed to the Rākṣasa chief in the Dravidian Legends; at any rate it is sure that the Bengal country-folk did not draw them from the Rāmāyanic sources.

Though sufficient historical materials have not been found to enable us to establish it with absolute certainty, yet what has been observed, is, I believe, enough for the purpose of starting the theory that originally the legend of Rāvaṇa and of the monkeys was extant in the Deccan, and in the time of Vālmīki or a little earlier, the story of Rāma—the Northern legend—was connected with it, and in the great epic-Master's work the two stories were so perfectly blended that they made a wonderful homogeneous whole.

The tale of Rāma's marrying his own sister, though consistent with the practice of the royal dynasty of the Çākyas, was a shocking feature to the Hindus. Whether the practice was derived from a whim of the early Çākya kings or from still earlier Egyptian and Iranian influences, this

The patch-work about Sita's birth and ancestry.

incident had to be brushed aside from the story before it could form the subject of the national

epic of the Hindus. Every one will admit that

the patch-work in respect of this point in the Rāma-legend is evident in the Rāmāyaṇa. Sītā's birth and ancestry remain a mystery and are sought to be solved by supernatural causes. In various works describing the legend of Rāma many are the explanations given, reminding us of the original weak point in the story, and the one given by the author of the Adbhuta Rāmā-yaṇa surpasses others in the flight of its morbid fancy. It is stated that Sītā was a daughter of Mandodarī, the queen of Rāvana, who conceived her having drunk the blood of the Riṣis killed by Rāvaṇa.

The Ayodyākāṇḍa of Vālmīki's Rāmāyaṇa, from which the genuine epic begins, gives a simple story; it is full of unmatched pathos, of great renunciation and of ideal virtues which have an edifying influence on the soul. The supernatural element is scarcely to be met with in this canto which shines as the purest gem set in the diadem of the great Epic. But from the Araṇya Kānda to Lankā, the chapters manifest wild imaginativeness. The races brought to our notice and attention are ultra-human; the monkeys are demi-gods; the Rākṣasas are the exaggerated sketches of human beings. The wonderful power of Vālmīki has certainly invested them with human interest,

In the Uttara Purana of the Jainas written by Gunbhadracharya in the Eighth Century A.D., it is stated that Sita was a daughter of Ravana.

but it cannot but strike the reader that the great

The simple nature of the epic up to the Ayodhva and Dravidian imaginativeness in the later cautos

poet had shaped the materials he had got from other sources than the Aryan. The non-Aryan—the Dravidian wild

imagination had given original form to the Ravana-story, which owns a kinship with the Celtic legends, offering a striking contrast to the simple nature of the Northern legend. It cannot be said that the non-Aryan tribes first appeared from where the Ayodyakanda ends, and hence the latter cantos show this marked difference in the style of their description. The Guhaka Chandala was certainly a non-Aryan chief but he is described as an ordinary human being in the Ayodhyākāṇḍa. It is just from the point where Rāma comes to the wilderness of the Decean that wild fancies become the characteristic of the epic. There is a clear line of demarcation between the trends of two opposite influences working in the Rāmāyana, one indicated in the Dagaratha Jātaka and the other in the works of the Jaina poet and scholar Hemchandra Achārya, based no doubt on the original ballads of Ravana and of the monkeys prevalent in southern India.

Let me briefly recapitulate here what I have attempted to prove above. In the first place there was an early Northern legend about Rāma, probably much earlier than Vālmīki's epic, in which Rāma's movement during his exile is described as being

confined to Northern India, -to the Himalayas.

Two distinct legends combined into one story.

This legend, though the language in which it is couched in the Buddhist version may be

contested as not so old, certainly belonged to the pre-Buddhistic times. In this legend which relates the complete story of Rāma, there is no mention of Rāvaṇa, of the abduction of Sītā or of the monkey allies of Rāma and his war with the Rākṣasas. We also find in some other Jātakas, the legends and tales described by Vālmīki lying in a more or less crude form, and our conclusion is that Vālmiki used these materials and improved on and developed them in his great epic. If however, it is proved that the Jātakas and the epic drew from a common source of materials, my contention will be that the Jātaka legends were earlier of the two.

I have also shewn that there were Buddhist and Jaina works in the South giving the legend of Rāvaṇa, independent of any touch with Rāma. Rāvaṇa's character is depicted in the Lankāvatāra Sūtra of the 2nd or 3rd century A.D. and in the works of Dharma Kīrtti who flourished in the 6th century A.D. as a disciple of the Buddha and an ornament of the Mahāyāna school of Buddhism. The Lankāvatāra Sūtra shows him to be a great character and a spiritual enquirer and with nothing to stain his fair name, and Dharma Kīrtti indignantly says that the Brahminical account villifying him in

the Rāmāyaņa is not at all entitled to credence. In the Jaina Rāmāyaṇa by Hemchandra Āchārya there is enough of evidence found detailed by me, to suggest that the story of Rāma in the Southern works was a later engraftment on the Dravidian legends about Rākṣasas and monkeys.

Thus do we come in possession of two distinct legends prevalent respectively in the North and South, riz., one in which Rāma's whole career is told without any reference to his now-believed connection with the Raksasas and monkeys, and the other in which Ravana figures, independent of all touch with Rama. I have therefore come to the conclusion that the Northern Aryan legend and the Southern Dravidian legend were at a very remote period of history, much earlier than the 4th century B.C., when Valmiki is said to have composed his epic, mixed up by the balladmongers, who invented the story of the abduction of Sitā or somehow linked the broken chain of a story of some princess who was abducted, fancying her to be Sitā, and thus bridged the gulf between the two legends now presented as a homogeneous story, and Vālmīki came latterly as the most eloquent exponent of this mixed story. Evidences that I have adduced to prove this point, I believe, are enough to start a new theory like this, but further evidences, I think, should be brought forward to substantiate it and to establish it on a more solid basis. Dravidian and Canarese sources should be explored for this purpose, and I have reasons for believing that much light will be thrown on the subject by studying these two sources.

I have stated my own impressions, but as my scope is mainly limited to Bengali Rāmāyaṇas, requiring me only to refer to the Rāmāyanic cycle of legends as the background from which the characteristic features and the poetry of Bengali works must be shewn. I hope some competent scholar will take this interesting subject in hand and judge of it by further scrutiny and investigations.

# (e) The question of a foreign origin of the Rāma-legends.

We need not dwell at any length on the question of a foreign origin of the Rāmāyanic legend. Prof. Weber's theory that the epic of Vālmīki indicated an acquaintance with the conception of the Trojan cycle of legend was successfully met by Kaçinath Trambak Telang in his paper "Was the Rāmāyaṇa copied from Homer?" published in 1873, and we need not open the question again. The scholars of Egyptian history may find some similarity between Rāma and Rameses II who ascended the throne of Egypt in 1292 B.C. Rameses II was a son of Seti. Brestead, the historian of Egypt, refers to "evidences of a bitter conflict of the two princes (Rameses II and his elder

brother) involving of course the harem and the officials and a whole romance of court intrigue" by which the claims of the elder brother were brushed aside after he had been duly installed. Rameses II is said to have shown a striking feat of arms by commanding an army when only 10 years old and we know that Rama in his 15th year killed the Rāksasas headed by Tārakā. Rameses II was one of the greatest Kings of Egypt and was deified by his people. He was worshipped in many of the Egyptian temples. We need not also seek a historical clue to the Ramayana in the History of the Kings of Mitanni where a king named Dacaratta ruled about the year 1400 B.C. The similarity seems to be one merely of name.

Sir William Jones asserted that the Greek God Dionysus and Rāma are one and the same person. Dionysus is said to have conquered India and other countries with an army of Satyrs commanded by no less a person than Pan. The race of Indian monkeys are denominated Indian Satyrs and the similarity is further illustrated by the fact that Dionysus is described as giving laws to men and improving navigation and commerce. Sir William Jones seems to be of opinion that the Dionysiaks, the poem in which the deeds of the Greek god are described, have some points in common with the

Rāmāyaṇa.¹ The fancies of some of the European writers on this point verge on the ridiculous. M. Sonneral for instance starts a theory that Rāma was the same person as the Buddha, and no less astounding is the theory of Weber who considers Rāma "as originally identical with Balarāma 'Halabhṛt'."

Sir William Jons's 'The gods of Greece, Italy and India, pp. 27-29 (1884)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Weber's History of Sanskrit Literature translated into English by J. Mann, M.A. (1882)

#### CHAPTER II

- (a) The Ape-God Hanumana.
- (b) The supplementary cantos of the Ramayana

#### (a) The Ape-God Hanumana.

We are going to discuss in this paper, the relationship which Hanumana, the Ape-god bears to the original story of Rama. We have seen that in the northern legend there is no mention of the monkey-allies of Rama. We have also made a reference to the fact that in the Jaina Rāmāyanā by Hemchandra the monkevs as well as the Rākṣasas occupy a far more prominent place than Rama and his people; that the book commences with a description of the Rāksasas and monkeys and devotes a very considerable space to an account of their genealogies and deeds. I have also suggested a theory that the Dravidian traditions at the outset probably related entirely to the story of the Rākṣasas and monkeys, and Rāma's story was mixed with theirs at a subsequent period, though that period itself is one of a remote antiquity. The monkeys in the Jaina Ramayana were originally the allies of the Raksasas.

The apes were worshipped in many parts of the world in ancient times. The Apo-worship prevalent in many countries in ancient "The Babylonians and Egyptimes tians are said to have held them sacred; amongst various heathen nations these animals are viewed with peculiar interest but nowhere more so than in In Japan. Japan where they are actually worshipped and there is a temple dedicated entirely to ape-worship. In the middle stands the statue of an ape erected on a pedestal which rests upon an altar large enough not only to contain both but likewise the oblations of the devotees together with the brass vessel on which a bouze priest beats on a drum in order by this solemn sound to stir up the devotion of the

Central America, Mr. Stephens, says of sculptured skulls found in Copan, "We supposed the sculptured skulls to be intended for the heads of monkeys that were worshipped as deities by the people who built Copan" 2

people and remind them of their religious duty."

Not only in the Old but in the New World also

apes were regarded as sacred. The historian of

In India the Ape-worship belongs to a period of remote antiquity. The Tibetans believe that they are descended from apes. The reason why

The Faiths of the World by the Rev. James Gardiner, M.A., Division I, p. 139.

<sup>\*</sup> Central America by Stephens, Vol. I, pp. 135-136.

shes are held sacred in India, particularly in shrines and holy places, like Brindāvana, should not necessarily be sought in the fact that they were the allies of Rāma, though latterly such an explanation was offered. This regard for the nearest kindred of man in the animal-world seems, however, to be a relic of that almost universal worship of apes in the pre-historic period to which the historians have referred.

Hanumana in popular estimation is regarded as a great devotee, a champion of faith and a staunch follower of Rama. In the poem of Valmiki, however, throughout the five genuine cantos, we nowhere find a justification for such a view of his character. He appears there as a faithful servant, a learned counsellor,

In the Epic of VAlmiki Hannmans does not figure as a devotee. but nowhere as a devotee or worshipper. In the Sundarakānda, failing in his search for

Sita, he addresses an adulatory hymn to Rāma, but that is a very short one, and he addresses a similar hymn also to Sugriva, his king. We find many points in his character in the original poem of Vālmīki that lead us to admit his superior sense of duty, his foresight, discretion and valour. But the character of a bhakta, that is given him by the populace and by the later poems, is a subsequent development which owes its origin to the emotional creed of the Vaisnavas of a later school.

Hanumāna's character is certainly a noble one in the epic. But nobler far the Epic nobler than that of the Ape and Laksmana as described by

Vālmīki. In the Rāmāyaņic group Rāma is worshipped in the temples of the Vaiṣnavas with his brothers and wife. We do not find his image worshipped anywhere without that of Sītā, whereas more often it is worshipped with those of his brothers, queen, allies and servants. In old paintings an elaborate group of the latter are frequently presented. But there are many temples at Ajodhyā, Calicut and

But he is worshipped in preference to others.

other places in India entirely dedicated to the worship of

If regard for him had rested Hanumāna. alone on the fact that he is one of the most illustrious characters of the Rāmāyana how is it that special honour is shown to him, in preference to nobler personages of the Epic? Even Rāma's figure, as I have said, is nowhere worshipped alone but conjointly with Sita. whereas no temple is found dedicated to Laksmana, Bharata, Kaucalyā or Dacaratha—the other great characters of the Rāmāyana. Why is Hanumāna singled out for this special honour? There are thousands of worshippers of the Ape-god and hundreds of temples raised in his honour, but Laksmana and Bharata have no worshippers, nor any temples dedicated to them. If the reason of the worship of Hanumana had to be sought for in the epic of Vālmikī alone, this would be

The Ape-worship is a relic of a universal custom of ancient times

quite an inexplicable circumstance. This special regard paid to Hanumāna is, however, a relic

of a universally prevalent Ape-worship, and as such it is a common feature in almost all the existing religions of India. As Hanumāna is linked with Rāma-worship, so is he with most of the other cults of the Hindu mythology. He is the great Ape-god of the pre-historic period for whom supreme veneration pervades all our religious literature. Every sect, every cult of India, has tried to make him its own. The Vaiṣnavas have owned him now as the Çivaites

Every Induan religious sect has claimed him as its own.

did of yore. Each of the Çākta cults and even the latter forms of Buddhism have laid claim

to him. The Jataka stories relate that the Buddha himself had been an ape in one previous births. Thus, through all known ages and in different forms of religious belief the Ape-god has enjoyed an honoured position in India. This only proves that the Hanumana-cult is one of the oldest forms of Indian worship. The glowing accounts Vālmīki have made Hanumāna now an inseparable factor of the Rama-story, but that does not obliterate the fact that the literatures of other Indian cults had likewise attempted to establish their connection with him. In Bengali literature abundant proofs of this exist in the

writings of the different religious sects. Many Bengali poets have written poems entitled 'Çiva-Ramer Yuddha' or war between Rama and Çiva, the most conspicuous being written by Krittivasa and Kavichandra in the 16th Hanumāna as a Qivarte century A.D. The book gives an account of Laksmana's going to the forest for plucking fruits and gathering vegetable-roots from the garden of Civa. Hanumana, described as the gate-keeper of Çiva, challenged Laksmana, and a battle ensued in which neither got the better of the other. Anxious at the delay of his brother, Rāma started in quest of him and arrived at that garden. Civa himself came to the field and fought a battle with Rama. The result of the fight was a compromise between the great God and Rāma, in which the services of Hanumana were lent to Rama by Çiva. And from this time Hanumana ceased to have any connection with his old master and was recognised as a worshipper of Rāma. Rāmamohana, a native of the village of Metari in Nadia, wrote his celebrated Rāmāyana in 1838. In this book he devotes long chapters to the praise of Hanumana and calls him "Rudra Avatāra"—an incarnation of Çiva. In many of the other vernacular Rāmāyanas we find confirmation of the belief that Civa was incarnated as Hanumana. whatever sources, Sanskrit, Prakrita or Dravidian, the belief may have come down to us, the story related in the 'Çiva Rāmer Yuddha' and the

assertion made in other works that Hanumana originally belonged to Civa-in fact an incarnation of Civa-show with absolute certainty that Hanumana was at one time claimed by the Civaites as their own. And so we shall see that the Buddhists who latterly became known as worshippers of Dhamma, also claimed him. This is also proved from the Bengali literature. The Cunyapurana, published by the Sahitya-parisat of Calcutta, is believed to have been written in the 10th century by one Ramāi Pundit. This work, which propounds the Buddhist cult and its rituals, mentions Hanumana as the gate-keeper Buddha-temples in places. When Madanā, Queen of Rājā Harischandra, went to worship Dhamma (Buddha), she saw the southern gate of the temple guarded by Hanumāna

"সঙ্গে আট সত্র গতি মদনা জুবতী দক্ষিণ তুআরে উপনীত। পুন বীর হুমুমান ঘুরাঅ কপাটখান তুআর মুক্ত করিব ভুরিত॥" १४०: Синул Ригали, р. 30.

On page 32 of the same work we find again the three gates of a Buddhist temple guarded by the moon-god, the sun-god and Hanumāna, respectively. The Ape-god is posted on the south:

পশ্চিমে কোটাল চন্দ্র দক্ষিনেতে হমুমন্ত পূব্ব দিকে ফুচ্চ অধিকার P. 36 He is not only a gate keeper of the Buddha, but his services are requisitioned whenever some engineering work has to be done. On p. 82 the Buddha offers him betels as a reward for building a golden landing-ghat.

"দক্ষিন জুআরে হমুমন্ত পহরিক হঁকার পড়িল। আস বাছা হমুমন্ত পহরি বাটায় ভাস্থল খাব স্থনার রঞ্জিত ঘাট নিম্মান করিআ বিন। তথন হমুমন্ত পহরি পরভুর আজ্ঞা পাইল। স্থনার রঞ্জিত ঘাট নিরমান করিল।"

We sometimes see the Ape-god in the act of worshipping the Buddha in the spirit of a true devotee, as on p. 89.

" আখণ্ড তুলসি লই আসি হনু দিলেন ধন্মপদ তলে।"

On p. 95 again we find Hanumana as a minister of the Buddha in a golden monastery in the further east of Ceylon.

"পুব দিক মাঝে কনক লক্ষাপার। কনক মণ্ডপ পরভুর কনক বেহার॥ ডাইনে ডম্বুর সাই বামে হসুমান। কর ক্ষোড় করিয়া ডুই পাত্র বুঝান॥"

On the same page occurs another reference to Mahavira Hanu as the Gate-keeper:—

"ভূপতি করিল পূজা বুলাইল নীর। কপাট এড়িরা দেহ হন্দু মহাবীর॥

Thus it is clearly proved that the Buddhists recognised the Ape-god and gave him an honoured

seat in the pantheon of their deities. Each of the Çākta-cults has laid its claim to the prehistoric Ape-god, whom we now believe to be inseparable from the Rāmāyaṇa. In the Chandi

Kavyas the familiar figure of Hanumāna appears whenever a tempest is to be raised on the sea. Like the Druids and the gods of Gaul he could hurl tempests over the deep. Most of you will recollect the long chapter in the Chandi Kāvya devoted to a description as to how the ships of Dhanapati Sadāgara were thrown into the depths of the sea by Hanumāna at the command of the goddess Chandi. Kavikankana's account of the storm is often quoted as one of unique grandeur and I need not follow at any further length the familiar passage beginning with "দেবীৰ আজ্ঞায় হতুমান ধায়," etc.

This will no doubt refresh your memory about the tragic situation brought on the merchant-prince by the Ape-god. The Manasār-Bhāsāna has the same hold upon him, and the illustrious Chānd Sadāgara is made a victim and finds his ships wrecked by the infuriated snake-goddess with the help of the mighty Ape-god. In the 'Satya-Pirer Katha' by Phakirram Kavibhushana, who flourished in the 16th century, we find Hanumāna performing the same function that he discharges in the literatures of Manasā and Chandi-cults. In the Rāmāyana by Ramamohana, to which reference has already been made, it is stated that Hanumāna brought an image of the

goddess Chandi from the nether-world and established it at Ksiragrāma. The image of Chandi there is called Yugadyā and worshipped up to now An attempt is made to connect the Ape-god with the heroes of the Mahabharata also. The Kapidhwaja flag which bore the picture of Hanumana seems to indicate a phase of this ancient worship, and Arjuna, it is stated, (Bengali Encyclopædia Visvakosha, Part II, p. 466) won such a signal success in war by a long course of religious austerities undergone for obtaining the favour of Hanumana. the Bengali Rămăvana he figures as a Brahmin astrologer who went into the inner court of Rayan's palace in quest of an arrow by which Rāyana was to be killed. This capacity as an astrologer and a Brihmin miv have been attributed to him in conformity with the old belief that he was the

As a musician and astrologer.

founder of a school of astrology.
'Hanumana Charit' is a well-

known work on astrology frequently referred to by the rural folk of Bengal. The great Ape is also known to be the founder of a school of Indian music. I give below a quotation from the Sanskrit work Tantrasāra in which the successes attained by worshipping Hanumāna are mentioned by Çiva to Pārvati.

" तृतु देवि प्रवच्छामि सावधानमवधारय । इतुमत् साधनं पुन्धं महापातकनायनं ॥

# एतद्शुश्चतमं सोने ग्रीमं सिदिकरं परं। जयी यसा प्रसादेन सोनावयेऽजितो भेवत्। तत् साघनं विधि वद्या तथां सिदिकरं दुतं॥"

"Listen to me attentively, Oh Goddess, the secret of worshipping Hanumāna. This is a great virtue and destroys all sin. It is to be kept secret from men. One attains the highest success in the shortest time by this worship. One gets victory and even becomes unconquerable in the three worlds by obtaining favour of the Ape-god. I shall relate to you the rites of this worship which leads to the attainment of one's objects quickly."

In the Bengali Ramāyana by Raghunandana Goswami, a long chapter is devoted to discourses on spiritual subjects given by As a Vaisnava ento Hanumāna who Rāma aniror. figures there as an intelligent and learned enquirer. Hanumāna-worship is often carried to such an abnormal excess that it is customary in the dynasty of a certain local Indian prince to wear a tail on his accession to the throne, as a mark of respect for the Apegod. Murāri Gupta, the celebrated poet and Sanskrit scholar and a contemporary of Chaitanya. is believed to have been an incarnation of Hanumana, and we have it on the authority of the Bengali work, Vaisnava-Vandanā, written 350 years ago, that a Brahmin with all the members of his family actually saw that Murāri Gupta had a tail!

So we see that through every form of religious belief amongst the Hindus there runs a faith in the Ape-god, which though now made inseparable from the Rāmāyanic legend in popular opinion, seems to have been once the heritage of all sects and creeds of India. We have shown that in the Rāmāyana by Vālmīki, though Hanumāna's character is a noble one, there are still nobler characters there and unless we see the Ape-god through the vista of still obscurer and remoter periods of a pre-historic epoch, we cannot account for the general acceptance of him as a god by all the legendary cycles of this country. He is an astrologer, a scholar, a musician and a saviour

of men, besides a god of the Givaites, the Buddhists, the Çāktas and Vaisnavas. His character is, however, pre-eminently that of the god of Storm and Wind. It seems to us that in ancient times he was worshipped by merchants and his banner rose high on the sea-going vessels. A hundred legends have now gathered round him in each of which his character as a great sea-power is maintained. The Jaina Rāmāyaṇa has given a forecast of his birth

गणनं प्रवर्ष खाली वास्तरक विभावसुः। चादिन्ती वर्णनं शिवे अवनं तुङ्गमातितः॥ चन्द्रमा मचरि मध्ये अवने समबिखतः। मीहिताङी व्येमध्ये भीने विधीः सुतः। सुत्तीरं धिवचीनुवैरध्यस अवनं खितः। मीने खिती-दैन्द-यव सम्बद्धिय सनैवरः॥ मीन सप्तीदये बच्च वीने सुर्व्वनिदः युर्वे॥

and describes stories about the banishment of his mother Anjana for a moral flaw. If he had belonged to any special sect or to any special epoch of history, all the different creeds in India would not have owned him as their own. Literatures based on a non-Sanskritic basis, such as those belonging to Mangal Chandi and Manasa Devi, have even more strongly emphasised his significance in the spiritual world, than those which represent the Aryan culture. This indicates that some of the indigenous traditions of prehistoric India have survived in the vernacular literatures, and that they refer to that remote age when the Rāmāyaņa had not yet described the exploits of the Ape-god. He had already temples devoted to his worship in many places; and the Ramayana legends adopted him in the same way as other cults had attempted to do. The Rāmāyana's success eventually screened from our views the march of the great Ape through the boundless space of time. And in the perspective of the epic we find his movements restricted between Kiskindha and Ceylon. It is for this that the great Epic of Vālmīki cannot explain why he is worshipped by hundreds of devotees in preference to Bharata, Laksmana, Kauçalyā, Dacaratha and Vibhişana. Hanumāna is the common name for Apes, and his worship, we believe, is a relic of the old Ape-worship of nations.

### (b) The supplementary cantos of the Rāmāyaṇa.

The genuine epic of Valmiki, I have already indicated, begins with the Ajodhyā and ends with the Lanka Kanda. The rest was interpolated at a much later time. This view is now established, and on this point all oriental scholars seem to be unanimous. The original index of the Rāmāvana begins with the episode of King Daçaratha's preparations to install Rama and ends with his return to Ajodhyā after 14 years. From Ajodhyā to Lankā, cantos included in this index, the interest of the poem scarcely flags. It is evidently the work of one of the greatest narrators of human events—one whose lines breathe unmatched pathos in supremely felicitous expressions, creating a series of connected mental visions-and a panorama of magnificent scenes which carry us breathless, with hearts stirred up to the highest pitch of emo-The foot-prints of a giant are mistakable and cannot be confounded with the pigmy steps of later interpolators. The Adi Kanda and Uttara Kanda occasionally present passages of commendable beauty. but the patch-work is strikingly apparent. We miss the noble strain of the great Epic master at

The characteristic style of the Puragas in the first and the last cantos.

every step, and find in these two cantos the characteristic style of the later Puranas. The

Adi and Uttura were engratted on the Epic a.

few centurires after the original had been composed. If the striking contrast offered by the style of these supplementary chapters fails to convince a reader owing to his want of sufficient knowledge of Sanskrit poetry, there are certainly other evidences which clearly prove the situation. The original index of the Rāmāyana has already been referred to. It was now widely known to Indian readers. Any device or manipulation in it would be at once detected. So the interpolators could not change it. But the added chapters must be included in the index, and this was done by an uncalled for supplementary index and in a manner the apparent inconsistency of which will strike every reader. In order to introduce this supplementary chapter an explanation was found necessary. The interpolator relates that after the first index had been conceived, Vālmīki the poet took a bath in The two indexes the river Tamasā. This made his vision clearer and he saw other things by dint of his imagination which were not indicated in the original index. Thus a second index was added. Curiously this index repeats everything of the original one adding only the substance of the chapters that were added. The twin indexes now stand side by side in the poem, the latter one—an ill-shaped patch-work which any one will at once detect as such. In the preliminaries of the second index it is written, that after Nārada, the sage, had acquainted Vālmīki

with the substance of the Rāmāyaṇa, the poet was endowed with a glorious vision by which he beheld other incidents of Rāma's career than those indicated in the accounts of Nārada.

That the original poem ended with the canto, known as the Lankā Kānda, is evident from the concluding passages which state that after Rāma had been installed on the throne of Ajodhyā, "he

The concluding lines of the Lanks Kanda.

performed 10 horse-sacrifice ceremonies and that during his reign no woman suffered from

widowhood, the earth was free from reptiles and venomous snakes and there was no disease in it. There were no robbers during the time, and no one fell a victim to premature death. No one envied his fellowmen and the clouds poured rain making the earth fertile, and various kinds of flower and fruit trees grew in plenty. The subjects were supremely happy and Rāma, the good king, reigned for 10,000 years. This is the original poem—the Ādi Kāvya—which in olden times was composed by Vālmīki. One who hears it is saved from all sins."

We should lay a stress upon the word  $\bar{A}di$  (original) in the above. This seems to hint that the original poem by  $V\bar{a}lmiki$  ended here. After this there are other benedictory verses which are usual in respect of Indian sacred books, invoking blessings on the hearers at the conclusion, and indicating the scope of their

<sup>1</sup> The Ramayana, Lanks Kanda.

charitable duties to the Pandit who recited the poem before a large multitude. Such verses are generally written at the conclusion by the copyist of a book, or by the Brahmin who reads a poem from the pulpit and are called phalagruti. They clearly prove that the original Rāmāyaņa of Valmiki ended with the Lanka Kanda as detailed in the first index. The Ramayana travelled to the island of Java and was translated there into the local kavibhāsā in the early centuries of the Christian era. The version there also ends with the Lanka Kanda, showing that at the time when the epic was taken to that island the last canto was not composed. The Uttara Kanda also was introduced into that island, later on, but as a separate book,-not as an integral part of the epic as we find it in India. It is a noteworthy point that whilst the Lanka Kānda ends with a declaration that Rāma, the good king, performed ten horse-sacrifice ceremonies and ruled for 10,000 years, the narrative of the Uttara Kānda describes only one horsesacrifice-ceremony, which is also the first and last that Rama is said to have performed during his life. This is evidently anomalous.

We have tried to prove that in the epic of Vālmiki we find the northern ballads about Rāma and the southern ballads about Rāvaņa blended together and presented as a single story. The combination of the two stories might have already been made before him by the

ballad-mongers, but Vālmīki gave the most eloquent and the most powerful version of the two stories thus mixed together in his grand poem. We have also attempted to show that the Ape-god Hanumāna was given a conspicous place in his great work, though there was no mention of him in the original Northern legend.

Now, after the Northern legend regarding Rāma and his exile was related by Vālmīki in such a wonderful manner, there was an attempt made to gather all traditions and fables about Rāvana, the epic having only touched that portion of his career in which he is said to have come in contact with Rāma. All the monstrous fables about the exploits of the former, that probably originated in the fancy of the Dravidian people and which had been first conceived in the wilderness of the Deccan, were now stored up and embedied in the Uttara Kānda. Imagination once let loose amongst rural people marches

Virtues exaggerated in the last Canto.

with giant strides and we find in the Advuta Rāmāyaṇa the ten-headed monster growing

into a hydra-headed demi-god reigning in the isles of Puşkara which is sought to be made a more glorious seat than Lankā. The Rāmāyaṇa by Jagata Rām (1725 A. D.) has a compendium describing the deeds of this hero, which are no doubt taken from the Advuta Rāmāyaṇa, and Kalikṛṣṇa Dās the author of Chandrakānta—a writer of the eighteenth century—has a work

on the same subject. The mythology has created an enormous literature, and the fanciful writers were not content by imagining a hydra-headed hero but even magnifying him into a thousand headed one. These giants also are called by the common name of Ravana. Besides the exploits of Rāvaṇa which form one of the main subjects of Uttara Kānda, Rāma is made there a champion of Brahmanism, as will appear from his unjustifiable execution of Sudraka. virtue of renunciation which Rāma so strikingly exhibited by keeping his father's pledge was exaggerated in an extravagant manner in that canto by capricious imagination. Brahmanical ideal is put forth here in an unmistakable manner. He who could renounce his kingdom for his father's word was also capable of renouncing his dear queen for preserving his good name among his subjects; not only so but he could even separate himself for ever from his dear half-brother Laksmana for the word he gave to a Brahmin. Laksmana's virtues are exaggerated in the description that for 14 years he fasted and had no sleep in order to qualify himself for killing Indrajita. Thus the great characters of Valmīki's epic who live and move as human beings, though of a superior type, were transformed into personifications of Brahmanical dogmas by the ingenuity of an orthodox school of poets who certainly congratulated themselves on the improvement, they thought—they successfully made on the epic in the supplementary canto.

The additions to the Rāmāyaṇa were meant to serve two main purposes; firstly to relate the stories and fables about Rāvaṇa, transmitted probably through Southern traditions. This was made the chief subject of the Uttara Kānda; but a far more important purpose was served by adding the Adi Kānda, viz., to establish Rāma as an incarnation of Viṣnu.

The promulgation of the divinity of Rāma and of the superiority of the Brahmus The original Rāmāyaṇa, excepting only one short passage in the Lankā Kānda, invests Rāma throughout with human

virtues: but the Ādi Kānda was added to deify him and make him a set-off from the Brahminic side against the Buddha who had already risen to divinity. The Adi Kanda is, besides, full of praise of the Brahmins and of the Brahminic powers and shows that at the time of its composition the caste rules were clearly defined and made stringent by Brahminic codes. It, besides, abounds with descriptions of shrines sanctified by Brahminic tradition. The lines "न वसं चत्रियस्वाद्रशीचावावसवत्तरा:" (Chap. 54, verse 14) and धिन्दसं चित्रवसं ब्रह्मतेको वसं वसन । (Chap. 56, verse 22) clearly indicate that the Kshatriya element had succumbed to Brahminic powers—a fact which appears in striking contrast to the state of things described by the

Buddha in the Ambatta Sutta. Parusu Rāma is introduced in this chapter as the destroyer of the race of Kshatriyas, the quarrel between Vacistha and Vigwamitra elaborately dealt with in the book is merely a proud vindication of the glory of the Brahminic ascendency. The Ādi Kanda besides abounds with genealogies of kings, with pages filled with pedigrees on the lines of the later Puranas. We find that "from Iksaku sprang Alambusa; from Biçāla of great fame; from Biçāla sprang Hemchandra of mighty power and from him Suchandra who begot Dhumrāshya; the son of Dhumrāshya was Çrinjaya; from him sprang Sahadeva of great power; Sahadeva's son was virtuous Kuçāshya and from him sprang Somadatta whose son was Kakutstha; from Kakutstha sprang Mahateja;" (Chap. 47, verses 12-17) Such stale and dry genealogical accounts are given also of the Nimi dynasty (Chap. 71) and we also find similar pedigrees of the sage Viewamitra (Chap. 51, verses 16-19). From Ayodhya to Lanka we met with only one passage giving a pedigree; it is that of the Devas (Chap. 15 Aranya Kānda); but that account is far from being so dry as those which frequently occur in the Adi Kanda. The Adi Kanda in this respect, as I have already said, resembles the later Purāņas. There are besides many instances of disagreement between the accounts given in different places of the Ādi Kānda and between

those in the latter and the other cantos. In Chapter 66, verse 8 Devaratha is described as the eldest son of Nimi, but in Chapter 71 Devaratha is traced as the 6th in descent from Nimi. In the Ayodhya Kanda mention is made of the great bow of Civa having The anomalies. been a gift of Varuna to Devaratha, the elder brother of Janaka (Chap. 121, verse 39) but in the Adi Kanda Varuna is not the giver of the bow. We need not attach much importance to those discrepancies; they may creep into a poem owing to the inadvertence of copyists or interpolators. But there is no doubt the whole of Adi Kanda is permeated by a spirit of Brahminic influence which offers a great contrast to the free and noble poetical inspirations breathed in the four later cantos. The Adi Kanda busies itself like the Puranas in describing the cycle of Brahminic legends, for instance, the death of 60,000 sons of Sagara by the fire that emanated from a Brahmin's eye, the story of Vāmana, the 5th incarnation of Vișnu (Chap. 29, verse 19,) of the churning of the Ocean (Chap. 35, verse 15-27), of the king Amburisa (Chap. 62-63). It is to be regretted that the account of Ahalyā's indecent love for Indra in the Adi Kanda and the episode of Rambhāvatī in the Uttara Kānda have been attributed to the great poet whose noble strain

is one of rigid purity.

The two added chapters have given great opportunity and scope to our Bengali poets for introducing an encyclopædic collection of old legends not contained in the Sanskrit poem, and we shall now proceed to examine in what relation our Bengali Rāmāyanas stand to the Sanskrit epic.

#### CHAPTER III.

- (a) A striking affinity of some Bengali legends with those of Medieval Europe.
  - (b) A comparative review of the Epic and the Bengali Ramayanas
  - (c) The influence of the local religious cults.

# (a) A striking affinity of some Bengati legends with those of Mediaval Europe.

A mere translation cannot be of any lasting

(a) Bergali Rāmāyanas are not mere translation. value. A great poet certainly belongs to all times, but the share which contemporary in-

fluence contributes to the production of his noble work should not be ignored. He may write for all ages but he belongs to a particular age. The statue is best shown against the sculptured background and from the pedestal upon which it stands. If its position is disturbed it fails to create the impression which it produces as a whole. A mere translation of a great poem, however literal, conveys but a poor idea of the original, for, we miss in the translation the suggestions which each word carries in the original, and the distance of time involving a change in social conditions divests the translation of some of the naive charms of a classic poem.

A Shakespeare is essentially English, a Homer Greek and a Hafiz Persian; nay more, they belong to special epochs of history, and this we must not forget. Though all nationalities in all times have the power to appreciate the poetic beauty of their works, their connection with the nations that produced them and the age in which they lived, is indissoluble. If by translation the great beauty of an epic or a lyric poem could be reproduced; a Dante, a Virgil or a Schiller would have been the same in all the different languages of the world. But such a result is not attained even by the most strenuous efforts of scholarly translators.

When the Bengali Rāmāyana was first composed, the age of Valmiki had long gone by. The grandeur of the poem could be appreciated by scholars alone, but in order to bring it within the scope of popular appreciation, old Valmiki had to be remodelled, recast and considerably reduced in size. This was certainly done, and this is the way by which great poems have been rendered understandable by the masses of India. It is by such means that the two epics—the Rāmāyana and the Mahābhārata-were Bengalicized rather than translated into Bengali, and thus made to exercise such a great influence in moulding our national character. The Bengali Rāmāyaņa is not merely an abridgment or summary of Vulmiki's epic. Every character, every situation, described in the Sanskrit poem,

underwent a remarkable change from age to age. The Bengali life has mainly moulded the epic with its own peculiar ideas and thoughts, and this is why the poem is so universally popular in Bengal. The indigenous ballads, songs and traditions of an age, prior to even that of Valmiki, may be traced among the materials which have gone to the making of the Bengal Rāmayana in its present shape. The character of Hanumana, as I have already suggested, had been one of an all-pervading interest in our literature in ancient times. The worship of Chandi is perhaps older than the Indo-Aryan civilisation inasmuch as the figure of a goddess greatly like her, riding a lion, was discovered in Crete by Mr. Evans. This deity was worshipped there probably in 3000 B.C. The Ma-worship of the Hittees, which Hall mentions in his History of the Oriental Nations, is also a very old cult, and the goddess Mangal Chandi of whom the vernacular literatures have been the chief exponent is probably linked this mother-cult of ancient nations. Hanumāna is associated with this cult as also with the Civaite and Buddhist religions, though he has now become inseparably connected with the Vaisnava cult. This point we have already discussed at some length. With a hundred indigenous stories and traditions are these Bengali Rāmāyanas connected, though they profess to be mere Bengali versions of the epic of Valmiki.

The war of Rama with Lava and Kuca in the last canto does not form a part of the Sanskrit Uttara Kānda, From whatever source the Bengali Rāmāyans may have obtained these accounts, the story is one apparently common to all Aryan nations. The Teutonic and Persian mythical traditions have described similar stories of a fight between father and son. And in the Mahābhārata we have it in the contest between Ariuna and Babrubāhana. The Trish Cuchutainm fought a duel with his son Conloach in the Gaelic legends. The fight of Vasmalochana in the Lanka Kanda of the Bengali Rāmāyanās naturally strikes one by the coincidence it presents with the story of the god Balor of Gaelie mythology. Balor had two eves, one was always kept closed, for it was so venomous that it killed any one

The story of the Vasmalochana and other legends

on whom its look fell. On days of battle he was placed

opposite to the enemy, the lid of the destroying eye was lifted up with a hook and its gaze withered all who stood before it. Is not the story almost exactly like that of Vasmalochana described in the Bengali Rāmāyanas? We read of a thief in the mythology of the British Islands, "a mighty man of magic who put every one to sleep by charms before he removed the possessions of King Lludd"2. This reminds

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Celtic myth and Legend by Charles Squire, p. 49. Ditto.

us of the charm applied by Mahi Ravana in the Bengali Rāmāvanas. By his sorceries he put to sleep the whole army of Rama. The thief of British mythology is also of the same type as Inda (1871), the famous sorcerer of the Dharmamangal poems. I have referred to some of these in my Folk-literature of Bengal. Thus we find in the Bengali Rāmāvanas an echo of the fables and traditions current in the In ancient times stories as these travelled from one country to another by sea and land till they became the common heritage of many nations. In the ballads of Maynāmatī, which are some of the carliest specimens of our literature, we read of the gigantic feats of the queen who by witchcraft changed herself into different animals in order to pursue Goda Yama who was flying with her royal husband's life. A parallel may be drawn between her feats and those of the three princesses-daughters of king Hesperides, who by sorcery changed themselves to three ospreys and pursued the sons of Tuirenn who had assumed the shapes of hawks. But the sons of Tuirenn reached the shore first and changing themselves to swans dived into the sea.1 The romantic story of the king Dandi who was enamoured of the heavenly nymph Urvaci changed to a mare by curse may have similarly

<sup>1</sup> Celtic myth and Legend by Charles Squire, p. 99.

a parallel in that of the love of Angus for Caer, the beautiful goddess who was transformed into a swan. It is, therefore, a curious thing to find in the Bengali versions of the epics stories similar to those current in distant parts of the world, and this should evidently be traced to pre-historic fables though the writers from whom we directly get them in the vernacular poems may belong to comparatively recent times.

## (b) A comparative review of the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas and Vālmīki's Epic.

We have observed that the Bengali recensions of the Rāmāyaṇa were partly abridged and partly expanded and very considerably changed for various reasons, the chief of which was to give it a shape which would be acceptable to Bengali readers.

First, let us see what portions were omitted in the Bengali recensions. Next we shall proceed to see what new things were added. Then we shall be in a position to understand the salient traits of our national character. There are pas-

Where the Benguli writers deviated from Valuniki, sages of bold straightforwardness in Valmiki's epic, which our recensionists feared to reproduce

thinking that the noble characters of the Rāmayāṇa would suffer in the estimation of the Bengali people, if they introduced them in their works. In the Ayodhyā Kānda Lakṣmana, infuriated at the banishment of Rāma, exclaims before

Kauçalyā "Here do I take the vow of killing my old father, attached to Kaikeyi." 1 The vow of patricide is certainly a horror according to scriptures, but Vālmīki did not see the characters through scriptures but by a mental vision in which "he saw the incidents of the Ramayana as vividly as one sees the fruit myrabolam in one's hand." 2 The vow which was but the fleeting words uttered in a fit of intense temporary excitement only shows the towering character of a great hero in rage, but in the Bengali Rāmāyanas this portion of his speech finds no place. Kauçalyā in the Ajodhyā Kānda laments over the exile of Rāma saying that accustomed to all kinds of luxury and to sleep on soft pillows as Rāma was, how could he sleep in the forest resting his head on his arm which was hard like an iron bar." The arm of a Bengali gentleman should be soft as a Cirisa flower; a strong arm, according to Bengali ideas, suits only a rustic, a ploughman, a blacksmith or a soldier. Thus no poet dared to give the rude strength of an iron bar to Rāma's arm in Bengali recensions. On the other hand we have a line in Krittivasa which says, "Rāma as a child wandered in the garden with a bow made of flowers." How acceptable

<sup>े &</sup>quot;इनिचे पितरं हर्ड चेवव्यासमानसम् "। Ajodhya Kanda, Chap 21. Verse 19.

<sup>2</sup> Chap 3, Verse 6, Adi.

³ मुत्रं परिचसदामसुपाधाय महाबल: ।'' Chap 61, Verse 7, Ayodhy& 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> " ফুল খতু ছাতে রাষ বেড়ান কাননে।" Ādi Kānda, Krittivām.

is this picture to the Bengali mind! When Rama was called to the presence of his father Dacaratha, and Kaikeyi, his step mother, asked him if he would be prepared to keep his father's pledge, he said, "I shall gladly give my kingdom and even Sita to Bharata of my own accord; what do you say of the mere kingdom, when my father wills it?" The offering of Sītā is certainly not in good taste, nor is the saying of Sita to Rama "शैल्व इव मां राम परेभ्य दात्मिक्किस।" We have it again in the Lanka Kanda that Ramaatthe sight of Sita returning to his presence after the great victory addressed her in a jealous fit and said, "You may place your heart on Bibhisana, Sugriva, Laksmana or Bharata. As which though pleasant pains the diseased eye, so a sight of you, so dear to me, pains me."2 speech of Rama offering Sītā to a brother is also not in good taste, and Sitā gives a well-deserved retort, saying, "How is it, Oh hero, that you speak rude words like a vulgar man, which pain my ears?" The words were vulgar and Sita emphasises it. Vālmīki knew his own great character in whose mouth they were put. he did not hesitate in putting them there. We find all his characters living: towering they are, but in their speeches and action they were never

¹ "चड़' डि सीतां राज्यस प्राश्वानिष्टान् धनानिस्। प्रष्टी साते सर्व इसा अन्ताय प्रशेदित:। कि पुनर्यनुकेन्द्रेस स्वयं पिता प्रशोदित:।" R&māyaṇa, Ajodhyā, Ch. 19. Verse 7.

Lanka, Chap. 117, Verses 17 and 23.

intended to be faultless; for Valmiki did not write the epic with a purpose. The offering of a man's wife to his younger brother, though Rama uttered such words in a moment of great mental distraction, seems to suggest that probably in the society of those days, a younger brother could marry his elder brother's wife, a pratice still prevalent in some places of Orissa. Whatever it be. our Bengali recensionists dared not include such passages in their work. In the Ayodhyā Kānda Rāma when he approaches Sītā on the eve of his going to the forest, gives her some advice knowing that she would stay at home. In course of this he says, "Do not harp upon my virtues and good qualities before Bharata, for a man in power does not like to hear the praise of others." This implies an uncharitable and unfair reflection on Bhārata. But at that critical moment Rama addressing his wife in private could not possibly speak well-balanced words everywhere, and Valmiki was careless as to what should be said and what should not be said: "he saw the march of events before him in his glorious vision;" and what his mental eye saw so clearly his pen reduced to writing. The same bold straightforwardness could not be expected in the Bengali poets who translated the epic, and hence those and similar passages have been omitted in the Bengalı works.

<sup>1 &#</sup>x27;खाँ ब्युक्ता हि पुद्दवा न सङ्क्ते परसावस् " Ayodhya, Chap. 26, Verse 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ådi Kanda, Chap. 3, Versel7.

That poetry has greatly suffered in the vernacular recensions goes without saying. The magnificent description of Chitrakuta "that

rises aloft tearing as it were The great poetry of the original missed in the very bosom of the earth1" Bengali. with its many-coloured cliffs shining in the sun, the grandeur of the sacred stream of the Ganges, which the poets says "sometimes breaks into loud laughter as her waves dash against one another and sometimes smiles quietly with her soft bud-like foam," the picturesque beauty of her waters which "sometimes rise aloft by the force of winds and look like braids on woman's head,"2 and the terror of her whirlpools,—the murmurs of waves gentle and soft contrasted at with a burst of loud uproar, and "at places beautiful banks with picturesque trees surrounding her like garlands"3-all these are missed in the Bengali poems. The dream-like beauty of the lake Pompā and the graphic accounts of the seasons raise but feeble echoes in the poems of Bengal. The Iliad strikes one by the scarcity of natural description. The Rāmāyaņa, on the other hand, is not only great in human interest and unmatched in its pathos

¹ " शिक्षेव वसुधां आति विचक्कट: समुख्यित: ¡" Ayothys Ch. 94, Verse 28.

<sup>&</sup>quot; " अवचातार्डासीयां फेननिकंतडासिनीं।

<sup>े</sup> कविषयीक्रमज्ञां कविदायर्गं योभितान्।"

<sup>&</sup>quot; अवित् तीरवर है कैमांलाभिरिवजीभिताम्।" Ayodhya, Chap. 50 Varses 16-20.

but is also wonderful in its descriptions of natural scenery. As we wander in our imagination through the regions described by the poet, we feel the truth of Rāma's saying to Sītā "Here living in this beautiful land in your company, my love, I do not regret the loss of my kingdom, nor feel a desire for Ayodhyā." Throughout these descriptions the interest is preserved. The accounts of Pompā and of the seasons have been enlivened by isāma's lament over the loss of Sītā. This has spread a sweet charm over the whole thing, and the tender and poetic wail of Rāma rings in our ears like the strain of a lyre in a wilderness. In whatever age the epic of Vālmīki might have been written, it has recorded the first impressions of the Aryan race on its coming in contact with the grand and picturesque scenery of the Decean. The ecstatic joy of the eyes that suddenly confront sublime scenery is recorded on every page of the Aranya and the following c intos. Take for instance the passage which describes the monkey army led by Sugriva suddenly ushered into the sea-coast. Their all-absorbing thought of recovering Sītā leaves them for a moment. The sight of the sea to which they were not accustomed strikes them with mute wonder, and for a moment all other thoughts laid aside, they stand like worshippers of the deep listening to its mysterious sound. The poet says, "The sea looks like the boundless sky, and the sky like the

boundless sea." In mute wonder the monkeyarmy stood there and for a time imagined that the sea rose up to the sky by force of the wind and uttered unconnected words in delirious joy which they vainly strove to understand. All these we miss in the Bengali recensions, and the attempts of later poets like Raghunandan to reproduce the text in this respect create but a fceble echo, as the words lack the inspired force of the original. It was well that Krittivāsa did not attempt it. The seasons he certainly described, for that was found indispensable in relating the story and the incidents of the Kiskindhākānda; but his description is short, compared with the original. We miss there the grandeur of wood-land scenery-the meadows on the hills displaying their treasure of açana and saptaparna flowers on the one hand, and the tall blackberry trees on the other, whose fruits looked like a swarm of bees gathered on the boughs. Krittivāsa hardly notices them, he briefly states instead the peculiarities of the low Gangetic valley flooded by rainwater. For he knew the class of people for whom he wrote his poem, and meant that his book should be read by the masses. So he did not waste his poetical energies on a description of the tall pines and cedar-trees, but was content with giving an account of the kunda and ketaki

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lanks, Chap. V, verses 115, 120-121.

flower-plants which grow so abundantly in the Bengal plains. He did not want to show vain erudition like Raghunandan and burden his poem with too much display of classic wealth. The cottage he built in the low plains was suitable for the rural people for whom he intended it. Where could there be a room in it for the heavy gothic decorations and architectural grandeur of Vālmīki's noble editice?

The Bengali poet has admittedly a power to create pathos; but it was not easy to keep pace with the master of pathetic The pathos lore-Vālmīki. Centuries have passed away since the great epic was written, but the poem still continues to be read with tears. The lofty character of Kauçalyā in the Ayodhyā Kanda has been reduced in the Bengali version to a care-worn doting Bengali mother. seek in vain here for the queen-like majesty of the mother as presented in the original. There she offers her grateful tribute of worship to Fire at the news of her son's installation, and when that son comes and acquaints her with the tale of his banishment, the queen, struck with staggers for a while, but instantly rises to the height of the situation, and shows that fortitude—that majestic love which raises her far above the average woman. We find her in all the glory of a queen who gave birth to a noble son like Rāma. The altar was there, and she was worshipping Fire

gratitude for her son's success. But when she hears of the great calamity, the fire of the altar is not extinguished and she stands before it with the same lofty devotion praying for her son's safety in exile. Eloquent and pathetic, wonderfully calm and resigned is her prayer which the reader must read with tears and with admiration. A sublime pathos runs through her words "May that success which Vamana, while encountering Vali, attained, that which Indra achieved in his battle with Vretra and Garuda in his attempts to secure the divine ambrosia -be yours, my son! May the virtues you have attained by devotedly performing your duties to your parents preserve you from harm! You have preserved faith and duty, and may these virtues preserve you in the forest! May the span of my life extend so that I may see you once more, my son, when, after fourteen years, you will return and triumphantly march through the streets of Ayodhyā-your pains all removed and gone, on your fulfilling your father's vow! And may I live to see your face once again glowing like the moon on your return to Ayodhyā!"

Krittivāsa and other Bengali poets give the picture of a very ordinary woman bewailing the loss of her son, in the place of this Kauçalyā, and scarcely conceive the grandeur of her noble character resigned in suffering. We need not dwell upon similar other instances where the great pathos of the original is not reproduced in the

Bengali version. They are too many to be mentioned in the space we can allot here. The prince Bharata, overpowered by grief over the exile of Rāma, marches to meet the latter with the whole people of Ayodhyā. His mother has done a great wrong; without sinning himself, he is reduced to the situation of a sinner in public estimation. How can Rama be made to forgive his mother and accept the kingdom is the one thought which occupies him. Pining in grief he comes to the city of Cringavera, and Guhaka, the chief of the place and a friend of Rāma, shows Bharata the bed of straw on the bare ground where Rāma, Sītā and Laksmana slept in the night. As an ascetic Rāma had refused the offer of hospitality in the palace of the chief. The gold dusts from the sādi of Sītā were still shining on the straw bed, and as Bharata saw them he trembled in grief with eyes fixed to the skies. He then cast a vacant look around and swooned. The ministers gathered round and the friendly arms of Guhaka embraced him. He was restored to consciousness by the application of cold water and when he was himself again, he wept and said: "He lived in the palace of gold. served by a thousand attendants, -the palace resonant with the warble of gay birds and shining in resplendence with its many-coloured jewels! How could he, my brother, sleep on the bare ground and upon the straw? It seems all like a dream!" Then when he met Rāma, the

latter was surprised to see him "reduced to a skeleton, dressed in bark like an ascetic, though a prince. He threw himself at his brother's feet and washed them with his tears."

An attempt has been made to reproduce in Bengali the pathos of these passages and those describing the death of Daçaratha and the tale of Andhamuni. But one who reads together the original and the vernacular recensions, will often sigh over the imperfections of the latter. We may also refer to the pathos of the passages describing Jatāyu's death, Lakṣmana's first meeting with Hanumāna and Hanumāna's meeting with Stā—the beauty and tenderness of which are but ill-conveyed in the Bengali recensions.

We have already stated that it is mentioned in the Ādi Kānda that Vālmīki saw the march of events in his mental vision. "He saw Daçaratha, Rāma, Lakṣmana, Sītā with the whole host of people of the kingdom, moving, speaking and laughing as they actually did."

This account of the poet's vision seems to be but too true, for when he describes the speeches of the one or the other of his characters, he does not fail to give his very attitude and gestures at the time of speaking, as if he were an eyewitness. The infuriated Laksmana was giving a resentful reply to Rāma's calm and philosophical discourse in which the latter had ascribed his banishment to fate. Laksmana "stretched

<sup>1</sup> Ramayana Adi Kanda, Chap. 3, Verse 4.

his right arm onward as the elephant does its trunk; his head was raised and neck slightly bent towards Rama and casting a crooked glance at the latter thus addressed him." When Rama had accepted the order of his exile "Laksmana followed him, full of rage, with his eyes that glistened with tears but he spoke not a word."2 These two lines call up a vivid picture. In the hermitage of Bharadwāja, Bharata, while introducing the queens, his mothers, to the saint said. "Just see, like the slender bough of the karnikār tree, with flowers withered, she stands in bewildered grief resting herself on the left arm of Kaucalya: she is Sumitra, the second queen of my father."2 In the Sundara Kanda Hanumāna was on the sinsapā tree in the Asoka Garden of Rāvaṇa. He spoke slowly and praised Rāma in a sort of soliloquy. Sītā's attention was directed to the speech. The poet says, "She, whose beautiful hair flowed in curls, raised her face, halfcovered with her curling hair, and looked up to the sinsapā tree." This again calls up a lovely picture. We only refer to a few passages out of many which illustrate the truth of the saying in the Adi Kanda that the poet saw things and events that he described with his own eyes. How could the poets of Bengal be expected to reproduce the beauty of Valmiki's inimitable passages?

Rāmāyaṇa Ayodhyā Kānda, Chap. 23, Verses 4-5.
Ditto Chap. 92, Verses 22-23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Rāmāyaņa Sundarā Kānda, Chap. 31, Verse 16.

The imageries used by Valmiki are often times full of imaginative grandeur as in the description of Rāvaņa's flight over the sea with Sītā, Hanumana's march over the deep and those used to describe the death-scene of Vali. But sometimes the metaphors are apt and beautiful in one or two short suggestive words. The ladies of Ravana's harem were sleeping in the inner apartments of the palace reserved for them,their garments loose and charms all laid bare. Rāvaņa slept on an ivory couch near them. One single light from a golden lamp burnt and the poet says, "It stared with one steady glance over the sleeping beauties, availing itself of Ravana's sleep." I have already referred to the lines where Rāma whose jealousy was roused said to Sītā, "Like the light that is beautiful to look at but pains a diseased eye, the sight of you, though sweet, is now unbearable to me."

We need not dwell at any more length upon the beauty of Vālmīki's poem. We have indicated that the Bengali Rāmāyanas have not been successful in conveying to us the grandeur and poetry of Vālmīki's epic. But we mean no dispuragement of the works of the Bengali poets.

What we have got from our own poets, not to be found in the original. We have shown what we have not found at their hands. We shall presently show that we have got many things from our

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Rāmāyaņa Sundarā Kānda, Chap. 9, Verse 67.

own poets, which are not in the Sinskrit epic, and which lend a lasting charm to its Bengali recensions. In fact there is quite an unexpected find of original beauty in them which has given a strange interest to these productions proving the originality of the Bengali genius and the power of their recasting and remodelling a tale told with such superb effect in the original, in their own way so as to make it once more a fountain of perennial joy and beauty.

When I read anyone of the Bengali Rāmāyanas, lying on my table, some printed, but most in the shape of MSS., I find that it is the Bengali wife that is presented to me in the picture of Sītā,—the Bengali mother in that of Kaucalyā, and the whole Bengali life portrayed with all its light and shade in the descriptions of the Rāmāyaņic incidents. The giants and demons themselves lose their character, and figure merely as Bengali ruffians afterwards becoming champions of the Rāma-cult. The Bengali Rāmāyaņas are our own poems and we should not be surprised to find that there has been a great departure in them from the Sanskrit original. In its changed shape the epic has appealed not only to the literate but to the illiterate people of Bengal as well. The grocer, absorbed in reading the poem in the dim light of his kerosine-lamp, forgets the customer at his door, for in the book he finds not indeed the towering figures and the noble flow of poetry of the great epic which would

be beyond his comprehension, but every tale adapted to rustic life, every character metamorphosed into a Bengali and the whole pre-

senting to him what he beholds every morning and evening in his own village-home. But though the Epic is thus brought down from its soaring height attained by the Hindu civilisation in the hey-day of its glory, though in the place of the Himalayan ranges we find only small hillocks and mounds, 20 feet high, the tale of renunciation and chastity is told not less powerfully, as the means adopted are such as to be straightway comprehended by the people.

The Ādi Kānda of the Bengali Rāmāyaṇa gives the fable of Rājā Harish Chandra. The tale is a Paurānic one. Krittivāsa, however, introduces a considerable Bengali element into it. Harish Chandra, the king, sells himelf to a 'dom'—the lowest caste in the Hindu Society. In this condition of abject humility he is given by our poet the Bengali vulgar form of his name—"Ha'rè." The 'dom' is called by the familiar Bengali name 'Kālu.' The wisdom of the rustics of Bengal who in a bygone age attributed earthquakes to the movement of the heads of the elephants—the dik gajas, displays itself in illuminating the rural assembly of hearers on scientific questions!;

<sup>&#</sup>x27; "সে সব হতীর তন অপূর্ব কথন।

মন্তক নড়িলে হয় মেদিনী কম্পন ॥"

and all this is not certainly derived from the original text. The marriage rites described are those belonging to Bengali society. From the application of turmeric and rice-paste to the body of the bridegroom which is indispensable in marriage-time here in Bengal, to the observance of kāla-rātri-the inauspicious night -in which the bride and bridegroom should not meet, we find every rite minutely mentioned, though the marriages described refer to some ancient age when most of these observances, of which the founders are generally the woman-folk of Bengal, were quite unknown, and which are certainly not in the original epic of which these vernacular works profess to be translations. We find in these rites that familiar and charming opportunity which is given to the Bengali bridegroom for finding out the bride from an assembly of her goodhumoured companions, silently seated in a dark The way by which Rāma got out of this difficulty is also a familiar one in Bengal. "Sitā made a sign by moving her left hand from which her shell bracelets sounded and Rama forthwith held her by the hand." King Dacaratha experienced the same difficulty which the father of the Bengali bride-groom has so often to confront in meeting the ever-growing demand of the women of the bride's side in regard to the ceremony

<sup>&</sup>quot;ক্রিলেন সাতা বামহত্তে শব্ধধনি। হাকত ধরি সাতারে তোলেন রত্মণি ॥"

be beyond his comprehension, but every tale adapted to rustic life, every character metamorphosed into a Bengali and the whole pre-

senting to him what he beholds every morning and evening in his own village-home. But though the Epic is thus brought down from its soaring height attained by the Hindu civilisation in the hey-day of its glory, though in the place of the Himalayan ranges we find only small hillocks and mounds, 20 feet high, the tale of renunciation and chastity is told not less powerfully, as the means adopted are such as to be straightway comprehended by the people.

The Ādi Kānda of the Bengali Rāmāyaṇa gives the fable of Rājā Harish Chandra. The tale is a Paurānic one. Krittivāsa, however, introduces a considerable Bengali element into it. Harish Chandra, the king, sells himelf to a 'dom'—the lowest caste in the Hindu Society. In this condition of abject humility he is given by our poet the Bengali vulgar form of his name—"Ha'rè." The 'dom' is called by the familiar Bengali name 'Kālu.' The wisdom of the rustics of Bengal who in a bygone age attributed earthquakes to the movement of the heads of the elephants—the dik gajas, displays itself in illuminating the rural assembly of hearers on scientific questions!;

<sup>&#</sup>x27; "সে সব হতীর তন অপূর্ব কথন।

মন্তক নড়িলে হয় মেদিনী কম্পন ॥"

homes. The character of Sita is conceived as that of a young levely woman of Bengal. Her tenderness and grace attract us; but even these show her contrast with the queen-like majesty of the Sītā of the Sanskrit epic. Sometimes a poet of Bengal introduces in her character some of the foolish traits of a Bengali wife, especially those of one belonging to the Kulina Brahmin family. Krittivāsa was himself a Kulin Brahmin and his father had more than one wife. These Brahmins used to have many wives till only very recent times. Now Rāma obtained the hand of Sitā by fulfilling a condition laid by her father Janaka which other princes could not do, viz., the breaking of the great bow of Çiva in the Maithil palace. After marrying her, Rāma met Paraçu Rāma on his way back to home. The latter, enraged at the news that Rama broke a bow that had belonged to the great god Çiva, offered him another bow of that god and challenged him to string it. Now our poet of Bengal describes a foolish fear of Sītā on the occasion. "My lord once broke the bow of Çiva and got me for his wife as a reward. Now the sage Bhrigu (Paraçu Rāma has brought another bow. I do not know how many co-wives I shall have!" Poor Sita! the poet who recast the

Krittıvāsa, Ādi.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; ' একবার ধমুক ভাঙ্গিরা রবুরার। করিলেন আমারে বিবাহ মিথিলার॥ আরবার ধমুক আনিল ভৃগুমণি। না ভানি হইবে মোর কতেক সভিনী॥"

original character could not lose sight of the resigned grief and mute sufferings of those fair ones whom he every day met in his community, tormented with jealousy! The exaggerated and almost morbid sentiment of chastity which prevails in the Bengali Brahmin's home finds expression in Sītā's utterance when she stands branded with infamy before her lord. I was a mere child, even then I did not touch a male child." How undignified is the whining tone of the Bengali girl by way of self-defence as contrasted with the one line of just and indignant retort made by the Sita of Valmiki on this occasion: "Oh prince, why do you act like one who is vulgar and speak rude things that shock my oars 2"

Everywhere in the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas we find Bengali life with its good and bad qualities shadowing the epic of Vālmīki, but bringing it a step nearer to the Bengali home. Indeed the ideas of the Bengali rustics are strewn over the pages of the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas so profusely, that the poets, it may be said, fully succeeded in making these Rāmāyaṇas their own in every respect. In this matter they did like one who melts a Kaniska or an Asoka gold coin and casts it into a smaller shape stamping the name of a village Rājā on it: By doing this he certainly

<sup>&#</sup>x27; " ৰাল্যকালে ধেলিভাষ বালিকা ষিশালে। স্পৰ্শ নাহি করিভাষ পুৰুষ ছাওয়ালে।

gives it a local currency, though the locality where it has its use may not be as large as the Empire of a great monarch whose stamp the coin originally bore. Andhamuni, the bereaved father of the boy Sindhu, whom Daçaratha has killed by a mistake, laments "I do not speak ill of my elders nor do I omit to say my evening prayers. Neither may I be accused of taking rice with curds in the night, why is then my young son doomed to a premature death?" The last offence, a mere breach of a rule of health, is magnified into a great sin and classed with great moral and spiritual transgressions! This is how the jurisprudence of Bengali Brahmins has made an awkward confusion of things!

In the aphorisms of Dāka and Khanā and other earlier works of Bengali literature, we have frequently come across astrological injunctions which were binding upon the village-people. A subject nation who used to be at the mercy of their rulers and whose will was always crossed and over-ridden by those in power, could not possibly depend upon self-help like other free peoples of the world. Hence there has been that inevitable tendency in the rural plains of Bengal to attribute all that happens to the influence of planets. The

শুক্রনিকা নাছি করি নাছি সন্ধ্যাবাদ। দ্বির সংযোগে রাত্রে নাছি খাই ভাত॥ তবে কেন অন্ধপুত্র ভাজিল জীবন॥

people, subject to oppression in those times, both when they were right and when they were wrong, naturally got their sense blunted as regards the result of a moral action. They sought relief in the study of planetary influence on their destinies and thus solved all puzzling problems. Sagara, the king who regrets the death of his 60,000 sons owing to Brahmanic ire. says, "They were all born when Rahu was ascendant and I knew from this that they could not be long-lived." Such reflections are very common on the lips of a bereaved Bengali father. In the Bengali Rāmayanas there is a superabundance of such astrological nonsense. The elephant Airāvata had its head cut off, for says a Bengali poet, "he slept with his head turned to the North"—a thing even dreaded now by. Bengali mothers who would not allow their children to do so! The Bengali idea of courtesy finds expression in the anger of Hanumana at Nala's receiving with his left hand the timber supplied by the apc-god when the former was constructing the bridge over the high Bharadwaja, the sage, it is said in the Bengali Rāmāyana, served the soldiers of prince Bharata "with beautiful and soft rice that looked like Juthi flowers." Such rice grows in Bengal,

<sup>&#</sup>x27; ' রাহ্ব দশার জন্ম হইল যখন।
সে সভার আশা আমি ছেড়েছি তখন।"

Krittivāsa, Ayodhyā
' ' নির্মাল কোমল অন্ন যেন যুঁ পি ফুল।"

Lbul.

and Bharadwāja, if he really gave a feast to the soldiers of Bharata, must have done so with bread (and not with rice)-the food of the upcountry people. Indrajita after winning a victory over Rāma's army enters Lankā, the city of Rākṣasas, proudly proclaiming his success by the beat of the Bengali drum - the dholaka.1 Daçaratha, afflicted with a carbuncle, is advised to take a soup of camuka, a remedy which the village-quacks of Bengal would prescribe to this day! The princes of Bengal figure everywhere in the descriptions, though there is no mention of them in the original text. Ghanacyama, the King of Bengal, it is said. attended the sacrificial ceremony of Dacaratha, and on another occasion we find the mention of a king of Rāda (western Bengal). In connection with the descent of the Ganges from heaven and her course through the Gangetic valley, the poets go on giving an account of the small villages of Bengal with which they are so familiar, and it is needless to say that there could be nothing in the original poem to justify this. We find names of such insignificant villages of Bengal as Nerātala. Beherda, not to speak of the more important ones like Nadia and Akna Mahesh The dress and the ornaments used by the Bengalis are worn by the heroes and heroines of the Bengali

<sup>&</sup>quot;বানরের শুন এবে ক্রন্সনের রোল। লক্ষার প্রবেশে বীর বাজাইয়া ঢোল।"

Rāmāyaņas, and what is strange, even the monkeys put them on to the delight of the Bengali readers! Vali, the monkey-chief 'wears a Bengali dhuti tightly round his waist.' The gestures even of the monkeys are of a Bengali character. There was that particular mannerism in Bengal which still lingers among the women-folk of Eastern Bengal in the habit of expressing their wonder by touching their nose with the finger, and we find the monkeys doing the same at the sight of the valour of Rama! The fears of the great sage Vicvamitra at the sight of the dwelling place of the Tārakā Rākṣasī can only be those of a timid Bengali Brahmin. Sitā in the Açoka garden is a strict observer of caste-rules, refusing to eat rice at the hands of the Rākṣasas, so that the god Indra has to bring charu from heaven to feed her!

In the Rāmarasāyaṇa by Raghunandana the Bengali element has been accentuated by the introduction of many of the characteristic features of the bhakti-cult, to which we shall refer hereafter. In a well-known passage in that book we have a pictorial description of the costumes and other interesting particulars in respect of the princes assembled on the occasion of Sitā's Svayamvara (election of bridegroom). This account is evidently based on our poets' personal observation of the ways and manners of the contemporary aristocrats of Bengal. Some of them burning with a desire to obtain the

hand of Sitā wear false teeth, some apply black dye to their grey beards and hair, and some wear wigs to cover their bald heads. Some, again, try to hide the wrinkles of their forcheads by wearing turbans over them. Most of them are described as bending under the burden of fourscore and more They present a spectacle which the author had no doubt beheld among the Bengali bridegrooms of Kulina families whom age or infirmity could not daunt or prevent from marrying child-wives. This gives to the descriptions a local interest and humour which the readers of Raghunandana's time must have immensely enjoyed. In the court of King Dacaratha we find Chobdars, Jemadars and Sikdars, as if that court belonged to the Mahomedan Emperor of Gaur. The maids in attendance on the , queen, when Rāma is born, refuse to open the door to Dacaratha, anxious to behold the new-born babe, unless they would receive rewards according to their expectations. This is exactly in the Bengali fashion. Rāma is made to study the different dialects of the country according to the classifications of Pingala—a work which the Bengali students used to read in the tols in those days. In the descriptions of meals we invariably come across the Bengali dainties-the familiar matichura (the broken pearl), pithā, the Bengal cakes, pāntavā, the khājā and the kachurāthe preparations of milk, flour and fried rice with sugar,-together with the fruits which the

trees of Bengal produce in our village homesthe familiar rāma rambhā, the banana bearing Rāma's name, the jack, the jāma or the blackberries and others which every child of Bengal knows much better than the elders of other provinces. The ornaments that the women of Ayodhyā wear are those which the Bengali women wore in the 18th century. There is an interesting and poetic description of these in the Rāmarasāyana (Ajodhyā Kānda, Chap. I, p. 109. Bangabasi edition). In the account of the training which Rama receives in wrestling and use of arms one will see only those which characterised the manly sports and physical exercises of the Bengali youths of the 18th century.

The instances of the Bengali element prevailing in the Rāmāyaņa, are too numerous to be cited. The world of Vālmīki has been, as it were, metamorphosed into the province of Bengal, by the touch of a magician's wand; and though the reader may be inclined to regret the change in many places, there is no doubt that a new life has been infused into the epic in its vernacular recensions so as to make it suitable for the children of the soil. The Bengali Rāmāyaṇa of Krittivāsa, specially, is no dead pool; it may not be a high sea of which the dwellers are the leviathans, but nevertheless, the streams of life, of joy, of purity and sorrow, flow in its pages. The poet knew the character of his

own people—their proclivities, their joys and sorrows. He thus made his poem throb in response to the emotions that stirred up the hearts of the men of this province. I have taken my examples mostly from Krittivāsa, as undoubtedly he is the earliest and greatest of all Bengali exponents of the Rāmāyaṇic legend. But what is true in regard to Krittivāsa is also more or less true of other poets who wrote on the subject. We shall see this later on. In a representative work like that of Krittivāsa the national character with its strong and weak points is best shown, hence it has been found advantageous to illustrate the truth of my observations from his poem.

The similes and metaphors of Krittivāsa are apt and homely, and such as can be directly appreciated by the people of this province. In Valmiki the grand metaphors have a sweep and majesty which strike us by their lofty poetic flights. What a control a great Sanskrit scholar like Krittivāsa must have exerted over his pen in refraining from yielding to the temptation of reproducing them in his work and burdening it with them! It would be as incongruous as using in a pleasant village-cottage the huge blocks of an Egyptian monument. Krittivāsa with his keen poetic sense resisted such a course. Rāvaņa, the king, laughed and the series of his white teeth was displayed; the Bengali poet says "they looked like the ketaki flowers all abloom in the

month of Bhādra." Who but those that have beheld the beauty of these white ketaki flowers in the villages of Bengal during the rains will fully appreciate the aptness of this metaphor? "Çavya, the queen fell on the ground at the sight of the dead prince, as a banana plant," says the poet, "that is thrown on the ground by a storm with its boughs, trunk and all." How expressive is this simile in rural Bengal! The banana plant is a familiar sight in this country and the poet uses it again and again for the of his similes and metaphors. wicked Ravana clenched his teeth in rage, and Sītā trembled like the new leaves of the banana plant."2 Hanumāna's words brought happiness to the monkey army "just as the sight of clouds does to peacoks." This is also another instance of what is a very familiar phenomenon during the rains. The word হাড়িয়া মেঘ is a rustic expression but very suggestive: the word গাঁড়িয়া here means a cooking pot this pot becomes jet-black coming in contact with the fire of the hearth; hence ई। जिम्रा त्यव means a terribly dark cloud. "Chandi looked terrible like a কাড়িয়া মেঘ." this will picture to the rustic-folk of Bengal the terror of her appearance more powerfully than any number of Sanskritic or classical similes. Angada says to Rāvana "Your

<sup>&</sup>quot; কুড়ি পাতি দন্ত মেলি দশানন হাসে। কেত্ৰীকুশ্বম যেন ফোটে ভাদ্র মাসে॥"

Krittiväsa, Lankā.

<sup>&</sup>quot; "জানকী কাপেন যেন কলার বাগুবি।"

city appears to me like a cob's egg," is also an expressive metaphor and taken from current Bengali. The image of Sītā was cut "in the fashion in which a Brahmin wears his sacred thread "2 vividly shows the line of the sword's cut. Throughout these works of the Bengali poets there is that life of pastures and fields with which we are so familiar, and this constitutes the chief beauty of the Bengali recensions. Rāma lamenting over the mortal wound that Laksmana had received says, "I came to deal in gold but lost my diamond" implying that in his quest for Sītā he was going to lose Laksmana dearer than her.3 All these little figures of speech are from current Bengali phraseology and therefore full of appeal to the people here.

We shall see from a comparison of Kritti-vāsa's work with the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas written in the 18th and 19th centuries, that an effort was latterly made to reproduce therein the classical element, though Krittivāsa himself a great classical scholar had refrained from doing so. This fact accounts for the failure of the later writers in appealing to the people who could not follow the higher æsthetics of classical literature. Krittivāsa, on the other hand, found a ready access to the

<sup>। &</sup>quot; মাকড়ের ডিম্ব মত তোর লয়া দেপি॥"

Krittsvāsu, Lankā.
2 '' ব্রাহ্মণের গলায় বেমন পাকে পৈতা।

<sup>&</sup>quot; ' ব্রাহ্মণের গণায় বেমন থাকে গেভা। সেই মত করিয়া কাটিল মায়া সীতা ॥"

Ibul.

<sup>ে</sup> প্রবর্ণের ব্যাপারে মাণিক্য দিলাম ডালি। $^{\prime\prime}$ 

Bengali home, for he attached a far greater importance to the life around him than to what he had read in the Sanskrit Literature.

## (c) The influence of the local religious cults.

We shall now discourse on a more edifying subject, viz., that of the influence of the local religions on the Bengali Rāmāyanas. We shall see here that these Rāmāyanas which were made true to the Bengali life, though outwardly they

The Vaisnava element in Bengali poems. Professed their allegiance to Valmiki's epic, had another superb element in them which

served to elevate the whole cycle of Rāmāyanic legends in Bengal. We have shown that the Bengali poets could not convey an adequate idea of the grand sweep of the epic master's verses, nor of the strain of his lofty poetry. What they did in their small way, became a part of the living literature of Bengal as it mirrored the life that was around them: but while giving them this praise our tone has always been more or less of an apologetic nature in view of their shortcomings and imperfections. But we shall here dwell upon a point in which no apologetic tone will be needed. The Bengali poets will be shown here in their full glory-in their originality and strength, fully capable of adding a glorious leaf to the great epic and even outshining Valmīki in many points. The bhakti-cult preached with so much force in its pages makes it a fountain of emotional felicities not to be found in the Rāmāyana of Vālmiki. It is certainly a marvel that the battle-fields in the hands of the poets were changed into pulpits and the Rakṣasas into reformed Vaiṣṇavas of the Gaudiya Order! The tale of faith and devotion is told with such an effect that we read the chapters with tears, and our hearts go out in sympathy and admiration towards the very slayers of Brahmins and cows that the Rakṣasas are described to be.

It has been a great historical puzzle to ascertain whether Krittivāsa, the earliest Bengali recensionist of the Rāmāyana, introduced this bhakti-element in the Rāmāvana legends-or whether Kavichandra, who lived a century later, did so. The influence of Chaitanya is so apparent that we feel inclined to support the theory that it was Kavichandra who brought this flow of Bhakti into the Vernacular Rāmāyana. We have examined several manuscripts of Krittivāsa's work, some of which are nearly three hundred years old. In them we find the chapters of Bhakti with Krittivāsa's name in the colophon. But as Krittivāsa had written his poem about 500 years ago, the interpolated passages of Kavichandra might have been already introduced there by the copyists in the intervening two centuries or more. And this seems quite probable from the fact that in some of the manuscripts of Krittivāsa recovered from the Tipperah

district, which are now in the possession of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, the Bhakti-passages in regard to the Rākṣasas are not found. Kavichandra whose name was Çankara and who lived in a place called Lego in the district of Burdwan was a great scholar of the Bhāgavata. His translation of the Bhāgavata was most popular in Bengal for some centuries. He, besides, wrote many works including a version of the Rāmāyaṇa itself, in all of which the Bhakti element is predominant.

In the 16th century Chaitanya and Nityānanda, the two great apostles of the Vaisnava faith, were the central figures of our community. Chaitanya was believed to be an incarnation of God, and we find that many confirmed sinners, who had at first approached him in a hostile attitude, became ere long thoroughly reformed by his influence. Such were the two ruffians, Jagāi and Mādhāi who had at first assaulted one of the apostles but the latter not only forgave them but prayed for their well being. This had the magic effect of transforming the sinners into their humble disciples. Nārozi, the great Deccan robber, Varamukhi, the beautiful harlot of Guzrat, Bhilapantha, the robber of Choranaudi, and a host of wicked men and women felt the irresistible charm of Chaitanya's spiritual ecstasies and became thoroughly changed. They were made to live lives of purity and monumeration after conversion.

It appears that these sinners threw their mantle on the Rākṣasas of the Bengali Rāmāvanas, while Rāma and Laksmana were made to play the parts of Chaitanya and Nitvānanda. The battle-field thus turned into a platform for sacred prayers, and the fighting symbolised the spiritual struggle of sinners in the course of their transformation. A new leaf was taken from the living history of the Vaisnavas and joined to the old poem. The people, as they found their own sentiments so beautifully portrayed in it were glad at the change. Whether the poet Çankara Kavichandra wrote these episodes of Taranisena and Viravahu and other Rāksasa devotees, or Krittivāsa himself did so, it does not matter. These passages strikingly remind us of the Vaisnava history, and if Krittivāsa is their author we should believe that as coming events sometimes cast their shadows before, so Krittivāsa. who lived at least half a century before the advent of Chaitanya, wrote in response to those echoes from the future which are sometimes heard by the poet and the prophet, a short while before some great historical event.

The Lankā Kānda is saturated with Vaiṣṇava ideas. The Rākṣasas perceive the weakness of Rāma who appears as an orthodox Vaiṣṇava, and often take recourse to devices which would shock the feelings of a Vaiṣṇava, and completely enervate him in a battle field.

Thus we find Makarāksa marching to the field of battle with a herd of cows before his army. The Vaisnava army of Rama was completely overwhelmed and demoralised by this device. Rāma would not shoot an arrow "lest in his attempts to kill Makarāksa his arrow should kill the cows." The next batch of Rāksasa heroes after Makarāksa from Atikāva to Vīrabāhu are, however, all devout Vaisņavas. They came to fight in response to the call of duty from the throne of Lanka, but in their heart of hearts they cherished devotion and love for Rāma whom they all believed to be the incarnation of Visnu. Atikāya is the first of this illustrious group. "Seeing that the five heroes had fallen one by one, Atikaya came to the field with a bow in his hand. He thus prayed within himself, 'Dost thou, Oh Rama, give me a place at thy lotus feet! If thou failest to extend thy mercy because I am a son of Ravana there will be a stain in thy name which is All-merciful.' This expression of devout

<sup>&#</sup>x27; "মনে মনে রঘুনাথ ভাবেন এই ভয়। মকরাকে মাবিলে গোহতাা পাছে হয় h" Krittivāra, Lankā Kānda.

পড়ে বীর পঞ্চলনা দেখিবার পার। ছাতে ধয় সংগ্রামে প্রবেশে অভিকার॥ দর্প করি মনে মনে বলিছে তখন। শ্রীচরণে স্থান দাও কৌশল্যা নন্দন॥ বাবণ সন্তান বলি দরা না করিবে। দরাময় রাম নামে কলঙ্ক রহিবে॥

faith is, however, inconsistent with the spirit of the passage that immediately follows. It runs thus: "two of his uncles were killed and so was Mahodara, the great hero; this enraged Atikāya, son of Rāvana." In the text following, we find the usual description of the warfare. In some of the old manuscripts we miss the four lines of prayer quoted above, and this doubtless confirms the supposition that they did not form a part of the original Rāmāvaņa of Krittivasa. The account of Taranisen and Vīrabāhu are so full of Vaisnava ideas that any passage quoted at random from the description of their war will evidence it. In the flag of Tarani, in his chariot and in the banners carried by his army and everywhere the name of Rāma was inscribed. This was not enough, Rāma's name was written all over his body. When his army marched to fight against Rāma, a general proclamation was issued by him that "victory to Rama" should be the motto and burden of their war music. This curious adversary of Rāma proceeded to fight against him with a farcical proclamation that made even the apes of Rāma's side laugh. Inspite of this profession of faith and allegiance, however, the monkey-army obstructed his progress. Tarani with joined hands, says, "Do not obstruct me, my friends, give me a passage and allow me to have a sight of Rāma and Laksmana." This naturally reminds one of the Pandas of Puri

who with canes in their hands obstruct the devout pilgrims from having a sight of Jagannatha and allow them to pass only on payment of a fee. The monkey-army of Rama naturally treated the hero with a sneer and said. "look there, the very crane of virtue has come to the battle-field." When Tarani was in sight of Rama he descended from his chariot and went on foot some distance just as a prince or some member of a noble family would, out of respect for a deity in a temple, come down from his stately carriage and walk to the temple-gate. Viralvilu and some other heroes amongst the Rāksasas also do the same. When in the presence of Rāma, they are overwhelmed by a sight of the marks of divinity in his person, and we have long descriptions of the devotional feelings and emotional felicities aroused by a discovery of the God Vişnu in the man Rāma before them. The war, inspite of all this devotional element, is not, however, less sanguinary; they fight with an unvielding stubbornness and yet offer their prayers. They discharge their duties and yet wish for death at the hands of one against whom they fight believing him to be their Saviour. The war becomes symbolical of the great spiritual struggle in all of us, and for a moment we forget, even when fire and smoke and destruction are at work on all sides, that it is an ordinary warfare or an ordinary battle-field that the poet is describing. The struggle of the

soul in faith and in remorse, sinning against Him and yet yearning for His grace, acting in violation of His command and wishing for death at His hands as punishment, is ill-disguised by the phraseology of war which enshrouds these beautiful episodes. Sometimes the cruel hits from a Rāksasa-devotee pain Rāma, and the heart of the former relents in repentance. Do we not also hurt Him every time we sin, and at the moment of the spiritual awakening of the soul shed tears over our transgressions? So Tarani feels pain at the sight of the wounds inflicted on Rama's person by his arrows: for he had come there to be killed and saved, and not to cause pain to his Creator. He says, "Passing through untold austerities in past lives, I have found my supreme enemy in the supreme Lord. I have no desire for kingdom nor for wealth, nor for those that are apparently near and dear to me. May I be killed by Rāma and be saved!" Sometimes yearning for the vision of a clear manifestation of the doity in Rāma, the warrior loses all desire for fighting, and throwing his bow and arrows aside, prostrates himself in humble faith before him and addresses a fervent prayer or a hymn. The battlefield, like a ground overgrown with thorny plants, presents here flowering faith bedewed with tears of remorse.

However incongruous and anomalous these episodes may appear to others, they have been always a fountain of devotional feeling to the Bengali

readers. The Rāksasas of Vālmīki are characterised by great heroism. As only natural they fought to the last in a confirmed attitude of hostility towards Rāma. In the Bengali Rāmāyanas, as we have already seen, the 'war-canto' of Valmiki was recast in a very strange manner. The originality showed by the Bengali poets in this canto. is very remarkable. The interest of the great poem of Valmiki seldom flags, but if it does so at all, it is in the 'war-canto' where we sometimes miss the inspired language of the poet. And it was in this canto that the Bengali genius found a proper field, however strange it may appear to us, for introducing the spirit devotion. In the Rāmāyana by Tulsīdasā the episodes of Tarani and Vīrabāhu do not occur. From whatever source the Bengali poets derived their account of these illustrious heroes, the pictures of their own ruffians, transformed into saints, were drawn in them. And this. as I have already said, has made the animosity described in the episodes as real as the faith which inspired those heroes. The warfare opens, as it were, the portals to a monastery where remorse for past sins and devotional feelings sanetify and enmoble all that is wicked, bad and weak. The belief is current amongst the Vaisnavas that those that take a hostile attitude towards the Deity attain their salvation more quickly than those who offer Him their loyal service. A spirit of hostility towards God by

running counter to His wishes subjects a man to great pain and struggle of soul which, it is said, brings on a spirit of resignation and faith in the end more quickly than the somewhat monotonous life of the honest church-going people ordinarily termed 'good.' Perhaps this truth underlies the Vaisnava belief. Bhivīsana, the pious Rākṣasa, who sided with Rāma during the war, regrets "Kumbhakarna, Atikāya and other heroes have already attained salvation by fighting against Thee,—being killed by Thy hands. What is the profit that I have reaped offering my loyal services to Thy feet, oh Lord.!"

## CHAPTER IV

## The various influences-

- (a) The Vaispava influence.
- (b) The Qakta influence.
- (c) The influence of the Caivas
- (d) Those of the Buddhists and of the Brinminic School.

## (a) The Vaisnava influence

After Krittivāsa and Kavichandra, the Vaisnava element which had been so long confined to a mere profession of faith on the part of the Raksasa-devotees, became more and more striking and marked, till the Bengali Rāmāyaņas were modelled in such a way as to embody the whole theology of the Vaisnavas. The climax in this respect was reached in the Rama-rasayana of Raghanandana who was born in the 18th century. This is a very long poem. The proprietors of the Bangabasi Press have lately brought out an edition of the book in which we find many passages from the standard works of the bhakti-cult reproduced almost verbatim. Rama's character as described in this poem has been forcibly made to tally with that of Krisna in many respects. Krisna's rālyalīlā or sports in childhood and his youthful love with Rādhā were vividly before the mind of the author while writing this poem, and he tried to repeat these episodes in regard to Rāma, Sītā figuring as a second

Rādhā in his descriptions. This takes away all the dignity from Rāma's manly character, divested as these accounts are of the mystic element which gives the Rādhā-Krişņa-love a superb spiritual beauty. The love-story of Rama and Sita in which the sensuous element predominates, makes the noble characters extremely frivolous, nay, there are passages in it which are positively repelling. I shall refer to them later on. We find a marked influence of the Bhagavata on the Rāma-rasāyaņa in many of its passages. The descriptions of the Maithili women assembled to behold Rāma, who enters their city in order to marry Sitā, is almost exactly what we have read in the Bhagavata about the gathering of the Gopis to see Krisna. There is the same ardour and self-forgetful impatience verging on insanity. The very language of these descriptions seems to have been taken from the Bhagavata and the Vaisnava lyrics:-

"The young women, as soon as they heard that Rāma had come to their city, forgot their domestic duties, the presence of their elders and even of their husbands. Some had applied the scarlet dye āltā to one foot only, the other foot was without such decoration. She, however, did not wait but ran fast in that condition; another had worn the anklet on one foot only; no matter, she also walked on to see Rāma; one among the fair crowd was seen putting on her necklace round her waist, so forgetful had

she become; and another with her golden kinkini—the belt with sounding pendents—round her neck; some had applied the favourite black paint anjana to one of her eyes only, but leaving her toilet unfinished, she ran on to see Rama."

The Gopis, in many of the Vaisnava lyrics, as I have said, did exactly the same thing<sup>2</sup>, and in Bengal when a bridal procession goes through the streets of a city, the same eagerness is displayed by women anxious to have a sight of

<sup>&</sup>quot; শ্রীরাম আইলা গুনি বতেক যুবতি। ভোলে নিজ গৃহকার্য্য গুরুজন পতি॥ কেহ ধার একপদে আল্তা মাধিরা। জার জন বার করে মুপুর পরিরা॥ কেহ মুক্তা হার পরে নিতম উপরে। কনক কিছিনী দাম পৃষ্ঠ দেশে পরে॥ এক আধি মাত্র কেহ অঞ্জনে রঞ্জিরা। ধাইল যুবতি সতী উতোরোল হিরা॥"

<sup>&</sup>quot; "Note Vançi Vadaua's song.

<sup>&</sup>quot; রাই সাজে বালী বাজে না বাধিল চুল।
কি করিতে কি না করে সব হৈল ভূল॥
মুকুরে আঁচড়ে রাই বাধে কেশ ভার।
পারে বাধে ফুলের মালা না করে বিচার॥
করেতে নৃপ্র পরে জক্তে পরে তার।
গলাতে কিছিনী পরে কটিতটে হার॥
চরণে কাজল পরে নরনে আল্তা।
হিরার উপরে পরে বছরাজ পাতা॥
শ্রবণে কররে রাই বেশর সাজনা।
নাসার উপরে করে বেণীর রচনা॥
বংশী বদনে কহে বাই বলিহারি।
শ্রাম অনুরাগের বালাই লরে মরি॥"

the bridegroom. There is no opportunity given in the original Sanskrit epic for any love-making on the part of Rama. But Raghunandana creates such scenes following the descriptions of the Vaisnava masters at every step. Rama hears of the beauty of Sita, and she, of his valour and noble qualities. Even before they have seen each other they conceive that romantic love which has been described in the Vaisnava Padas. The maids go to Rāma with Sītā's portrait, which recalls the incidents of Bisākhā's drawing the portrait of Krisna or Çrīdāma's, that of Rādhā. The portrait, when it is shown to Rama, produces poetic emotions, for a parallel of which we must again seek the Vaisnava poetry. We are all familiar with the remarkable lyrical piece of Çaçiçekhara in which Rādh is observed in a room high up on her palace. Krisna says to Sudama "Look up, my friend, and see in the uppermost room of yonder jewelled palace there flashes a lightning-like beauty, wearing garments of the colour of the cloud." Rama sees Sitā first on the top of the palace of Janaka and makes similar poetic reflections. The maids come to Rama with several pictures each of which indicates the pain of Sītā in love. This love is anti-nuptial. The pictures are allegorical. In one of them the female Chakrarāki was painted, sitting all alone in sorrow on the banks

¹ "फुक बनि बन्तिरत, विक्रणी पन नक्रत्त, त्रप-क्रि वनन পরিধান।"

of a river, separated from her mate. Rāma took the brush himself, and painted below this picture that of her mate on the other bank, equally depressed owing to separation. This, of course, showed that he felt the pangs of separation no less than Sītā. Another maid brought to him a picture in which was painted a deer surrounded by forest fire on all sides. Rāma understool from this that Sītā was burning with passion for him. He painted above that picture a beautiful rain-cloud, indicating thereby that the cooling remedy was near at hand. They interchanged thoughts by means of such picture-drawing. These and similar episodes recall the familiar descriptions of the Vaisnava poets describing the Rādhā-Krisnalove. As a Vaisnava, Raghunandana vindicates the superiority of his own religion in many passages. In one of these Durgā tries to play a deception on Rāma by disguising herself as Sītā. But Rāma exposes this stratagem and the goddess is not only reduced to the position of an unsuccessful juggler, but is out-witted by a trick of Rāma and admits his superiority.\(^1\) The attempts of the poet were thus directed not only towards preaching his own cult but attacking the prevailing Cakta creed whenever an opportunity occurred. The whole theology of the Vaisnavas of the Chaitanya School is introduced in a discourse

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Ramarasayana, Bangavasi Edition, Åranya, p. 285.

which Rama is said to have delivered to Hanumāna in the Aranya Kānda.1 The reader will find in this description only an echo of the elaborate instructions on Vaisnava theology given by Rāmachandra Kavirāja to Rājā Vīrahāmvira of Visnupur, early in the 17th century. recorded in Jadunandan Das's Karnandaa contemporary work of great historical value. Krittivāsa or Kavichandra introduces the beautiful episode of Garuda's prevailing upon Rama to assume the shape of Krisna. Hanumana resents this and swears that he will throw away the flute—the favoured thing of Krisna—and once more put a bow in Rāma's hands. This episode reminds one of the reluctance of Anupama (alias Vallabha) brother of Rūpa and Sanātana, to give up the worship of Rāma in preference to that of Krisna, expressed before Chaitanya who had tried to make Anupama accept the Krisna-cult. To the lay Vaisnavas. Rama and Krana, both incarnations of Vignu. are held in equal esteem; but there was, and I believe still is, a sectarian quarrel between the worshippers of Krisna and of Rama, the latter being known as Ramaites. The episode of Garuda's visit to Rama and his quarrel with Hanumana, as to what shape of Visnu is the more acceptable, opens a chapter of Vaisnavism about which theological wranglings still continue

<sup>1</sup> The Ramarassyana, pp. 336-37.

in some quarters. There are many, even now, who will not worship Viṣṇu as the omnipotent Deity but care only for Viṣṇu—the "All-Beautiful one." They will have nothing to do with the God that rules, caring alone for the God who attracts by beauty and love.

We have cited many instances to illustrate how Vaiṣṇava ideas have stamped the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas with their influence. There are many more which an inquisitive reader will meet with as he turns over the pages of these works with such an object in view. We should now proceed to examine the other influences that contributed to the development of the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas.

## (6) The Çākta influence.

The Bengali Rāmāyaṇa could be made popular because it was made to embody the views and sentiments of all the different classes of Hindus living in this province. The different sects vied with one another in introducing into it elements of their own particular worship. There had once been a quarrel between the Çākta, Çaiva and Vaiṣṇava sects, but gradually a harmony was established among them with the result that the Vaiṣṇava now believes in Kālī as the Çākta does in Kriṣṇa. As the last act to crown this compromising tendency, Kriṣṇa in the Vaiṣṇava books is said to have assumed the

appearance of Kālī. This figure, which is known as "Kriṣṇa-Kālī," is often to be met with in the gallaries of our national pictures, and in this the sword and flute, the frown and smile, the destroyer and lover, and the terrible and beautiful have but one name. But before this synthesis of the contending religions was reached, there must have been many quarrels; these and the peace that was later on established, have left their stamp equally on the Rāmāyaṇas as they are read to-day in Bengal. We have

Réma's superiority over Chandi. already made a reference to a passage in Raghunandan's Rāma-rasāvana where **Rā**ma

defeats Chandī by his māyā, after all the attempts made by the latter to overpower Rāma have failed. Chandī accepts Rāma as her superior. This is a home-thrust by the Vaiṣṇavas which no Cākta would bear with patience.

The Chandi Pūjā by Rāma which forms no part of the original epic was introduced evidently

by the Çāktas in order to prove the superiority of their creed over that of the Vaisnavas.

This first appeared in the Sanskrit Kālikā Purāņa. It has since passed through a considerable development in the Bengali Rāmāyaņas. The beautiful episode of Chandi's stealing a blue-lotus from out of 101 flowers of the same rare species by which Rāma had avowed to worship Chandi creates a lively and pathetic

interest in the Bengali work. These flowers were collected by Hanumana with the utmost efforts that he could command, and not one more blue lotus could be obtained anywhere in the world. Losing that one flower Rama could not fulfil the condition of the worship for which he had taken a vow. Chandi had concealed the flower in order to test Rama's devotion and faith. Unless the worship was fulfilled. Ravana could not be killed, nor Sītā recovered from the harem of Lanka. In that dilemma Rāma, laying aside his great bow and arrow. in resigned faith -- prayed for the favour of the goddess. His fervent prayer, thrilling with emotion and pathos, reached the highest point when the great hero who could bridge the sea, kill giants in war and demolish the glory of Lanka, wept like a helpless child before the Mother of the Universe seeking Her help. She did not relent, alas! there was no sign of her grace! Hanumana advised that instead of spending time in vain prayers, Rāma should depend on self-help and try to kill Ravana by his own efforts with the co-operation of his army. But this advice had no effect. He lay there completely unmanned, for he had seen the clear vision of the Mother by the side of Ravana protecting him. The lovely dark-blue colour of the Mother was brightened by a halo which dispelled the darkness around, and as she encouraged Ravana—a sight which only Rama saw—the latter felt that his power would be of no avail. unless the Mother would vouchsafe her support to him. A tear arose in his eyes for the fate of lovely Sitā. He had almost conquered the Raksasas and the hope of getting back his devoted consort in the near future had been high in his mind. All was going to be lost—the bridging of the high seas—the infinite pains of the army—the glorious successes of his arms all would be of no purpose. But suddenly an idea struck him which made him glad, and addressing Laksmana he said "Look here, brother, they say that my two eyes are like blue lotuses! Indeed, are they not so? I shall pluck one out and worship Chandi making the number of flowers full, according to my vow. The missing one will be replaced in this way. One of my eyes must I offer to the feet of the Goddess." And as he said this, he aimed an arrow at his right eye and was about to pluck it out, when the Mother with a face that looked half-abashed and yet smiling, appeared near him and holding him by his hand, said, "What are you going to do, O hero, wait a moment, I accept your worship as fulfilled." The scene became one of great emotion and joy, and tears bespoke the gratitude of Rāma's heart. The Mother granted him the boon of gaining victory and disappeared. The great uproar raised by the monkeys as a sign of triumph and joy alarmed the Raksasa-chief who suddenly saw

that the protecting hand of the Mother was mysteriously withdrawn from him.

In the episode known as Mahi Ravaner Pala which is also a later addition to the epic, we find the Çākta element predomi-Mahī Rāvaņa, a wor-shipper of Chaṇḍi. nant not only in the fact that Mahī Rāvaņa, the hero of the tale was a great worshipper of Kālī, but also in the circumstance of his observing many of the Tantric rites attached to that worship. Balarāma Bandyopadhyay in one of his preliminary hymns affixed to his Rāmāyaņa says that the goddess Yugādyā (Kālī) worshipped by the hero. was removed by Hanumana after the death of the former to Kşiragrām of Bengal where the image is still worshipped. We have an animated description of the fight of Mahī Rāvaņa's queen, after her royal husband's assassination, in the Rāmāyana of Krittivāsa. She breaks off her allegiance to Kālī who could not protect her husband and fights desparately. I quote the passage which describes her anger and sorrow on receiving the intelligence of her husband's murder.

"To vain grief she did not yield herself. Her lips quivered in great rage. She took no heed of her garments that were loose and did not waste time in binding into a knot her long and flowing tresses. She said in a stern and determined voice 'The goddess Kālī has been worshipped for years in this royal house. The king

KÄLI 119

showed her a devotion which is unequalled for sincerity and zeal, and here is the reward she has given him at last. My house is ruined by the goddess. She has befriended the men and monkeys who killed my husband. It is all very well. Let me go and throw the image into water and I will see how these men and monkeys escape from the palace'; saying so, a mighty bow she took in her hand and armed herself with bright arrows. A vast army followed her, as in desperate rage and grief, she went to fight the enemy near the temple."

In the Rāmāyaṇa by Vālmikī, it is mentioned that when Hanumāna entered Lankā, he first met with the presiding goddess of the city. In the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas this deity is described as Kālī. In the Lankā Kāṇḍa by Kṛittivāsa there are some very grand accounts of this goddess. They are written in the devotional spirit of a Çākta poet.

"Her face decorated with the lovely marks of alakā and tilakā and her hair looked like clouds blown by the wind. Her beauty flashed like a streak of blue lightning making the place around aglow with its brightness. Her lips sweetly smiled looking like bāndhuli flowers."

## (c) The influence of the Çaivas.

Thus do we find the Çākta element pervading the Bengali Rāmāyaṇa though not as greatly as the Vaiṣṇava. The original epic is, it need

hardly be emphasised, free from all these influences. We shall also cite several instances to prove that the Civaites had also a share in moulding some of the stories of the Bengali Rāmāyana according to their own particular creed. Rāvaņa is made to be a worshipper of Çiva. This we do not find either in the Jaina Rāmāyana written in the 12th century or in the Buddhistic works giving accounts of Ravana in a much earlier age. Somehow or other we find in the Bengali Rāmāyaņas, the Rākṣasas all conceived as Civāites. In the Ādi Kāṇḍa Krittivāsa records that the queen Kauçalya herself was a worshipper of Civa and his consort Parvati. Bharata swears by Çiva, calling him the supreme deity. Vāli in his last moments says to Rāma in the Kiskindhyā Kāṇḍa "The conqueror of the three worlds, the reputed follower of Civa is Rāvaņa. How will poor Sugrīva be of any help to you in a fight with the great Rākṣasa?"1 The resolve of Bibhīsana to revolt against his own brother and join Rāma, was considered too daring a feat to the Bengali poets, and hence sanction of not only Kuvera-his half-brother, but of the great God Çiva, was considered necessary to make that action excusable in the eyes of the people. This we find in the poem of Krittivāsa, and latterly a poet who signs himself as 'Kaviratna'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>।</sup> " ত্রিলোক বিজ্ঞানী শিবভক্ত দশগ্রীব। কি করিবে তাহার নিকটে এ স্থগ্রীব॥"

in the colophon puts a long dissertation on the theory of incarnation in the mouth of Civa delivered to Biblisana by the deity when the former applies to him for permission to join Rāma. In the Rāmarasāyana by Raghunandana which is a Bengali poem we find a hymn in Sanskrit, addressed by Bibhīşana to Çiva on this occasion.1 That Caivaism was losing ground before the advancing Vaisnava creed becomes apparent from the fact that on this and similar occasions, when Çiva and Rāma are brought in contact with one another, the former is made to acknowledge the superiority of the latter. In the book named Çiva-Rāmer Yuddha, the authorship of which is attributed to Krittivāsa, it is stated that Rāma and Çiva had a severe hand-tohand fight. Of course if the gods did not fight, their followers did, on behalf of their respective deities, in Bengal and other provinces. curious point, however, in connection with this aspect, is the story given in the Çiva-Rāmer-Juddha of Hanumana having anciently belonged to the Caiva sect. It is stated that when a compromise between Rama and Civa was effected, Hanumana was made over by the latter to Rama and initiated into his worship. We have already

<sup>&</sup>quot;শস্তো সদাশিব হে মদনাবে।
ত্বং জয়শৃলধর হে ত্রিপ্রারে॥
চন্দ্রকলামর শেখরধারি।
কুগুলকুগুল মগুণকারি॥"

referred to this story In the Sanskrit work called the "Tantra Sāra" we find Çiva himself relating to Parvati, his consort, the secrets of the worship of the Ape-god Hanumana. The celebrated Linga of Çiva called Rāmesvara (near Kanyākumārī) is said to have been established by Rāma. This is the popular notion, and Krittivāsa did not fail to record the story when he described the completion of the bridge over the sea in the Sundara Kāṇḍa. In former times Çiva-Gītā or songs in honour of Çiva, were widely prevalent in Bengal. Whatever might be the subject treated by our early poets, the song of Çiva was considered to be an indispensible prelude to it. In the Bengali Rāmāyaņa by Krittivāsa, a song of Çiva is found in the older manuscripts of the Uttara Kāṇḍa, and the edition of that Kāṇḍa, published by the Sāhitya Parisat of Calcutta, and considered to be a genuine one, contains this Çiva song. As the Çivāite religion gradually lost its hold upon popular fancy, being chiefly confined to the woman-folk, these Civa-songs were eliminated from the Bengali Rāmāyana, so that in the Battalā editions of the poem they are now no-where to be found. But that the Civaite songs were at one time the fashion of the day will be observed from the fact that in the literature of every cult or sect of the Hindus, this class of songs formed an indispensable part of a poem. Even in the Buddhistic Çunyapurana of the 9th or 10th century, we find the Çiva-songs incorporated with those on Dharma, not to speak of Manasār Bhāsāna, Chandimangala and other works. The Çiva-songs have now fallen into disfavour, but as a monument of realistic poetry of a high order, of wonderful word-painting, the one by Bhāratachandra to be found in his Annadā Mangala is still very much appreciated by the people, though the song occasionally sinks into vulgar taste and coarse humour—the characteristic defects of the age of Rājā Kriṣṇa-ohandra. Besides the works and passages, referred to above, there is a quarrel between Çiva and Pārvatī described in the Rāmāyaṇa of Krittivāsa, by way of digression from the main story.

## (d) Those of the Buddhists and of the Brahminic School.

We find in the account of Krittiväsa that Raghu, the king, had once become a Kalpataru. This was a well-known Buddhistic ceremony by which mighty princes like Açoka and Kanişka passed, as some writerss hold, through the renunciation of the great Buddha, turning a Bhikşu once after a fixed number of years. The kings distributed everything in charity refusing none or nothing that a seeker might ask in the palace. The Rājā Harşa in the seventh century was a Kalpataru and after having

possessed, he found himself in need of begging

given away everything that he

The Kalpataru.

a cloth from his sister Rājyaçri for his use. In the description of Krittivāsa we find Raghu, the ancestor of Rāma, giving away everything in charity; his golden cups and all articles of luxury were gone, and there was no food left on which he could live. 'Raghu, the king, kept no food wherewith to live and he drank from an earthen pot.' The Sanskrit epic has no story of this sort and like many similar ones Krittivāsa no doubt got it from those that floated in the air around him.

In the Lankāvatārasutta Rāvaņa is represented as a disciple of the Buddha holding a philosophical discourse like a Compassion for the Plato or Aristotle. The respect suffering humanity. shown him in the Buddhistic scriptures might be one of the reasons why his character has been depicted in such dark colours by the Brahmanic poets. Curiously, however, we find in the Rāmāvaņa by Krittivāsa, certain anecdotes of his life, not to be found in the Sanskrit epic, which show him to be full of mercy for sinners evidently recalling the Buddhistic idea of compassion for suffering humanity. At the last hour of his life he is said to have given some advice to Rāma and related events of his own life to illustrate their usefulness and truth. In the course of these he

<sup>&#</sup>x27; '' আলা জক্য রঘ্রাজা নাহি রাথে ঘরে।

মৃত্তিকার ভাওে রাজা জল পান করে।''

Krittivan

expressed his sentiments as follows: "I saw the great sufferings of sinners and my heart melted into pity for them. I determined within myself to remove their sorrows and returned to Lanka with a sad heart." And again, "When I saw with my own eyes what sinners suffered in hell, I thought within myself how I could devise some means by which heaven and its joys could be made accessible to all beings."

This sounds like a speech of the Buddha himself on the eve of his renunciation.

Vālmīki, the author of the great epic, son of the sage Chyabaṇa, was at first a robber and his name was Ratnākara. This tradition we find recorded in the Bengali

The influence of the Brahmminic school.

Rāmāyaṇas. From what source this story was derived we do

not know. It is not to be found in the great epic. The story seems to be an indigenous one, and it will be a vain labour to trace it to any early Sanskrit original There are certain points in this story which give it a local interest, and seem to suggest a local origin. For instance Vālmīki was instructed by Nārada to recite the name of Rama. But his sins had paralysed his tongue so that he could not the sacred name. Then the sage pointed a dead-log to Valmīki and asked him to name it, whereupon the former said that it was a 'মড়া কাঠ'—dead log. Nārada, the sage said that it was not 'মড়া' but 'মরা'. When the robber

pronounced the last word, he was instructed to repeat it a number of times so that in course of repeating मना and मना in quick succession, the two letters in their reversed order क्राम at last came to his lips; the word marā is not a Sanskrit one, it is a purely Bengali word which proves the fable to have originated in this province. In the Buddhistic age the pronunciation of words had grown very lax in the different Prakrit dialects current in the different parts of the country. The word Rama is Lāma in the Māgadhī Prākrit. But the Brāhmiņic school, seriously attempting to give Sanskritic education to the people, began by correcting the orthography in this way. The fable says that one whose tongue was paralysed by sin would not be able to pronounce rightly the sacred name of Rāma. By such teachings, Sanskritic words began to be rightly pronounced by our people. There is no man in Bengal now, however illiterate, who would say Lama or Laksasaforms that were current in the spoken and even the written Prakrt dialects of this country in the days of Buddhistic ascendency. So we find that the influences, which worked to develop the great epic in the vernacular of this province, comprised not only religious teachings of all sects of Hindus, but even attempts to improve the literary and grammatical knowledge of the people.

It is a curious point to note that a story quite like the one told of Vālmīki, as the robber Ratnākara, is current among the Mahomedans of this country, in regard to the saint Nızamuddin Aulia, who flourished in the

Ratnākara Dasyu and Nizam Decoit. 13th Century A.D., and was a native of Delhi. It is stated of this saint that he started

life as a highway robber and was called "Nizam Decoit." He had already killed 52 men, when he chanced to meet a saint. The robber raised his sword to kill him-but the saint, who was quite unmoved, advised him to go home and ask his parents, brothers, sisters and wife if any of them would take a share of his sins, when he would be called upon to account for them by his Maker. Nizam felt greatly impressed by the words of the saint and went home straight to make the inquiry. The members of his family flatly refused to take any responsibility for his sins-they said that it was his duty to support them, but they cared not to know how he did it. The unhappy youth came back and earnestly sought the help of the saint to get rid of his sins. The saint advised him to practise penance, and pointing to a dead tree, said "When yonder tree will put forth new leaves, then will you attain siddhi." Left alone, he began to practise austerities as advised, and after some years saw a young man committing a heineous crime before his eyes. He forthwith killed the wicked youth exclaiming "বাহার, তাঁহা তিয়ার" (what difference is there between 52 and 53?) But coming to himself after this impulsive action, he was once more filled with repentance; and as with tearful eyes he looked at the dead tree, he found it arrayed with new leaves from top to bottom. And he knew from this that he had got rid of his sins by repentance.

There is another story somewhat similar to the above told of Fariduddin Attar (13th Century A.D.) and this we find narrated in the Tazkiratul-Aulia.<sup>1</sup>

There is such a striking similarity between the story of "Ratnākar Dasyu" and that of "Nizam Dacoit" that there can be little doubt that both of them were derived from the same source. It is not unlikely that what had been told of Vālmīki (as the robber Ratnākar) in an earlier legend was attributed to Nizamuddin Aulia by the Mahomedans in later times; or it is not also improbable that both the legends were derived from some indigenous story about a forgotten saint current in the country at some remote point of time.

I beg, however, to be excused for a little digression from my main topic.

These poems, written after the revival of Brāhminism, are permeated by Brāhminic influence, and the Brāhmin is extolled every-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I am indebted for the above two stories to my friend Moulsvi Schidullah, M.A., B.L.

where beyond measure. In this respect the Mahābhārata of Kaçīdāsa takes the precedence. But in the Rāmāyaṇa also passages are not wanting to show the great regard in which Brāhmins were held. Krittivāsa says that Kaikeyī

Superistitions about

committed the great orime of causing Rāma's exile because in her childhood she had been

cursed by a Brāhmin. No earthly calamity in the eyes of these poets could befall any one unless Brāhminic ire was roused in some way or other. The words 'Brāhmin' and 'Chandāl' are always used to indicate the maximum difference in all matters.

Krittivāsa's account of hell, as witnessed by Rāvaņa, unfolds the untold sufferings of those who have any way caused pain to a Brahmin. Other vices are thrown into the shade compared with this most beingus crime. Stealing Brāhmin's property, violating the sanctity of a Brāhmin's house or belabouring a Brāhmin with a stick are recorded in the register of Chitra Gupta, Secretary to the Lord of Death, as very special crimes, and the punishments visited on those who commit such crimes are of a most horrid nature. Heaven according to this poet is a place mostly founded upon Brahminic favours. One who makes a gift to a Brāhmin sleeps on the golden couch there. He who at one time ministers in any way to the comfort of a Brahmin enjoys such prosperity in the next world of

which even the mighty Lord of Lanka may be envious! In the Ramarasayana by Raghunandana we also find prolific praises of the Brahmins, such as "even if the ocean dries up or the fire assumes a cooling property, the blessings uttered by a Brahmin cannot fail."

I think, I have now proved my point, with which I started my lectures, that the Bengali Rāmāyaṇas follow faithfully the instincts of the race to which the poets belonged and seldom attempt toadhere scrupulously to the original text. They have conceived the story in their own light, assimilated it and given it the shape that has suited them best, and cared not very much for what the poem of Vālmīki was, though the writers were all great Sanskrit scholars and could, if they had so desired, prepare their work in a perfectly literal way. They were truer to themselves than to Vālmīki, which accounts for the great popularity that their works achieved among their own people.

Rāma in the Bengali Rāmāyaņas is no longer the hero of Vālmīki, who, endowed with great human virtues, figures before us in all the sublimity of poetic description, but an incarnation of

Rama an incarnation of Visus.

Vişnu,—the very sight of whom is blessedness. The poets write about him with joint palms.

Wherever they have to refer to him they are

<sup>&#</sup>x27; "সিদ্ধু ওছ হয় যদি অনল শীতন। আছণের আশীর্কাণী না হয় বিহুল #"

down-on their knees, and in the excess of their: hymna or devotion now and then address discourse on faith in the midst of the main story forgetting its link and sequence. The readers, themselves imbued with faith, do not find fault with such digressions from the main story, but applaud the writers for singing hymns of Rama, the aratara of Visnu, who came to this world to save sinners. Think of the devotion. faith and poetry of Raghunandan's verses, as he describes Rama walking in the street-his blessed feet touching this vile earth of ours, while the poet in his anxiety to offer worship to the divine hero of his tale, invokes help from the powers of the physical world in this strain:-

"How tender is his figure! How can such an one walk in the street exposed to the sun! If Indra, the god of heaven is inclined to listen to our prayer, we would ask him to cover the sky with clouds (to protect Rāma from the sun). Oh air, the preserver of this world, blow sweetly upon his face and wipe away the drops of sweat from his brow."

This Rama is certainly not the great hero whose arms were like 'iron bars' as described by Valmiki.

Indigenous fables, which own a strange kinship with those related in the Gaelic mythology and those relating to the religious cults of a

<sup>1</sup> The Ramarasiyana, p. 52.

pre-historic period—much anterior to what we find even in Vālmīki,—are also in this Bengali Rāmayāṇas, and we have indicated them in their due place. The Bengali Rāmāyaṇas, are thus the land marks of Bengali culture at its different epochs,—registers of the steps of our progressive religious faith, and with all their faults, strikingly original and indicative of the tendencies and influences that marked our national life in the past.